```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                   EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
2
                        Richmond Division
 3
 4
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 5
                                      Criminal Case No.:
   v.
 6
                                       3:12 CR 170
   MICHAEL F. HARRIS
 7
                                      February 25, 2013
8
9
                COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE HENRY E. HUDSON
10
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
   APPEARANCES:
  Michael R. Gill, Esquire
   Gauhar Naseem, Esquire
   OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
   600 East Main Street
  Suite 1800
14
   Richmond, Virginia 23219
15
        Counsel on behalf of the United States
16
   Robert J. Wagner, Esquire
   OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
   701 East Broad Street
   Suite 3600
18
   Richmond, Virginia 23219
19
   Nicholas R. Klaiber, Esquire
  TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP
   1001 Haxall Point
  P.O. Box 1122
   Richmond, Virginia
                        23219
22
        Counsel on behalf of the Defendant
23
24
                      KRISTA M. LISCIO, RMR
                     OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
```

	Jase 3:12-cr-00170-HEF				2
1		EXAMI	NATIO) N S	
2		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	David Evans	122	152	172	
4	Diane Desch	175	210	226	
5	Nicole Gentry	227	251	264	
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					

```
(The proceeding commenced at 9:14 a.m.)
 1
2
        THE COURT: Good morning.
 3
        MR. GILL: Good morning.
 4
        MR. WAGNER: Good morning, Your Honor.
 5
                   All right, Ms. Pizzini, call our case for
        THE COURT:
6
   trial today.
 7
        THE CLERK: Case 12 CR 170. United States of America
   v. Michael F. Harris.
8
9
        Mr. Michael R. Gill and Mr. Gauhar Naseem represent
   the United States.
10
11
        Mr. Robert J. Wagner and Mr. Nicholas R. Klaiber
   represent the defendant.
12
13
        Are counsel ready to proceed?
14
        MR. GILL: The United States is ready, Your Honor.
15
        MR. WAGNER: Michael Harris is ready, Judge.
        THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, good morning once
16
   again. My name is Henry Hudson, and I'm the United States
17
   District Judge that will be trying this case today.
18
   case for your consideration is a criminal case. It's the
19
   case of United States of America v. Michael F. Harris.
        Mr. Harris, if you would stand, sir.
21
22
        This is Mr. Harris. He is the defendant in the case.
23
  And he's represented by his attorneys, Mr. Robert J.
   Wagner and Mr. Nicholas R. Klaiber.
25
        Gentlemen, you may be seated.
```

The United States of America is represented by the Assistant United States Attorneys, Mr. Michael Gill and Mr. Gauher Naseem.

Gentlemen, you may be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'll be assisted in this trial by my staff. My law clerk is Mr. Staley. My court reporter is Ms. Liscio. She takes down everything that occurs in the courtroom. My courtroom deputy is Ms. Pizzini. And our bailiff is Deputy Marshal Wray.

Now, the case for your consideration, and you will hear a lot more about it during the opening statements, is the case of *United States of America v. Michael F. Harris*. And as will be explained to you during the course of the opening statements, this is a 6-count indictment charging securities fraud, wire fraud, and mail fraud.

It is alleged that Mr. Harris offered and sold stock in the research firm, M.F. Harris Research, Incorporated, based on false or untrue representations. Mr. Harris' firm was reportedly engaged in HIV/AIDS research.

Now, Mr. Harris has entered a plea of not guilty.

He's denied the charges, and 12 of you here today will

hear and decide this case.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, before we begin the trial itself, our first order of business is what is known as voir dire. That's where I'll ask you a series of

```
questions to make sure there are no conflicts, nothing in
1
   your background, no views that you have that would
   interfere with your ability to be fully fair and impartial
 3
 4
   in this case. Before I begin with the voir dire, I'm
 5
   going to ask our Clerk of the Court, Ms. Pizzini, to take
6
   the roll and to swear you on your voir dire.
 7
        Ms. Pizzini.
8
        THE CLERK: Jurors, as I call your name, please
9
   stand, answer present, and then be seated.
10
        Juror Number 1, Marcia Ann Tatum Adams.
11
        JUROR NUMBER 1: Present.
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 2, Carroll Linwood Allen.
12
13
        JUROR NUMBER 2: Present.
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 3, Nancy Ann Andolina.
14
15
        JUROR NUMBER 3: Present.
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 4, Angela Sorbert Arrington.
16
17
        JUROR NUMBER 4: Present.
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 5, Carolyn Jean Blakelock.
18
19
        JUROR NUMBER 5: Present.
20
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 6, Rachel Weber Bondurant.
        JUROR NUMBER 6: Present.
21
22
                    Juror Number 7, Leslie Dawn Boughman.
        THE CLERK:
23
        JUROR NUMBER 7: Present.
24
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 8, Victoria Lynn Bragunier.
25
        JUROR NUMBER 8:
                         Present.
```

```
THE CLERK: Juror Number 9, Celia Louise Broadus.
1
2
        JUROR NUMBER 9: Present.
 3
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 10, Valerie Elizabeth Casey.
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 10: Present.
 5
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 11, Joseph Anthony Crute.
 6
        JUROR NUMBER 11: Present.
 7
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 12, Lori Ann Dameron.
8
        JUROR NUMBER 12: Present.
9
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 13, Sharon Hinton Dickens.
10
        JUROR NUMBER 13: Present.
11
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 14, Charles Ryan Fanelli.
        JUROR NUMBER 14: Present.
12
13
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 15, Kevin Joseph Faubion.
14
        JUROR NUMBER 15: Present.
15
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 16, James Bradley Folk.
        JUROR NUMBER 16: Present.
16
17
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 17, Todd Everett Foster.
18
        JUROR NUMBER 17: Present.
19
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 18, Kevin Thomas Goldsmith.
20
        JUROR NUMBER 18: Present.
21
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 20, Tanicka Heiskell-Stokes.
22
        JUROR NUMBER 20: Present.
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 22, Thomas John Izzo.
23
24
        JUROR NUMBER 22: Present.
25
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 23, Claibourne Jeremy
```

```
Jackson.
1
2
        JUROR NUMBER 23: Present.
3
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 24, Kimberly Lange Jones.
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 24: Present.
 5
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 26, Thomas Lee Kirk.
6
        JUROR NUMBER 26: Present.
 7
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 27, Robert Anthony Kitusky.
8
        JUROR NUMBER 27: Present.
9
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 28, Samuel Frank Kothman.
10
        JUROR NUMBER 28: Present.
11
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 30, Khawarz Mian.
12
        JUROR NUMBER 30: Present.
13
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 31, Martha Pratt.
        JUROR NUMBER 31: Present.
14
15
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 32, Randolph John Rowekamp.
        JUROR NUMBER 32: Present.
16
17
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 33, Edward Stuart Ruffner.
        JUROR NUMBER 33: Present.
18
19
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 34, Logan Sale Ryan.
20
        JUROR NUMBER 34: Present.
21
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 35, Paul Jay Sixt.
22
        JUROR NUMBER 35: Present.
23
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 36, Lasonya Rene Slade.
24
        JUROR NUMBER 36: Present.
25
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 37, Stacy Jones Slusser.
```

```
JUROR NUMBER 37: Present.
 1
2
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 38, Carol Schumacher Smith.
 3
        JUROR NUMBER 38:
                          Present.
 4
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 39, Douglas Harry Snell.
 5
        JUROR NUMBER 39:
                         Present.
 6
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 40, Laverna Noel Stallard.
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 40: Present.
8
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 41, Temple Rene Stewart.
9
        JUROR NUMBER 41: Present.
10
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 42, Edwinna B. Stinnett.
11
        JUROR NUMBER 42: Present.
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 43, Stuart Philip Webel.
12
13
        JUROR NUMBER 43: Present.
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 44, Brian Scott Wickline.
14
15
        JUROR NUMBER 44: Present.
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 45, Diamond Lakesha Wiggins.
16
17
        JUROR NUMBER 45: Present.
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 46, Richard Paul Williams,
18
19
   Jr.
20
        JUROR NUMBER 46: Present.
21
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 47, Andrea Davis
22
   Winebrenner.
23
        JUROR NUMBER 47: Present.
24
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 48, Shanna Marie Wiseman.
25
        JUROR NUMBER 48:
                          Present.
```

```
Juror Number 49, Russell Leroy Wood, Jr.
        THE CLERK:
 1
2
        JUROR NUMBER 49: Present.
 3
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 50, Deborah Mayo Worten.
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 50:
                         Present.
 5
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 51, Alberta Christophersen.
 6
        JUROR NUMBER 51: Present.
 7
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 52, Virginia Kent Dunn
8
   James.
9
        JUROR NUMBER 52: Present.
10
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 53, Roxanne Briggs Lawrence.
11
        JUROR NUMBER 53: Present.
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 54, Andrea Crockett Rich.
12
13
        JUROR NUMBER 54:
                          Present.
14
        THE CLERK: Are there any jurors present in the
15
   courtroom whose name I did not call?
        Jurors, if you would please stand, raise your right
16
   hand, and answer I shall to the oath about to be given.
17
        You shall true and perfect answer make to those
18
   questions which may be propounded to you by the Court or
19
  by counsel, so help you God?
        JURORS: I shall.
21
22
        THE CLERK:
                   Thank you.
23
        THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, before I begin
   going through a series of questions, there is one
24
25
   principle of law that I want to underscore because we'll
```

be discussing perhaps elements of the charge during the voir dire. Mr. Harris has been indicted by a grand jury as I mentioned, a 6-count indictment; however, keep in 3 mind that an indictment is not evidence against Mr. Harris. It is the legal vehicle that the government 5 uses to bring forth criminal charges. No inference should be drawn from you whatsoever, nor is it evidence the fact that he was indicted by a grand jury.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to select 14 jurors today. Twelve of them will be active jurors, and two will be alternates. Only 12 jurors, though, will actually engage in deliberations in the case.

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm going to ask you a series of questions, and if 14 you have an affirmative answer, I want you to raise your hand. When I call upon you, if you will be kind enough to give your name and your number before you respond. the reason for that is simply Ms. Liscio has got to take down everything that occurs in the courtroom, and the only way she can identify who is speaking is if you identify yourself.

Are there any members of the jury panel who are acquainted with the defendant in this case, Mr. Michael F. Anyone here know Mr. Harris? Harris?

Is there any member of the jury panel who has been employed by, or holds stock in M.F. Harris Research,

```
Incorporated?
```

Is there any member of the jury panel who has been represented either in their personal or professional business by any of the attorneys in this case, Mr. Robert J. Wagner, Mr. Nicholas R. Klaiber, Mr. Michael Gill, or Mr. Gauhar Naseem?

Ladies and gentlemen, this is a case that will probably require at least a week to try. I'm going to try my very, very best to complete this case in a week. It could go over into Monday. I'll do my best, but I can't always deliver on that because there are a lot of variables here. So, is there anyone here — and I know everyone present with the daily lifestyle we lead here in the City of Richmond is busy with their personal, professional, business, and family obligations, but is there anyone here who could not serve for a week if this case required it? I mean, either you've got to do it, or one of your neighbors has got to serve, so I would ask you to search your conscious a bit. But is there anyone in the jury panel who just simply could not serve for a week?

Yes, sir. Gentleman in the first row.

JUROR NUMBER 2: Carroll Allen. Juror Number 2. My wife has become ill and she has an appointment with her doctor in Chapel Hill, North Carolina tomorrow.

THE COURT: All right. I'll do my best to try to

```
accommodate you, Mr. Allen. Thank you, sir.
 1
2
        Yes, ma'am. The lady on the front row.
3
        JUROR NUMBER 5: Number 5. Carolyn Blakelock. I'm a
 4
   principal for a program that's trying to do a test next
 5
   Tuesday, and I have several meetings I'm supposed to be
6
   attending this week.
 7
        THE COURT: Well, we'll do our best to try to
8
   accommodate you. I can't promise you, but I'll do my
9
   best.
10
        JUROR NUMBER 5: I appreciate it. I understand.
11
        THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. I'll do my best.
12
        All right. The lady in the back. Yes, ma'am.
13
        JUROR NUMBER 37: Stacy Jones Slusser. Number 37.
14
        THE COURT:
                   Number 37. Okay.
15
        JUROR NUMBER 37: I currently have a respiratory
   illness right now and I don't know if I'll be able to
16
17
   tolerate it or the Court would tolerate it.
        THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm going to do my
18
19
   very, very best to accommodate you.
20
        JUROR NUMBER 37: Thank you. Sorry.
21
        THE COURT: That's okay. Happens to all of us.
22
        Anyone else on this side?
23
        Anyone on this side? Yes, ma'am.
24
        JUROR NUMBER 34: Number 34. Logan Ryan. I have
25
   plans to go out of town Thursday and Friday.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. I assume it's something that
1
   simply cannot be canceled even if your civic duty requires
 3
   it?
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 34: Well, it was booked about two
 5
   months ago.
6
        THE COURT:
                   Number 34. Ms. Ryan. I'll do my best to
 7
   accommodate you, okay?
8
        JUROR NUMBER 34: Thank you.
9
        THE COURT: All right. Anyone else on this side?
10
   Yes, ma'am.
11
        JUROR NUMBER 45: Number 45. Diamond Wiggins.
        THE COURT: Number 45. Yes, ma'am, Ms. Wiggins.
12
13
        JUROR NUMBER 45:
                          In the evening time I have no one
14
  to get my kids off the bus so I have to be home.
15
        THE COURT: What time do you have to be there?
        JUROR NUMBER 45: My first son gets out of school and
16
17
   he is to be home by 3:15.
18
                    3:15. I'll try to make it a priority to
        THE COURT:
19
   accommodate you. I understand your obligations.
20
        JUROR NUMBER 45: Thank you.
21
        THE COURT: Anyone else?
22
        Excuse me just one second.
23
        Anyone else on this side?
24
        Yes, ma'am.
25
        JUROR NUMBER 3: Number 3. Nancy Andolina.
                                                      I'm a
```

```
diabetic, and I cannot go through a day without eating.
1
2
        THE COURT: I understand. My son is a diabetic. I'm
 3
   familiar with the problem. If you need a recess you can
   just raise your hand and we'll give you a recess, we'll
 4
 5
   accommodate you fully.
6
        JUROR NUMBER 3: Okay.
 7
        THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. And don't be at all shy
8
   about raising your hand. We're glad to do it.
9
        Yes, ma'am.
10
        JUROR NUMBER 53: Roxanne Lawrence. Number 53.
11
        THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
        JUROR NUMBER 53: I'm the only one that works in my
12
13
   home, and --
        THE COURT: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
14
15
   apologize to you.
        JUROR NUMBER 53: I'm the only one that works in my
16
   home, and I would be missing work and not getting paid.
17
        THE COURT: All right. You're Juror Number 53,
18
19
   Ms. Lawrence, is that correct?
20
        JUROR NUMBER 53: Yes, sir.
21
        THE COURT: All right. I'll see what I can do to
22
  help you if possible.
23
        Anyone else? All right.
24
        Is there any member of the jury panel who feels they
25
   have a physical disability that would prevent you from
```

```
sitting through a 5-day jury trial? Now as I mentioned in
1
   response to one of the other juror's comments, if you need
   a recess, need a break, just raise your hand and you'll
 3
   receive it. We'll do everything we can to accommodate
 4
 5
   your personal needs. Is there anyone who feels they have
6
   such a disability?
 7
        Is there anyone here who feels they would have a
   problem seeing or hearing the evidence as it's presented
8
9
   in this case?
10
        Anyone here feel they would have a problem
11
   understanding the English language that would make you
   uncomfortable in sitting as a juror in the case?
12
13
        Now, ladies and gentlemen, is there any member of the
14
  igury panel who has any interest to the outcome of this
15
   case other than making sure that justice is done and that
   the verdict is consistent with the law and the evidence?
16
17
        Is there any member of the jury panel who has ever
   served as a juror in a state or federal court, criminal or
18
   civil case, in Virginia or elsewhere? In other words,
19
   bottom line, have you ever served as a juror in any case?
        All right. Yes, ma'am. Juror Number 1.
21
22
        JUROR NUMBER 1: Marcia Adams. Number 1. It was in
23
   (inaudible).
24
        THE COURT: I can't hear you.
25
        JUROR NUMBER 1: Marcia Adams. And I served in
```

```
Colonial Heights.
 1
2
        THE COURT: Was that a criminal or civil case,
 3
   Ms. Adams?
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 1: It was a criminal case.
 5
        THE COURT: All right. Ms. Adams, anything about
 6
   that experience that would affect your ability to be fair
 7
   and impartial in this case, ma'am?
8
        JUROR NUMBER 1:
                         No.
9
        THE COURT: All right. Very well.
10
        Anyone else on that same row?
11
        Lady in the next row. Yes, ma'am.
        JUROR NUMBER 24: Number 24. Kim Jones.
12
13
        THE COURT: All right. Ms. Jones, where did you
   serve?
14
15
        JUROR NUMBER 24: I served in Richmond.
        THE COURT: Here in the City? City of Richmond?
16
17
        JUROR NUMBER 24: It was.
        THE COURT: All right. How long ago was that?
18
19
        JUROR NUMBER 24: Fifteen years ago.
20
        THE COURT: Civil or criminal case, if you remember?
21
        JUROR NUMBER 24: I'm going to say it was a criminal
22
   case.
                   Anything about that experience,
23
        THE COURT:
24
   Ms. Jones, that would affect your ability to be fair and
25
   impartial here?
```

```
JUROR NUMBER 24:
                          No, sir.
 1
2
        THE COURT: Thank you very much.
 3
        Anyone else on this side? Yes, ma'am. Lady in the
 4
   next row.
 5
                         Temple Stewart. Number 41.
        JUROR NUMBER 41:
                                                        And I
6
   served as a juror in Chesterfield.
 7
        THE COURT: How long ago was that, Ms. Stewart?
8
        JUROR NUMBER 41: I believe it was in '94 or '95.
9
   And it was a criminal case.
10
        THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
11
   affect your ability to be fair and impartial today?
12
        JUROR NUMBER 41: No, sir.
13
        THE COURT: All right.
        Anyone else on this side? The gentleman. Yes, sir.
14
15
        JUROR NUMBER 39: Number 39. Doug Snell. I served
16
   on a jury in Florida 20 years ago, and on a jury in
   Colorado Springs 15 years ago.
17
                   Were they civil or criminal cases, if you
18
        THE COURT:
19
   can recall, Mr. Snell?
20
        JUROR NUMBER 39: Both of them were criminal. One
   was drunk driving, and a theft.
21
22
        THE COURT:
                    Anything about those experiences that
23
  would affect your ability to be fair and impartial today?
24
        JUROR NUMBER 39:
25
        THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
```

```
Anyone else on this side over here?
 1
2
        All right. On this side. Gentleman on the front
 3
   row.
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 16:
                         Number 16. James Folk.
                                                    I served
 5
   as a juror 40 years ago in a criminal case in Texas
6
   District Court.
 7
        THE COURT: In a District Court in Texas?
        JUROR NUMBER 16: Yes.
8
9
        THE COURT: And you say it was a criminal case?
        JUROR NUMBER 16: Criminal case.
10
11
        THE COURT: Anything about that experience, sir, that
   would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
12
13
        JUROR NUMBER 16: Not at all.
14
        THE COURT: All right. Very well.
15
        Lady on the next row. Yes, ma'am.
16
        JUROR NUMBER 31: My name is Martha Pratt.
17
   Number 31. I served on a jury 10 years ago in
   Fredericksburg, Virginia.
18
19
        THE COURT: In Fredericksburg, Virginia. Was that
   criminal or civil?
21
        JUROR NUMBER 31: It was civil.
22
        THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
23
   affect your ability to be fair and impartial today?
24
        JUROR NUMBER 31:
25
        THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
```

```
Yes, ma'am.
                     Lady on the front row.
 1
2
        JUROR NUMBER 13: Sharon Dickens. Juror Number 13.
 3
   It was about 20 years ago, and it was criminal.
 4
        THE COURT:
                   What jurisdiction was that?
 5
                         It was Richmond.
        JUROR NUMBER 13:
 6
        THE COURT: Here in the City of Richmond?
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 13: Yes, sir.
8
        THE COURT: Anything about that experience,
9
   Ms. Dickens, that would affect your ability to be fair and
   impartial today?
10
11
        JUROR NUMBER 13:
                         No, sir.
                   Okay. Thank you very much.
12
        THE COURT:
13
                      The gentleman on the third row.
        Anyone else?
14
        JUROR NUMBER 44:
                          Number 44. Brian Wickline.
15
   served as a juror last year or the year before for the
   City of Hopewell, criminal case.
16
17
        THE COURT: City of Hopewell. Did you say it was a
   criminal case?
18
19
        JUROR NUMBER 44: Yes, sir.
20
        THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
   affect your ability to be fair and impartial today?
21
22
        JUROR NUMBER 44: No, sir.
23
        THE COURT: All right.
24
        Anyone else? Yes, sir. Gentleman next to you.
25
        JUROR NUMBER 46: Number 46. Richard Williams.
                                                          New
```

```
Kent County, grand jury, 11 years ago, civil case.
1
        THE COURT: All right. I was going to ask a separate
2
 3
   question about grand jurors, but since you're on your feet
 4
   I'll ask you the question now. Obviously that is a
 5
   criminal proceeding and it is not one that indicts.
                                                         Ιt
6
   just simply determines whether there is sufficient
 7
   evidence to bring forth a charge. Is there anything about
   that experience that would affect your ability,
8
9
   Mr. Williams, to be fair and impartial in this case?
10
        JUROR NUMBER 46: No, sir.
11
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
        Anyone else? Did I miss somebody?
12
13
        Speaking of grand juries, is there anyone else
14
  besides Mr. Williams who has served on a grand jury? A
15
   grand jury is an investigative body that hears the
   government's side of the case to see whether or not the
16
   evidence is sufficient to bring forth an indictment in the
17
   case. Anybody serve as a grand juror?
18
        Yes, ma'am.
19
20
        JUROR NUMBER 12: Lori Dameron. Number 12. Probably
   six years ago in Middlesex County.
21
22
        THE COURT: Middlesex County, Virginia. Anything
23
   about that experience, Ms. Dameron, that would affect your
   ability to be fair and impartial today?
25
        JUROR NUMBER 12: No, sir.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 1
2
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
 3
   or an immediate member of their family, have served as a
 4
   law enforcement officer, deputy sheriff, state trooper,
 5
   FBI, DEA agent, U.S. Marshal?
6
        All right. Yes, ma'am.
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 42: Edwinna Stinnett. My husband --
        THE COURT: Your number?
8
9
        JUROR NUMBER 42: Number 42.
10
        THE COURT: Okay. Yes, ma'am.
11
        JUROR NUMBER 42: He's been with the State Police for
12
   42 years.
13
        THE COURT: He's been with the State Police for 42
14
  years?
15
        JUROR NUMBER 42: Uh-huh.
        THE COURT: Do you have occasion, Ms. Stinnett, to
16
   talk to your husband about law enforcement issues, or the
17
   law, or issues like that?
18
19
        JUROR NUMBER 42: I do.
20
        THE COURT: I'm sure you do. Just wanted to inquire.
  Nothing wrong with that. Just want to know whether or not
21
22
   any of those conversations, or your relationship with your
23
  husband, would affect your ability to be fair and
   impartial in this case?
24
25
        JUROR NUMBER 42: I don't know. I probably am
```

```
programmed a little bit towards not being so objective.
 1
2
        THE COURT: Well, I want you to be. This is an
 3
   important case, and if you feel uncomfortable because of
 4
   your relationship with your husband's service with the
 5
   State Police or your conversations, just say so.
6
        JUROR NUMBER 42: Okay. I probably do.
 7
        THE COURT: Okay. That's fair. Thank you,
   Ms. Stinnett.
8
9
        Anyone else? Yes, ma'am.
10
        JUROR NUMBER 5: Number 5. Carolyn Blakelock.
   brother-in-law is chief of police in my home town in Ohio.
11
        THE COURT: All right. Do you have any discussions
12
   with him about law enforcement or legal issues?
13
14
        JUROR NUMBER 5: Not regularly. No.
15
        THE COURT: Anything about that relationship, or your
   conversations with him, that would affect your ability to
16
   be fair and impartial today?
17
        JUROR NUMBER 5: No. I don't think so.
18
19
        THE COURT: Thank you very much.
20
        Another lady. I'll take the lady in the -- go ahead.
   You're up.
21
22
        JUROR NUMBER 37: Stacy Jones Slusser. Number 37.
23
        THE COURT: Number 37. Okay.
24
        JUROR NUMBER 37: My brother has been a lieutenant in
25
   the Henrico County Police for the last 24 years.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. Have you discussed law
 1
2
   enforcement, police issues, legal issues with him?
 3
        JUROR NUMBER 37: Not in depth.
 4
        THE COURT: Anything about that relationship, or any
 5
   of your conversations with him, that you feel would affect
6
   your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 37:
                         No.
                   Thank you, Ms. Slusser.
8
        THE COURT:
9
        All right.
                   There was another lady. Yes, ma'am.
10
   the second row. Excuse me. First row. I need stronger
11
   glasses.
        JUROR NUMBER 6: Jury Number 6. Rachel Bondurant.
12
   My brother-in-law was a City of Richmond police officer.
13
14
        THE COURT: Is he still with that police department?
15
        JUROR NUMBER 6: No. He had an accident which didn't
   allow him to do his job anymore.
16
17
        THE COURT: All right. Did you discuss law
   enforcement, police issues with him?
18
        JUROR NUMBER 6: No.
19
20
        THE COURT: Anything about that relationship with him
   that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
21
22
        JUROR NUMBER 6:
                         No.
23
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
24
        Anyone else on this side? Yes, sir.
25
        JUROR NUMBER 28: Number 28. Sam Kothman. My
```

```
brother-in-law was a Baltimore County police detective.
 1
2
        THE COURT: Did you discuss police issues with him,
 3
   law enforcement, legal issues?
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 28:
                         No.
 5
        THE COURT: Anything about that relationship that
 6
   would in any way affect your ability to be fair and
 7
   impartial today?
8
        JUROR NUMBER 28: No, sir.
9
        THE COURT: Thank you.
10
        Anybody else on this side? All right.
11
        Switching to this side. Gentleman on the front row.
12
        JUROR NUMBER 15: Kevin Faubion. Juror 15.
                                                      Му
   brother is a police officer in Suffolk County.
13
14
        THE COURT:
                   Suffolk County, Virginia?
15
        JUROR NUMBER 15: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: Anything about -- well, do you discuss
16
17
   law enforcement and police issues with him?
        JUROR NUMBER 15: Occasionally. But not in depth.
18
        THE COURT: Anything about those conversations, or
19
   your relationship with him, that would affect your ability
   to be fair and impartial?
21
22
        JUROR NUMBER 15: No, sir.
23
        THE COURT: Thank you very much.
24
        Anyone else on that row? Yes, sir. Gentleman on the
25
   end of the row.
```

```
JUROR NUMBER 18: Juror 18. Kevin Goldsmith.
 1
                                                        I have
   a brother-in-law that is a police officer in Prince George
 3
   County, Virginia, and a brother-in-law that is a police
   officer in Las Vegas Metro Police in Las Vegas, Nevada.
 4
 5
        THE COURT: All right. Same questions I've asked the
 6
   other folks. Do you have occasion to discuss law
 7
   enforcement and legal issues with them?
8
        JUROR NUMBER 18: Nothing past casual.
9
        THE COURT: Is there anything about those
   relationships that you feel would make you less than
10
11
   completely fair and impartial in this case?
        JUROR NUMBER 18: No, sir.
12
13
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
14
        Yes, sir. The gentleman.
15
        JUROR NUMBER 43: Juror Number 43. Stuart Webel.
   have a brother who's a captain at the Pamunkey Regional
16
   Jail. And I have a brother-in-law who's an investigator
17
   with the State Police.
18
        THE COURT: All right. Well, I was going to ask
19
20
   separately about correctional officers, but since you've
   responded, is it your brother-in-law that is a
21
22
   correctional officer?
23
        JUROR NUMBER 43:
                         My brother.
        THE COURT: Your brother is a correctional officer.
24
25
   Do you discuss legal issues with him?
```

```
JUROR NUMBER 43: Goings on at the jail sometimes.
 1
        THE COURT: All right. And is it your brother who's
2
 3
   a State Trooper?
        JUROR NUMBER 43: Brother-in-law.
 4
 5
        THE COURT: Your brother-in-law. Do you have
 6
   occasion to discuss with him criminal justice type of
 7
   issues, or legal issues?
        JUROR NUMBER 43: On occasion.
8
9
        THE COURT: Anything about those conversations with
   either of those gentlemen that would affect your ability
10
11
   to be fair and impartial today?
        JUROR NUMBER 43: I don't think so.
12
13
        THE COURT:
                   Well, I want you to search your soul to
14
  make sure.
15
        JUROR NUMBER 43:
                         No.
        THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you, sir.
16
17
        Yes, ma'am.
        JUROR NUMBER 48: Juror Number 48. Shanna Wiseman.
18
19
   I don't know if this applies or not. My mom is an animal
20
   control warden in Dinwiddie County.
        THE COURT: All right.
21
22
        JUROR NUMBER 48: But the answers are no, sir.
23
  she's law enforcement.
24
        THE COURT: Well, at least you're paying attention.
   I'll give you credit for that. All right. Very well.
```

```
Anybody else? All right. Yes, ma'am.
 1
2
        JUROR NUMBER 41: Temple Stewart. Number 41.
 3
   sister just retired from the federal prison as a
   correctional officer for 30 years.
 4
 5
        THE COURT: All right. And do you have occasion to
6
   discuss any legal or law enforcement issues with her?
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 41: Rarely. And I don't think it
8
   would.
9
        THE COURT: It would not affect your ability to be
10
   fair and impartial?
11
        JUROR NUMBER 41:
                         No, sir.
        THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Thank you very much.
12
13
        Since a number of people have already responded, you
14
  need not respond again. Is there anyone here who has not
15
   responded who has a member of their family who is involved
   in the correctional field either as a deputy sheriff, as a
16
   correctional officer with the United States Bureau of
17
  Prisons, or any kind of lockup or correctional facility
18
   that has not responded?
19
20
        Yes, sir. Number 2.
        JUROR NUMBER 2: Juror Number 2. Carroll Skipwith.
21
22
   I have a cousin that's a deputy sheriff in Mecklenburg
23
   County.
24
        THE COURT: Do you discuss law enforcement or
25
   criminal justice or correctional issues with him?
```

```
JUROR NUMBER 2:
                         No, sir.
 1
2
        THE COURT: Anything about that relationship that you
 3
   think would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 2: No, sir.
 5
        THE COURT: All right.
 6
        Anyone else on this side? Yes, sir. Gentleman in
 7
   the back.
8
        JUROR NUMBER 46: Number 46. Richard Williams.
9
   quess it would be my niece's fiance. To the best of my
   knowledge, a contractor to the government as far as
10
11
   illegal aliens, detainment. He's a guard there.
        THE COURT: Okay. Anything about -- do you discuss
12
   law -- this obviously is not an immigration case. Do you
13
14
   discuss any other law enforcement issues with him?
15
        JUROR NUMBER 46: No, sir. Rarely see them.
        THE COURT: Would that relationship affect your
16
17
   ability to be fair and impartial in any way in this case,
   Mr. Williams?
18
19
        JUROR NUMBER 46: No, sir.
20
        THE COURT: Thank you.
        Anyone else?
21
22
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
23
   or an immediate member of their family, is a prosecutor
24
   very much like Mr. Gill here who presents the government's
25
   side of the case in court?
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
or an immediate member of their family, works for the
United States Department of Justice in any capacity?
     Yes, sir.
     JUROR NUMBER 12: Juror Number 16. James Folk.
daughter is a lawyer for the Office of the Controller in
Denver, Colorado.
     THE COURT: All right. I don't know that that's with
the Department of Justice, but it's close enough. Do you
ever discuss law or legal issues with her, Mr. Folk?
     JUROR NUMBER 12: I do not.
     THE COURT: Anything about that relationship that
would affect your ability to be fair and impartial today?
     JUROR NUMBER 12: No. Not at all.
     THE COURT: Thank you.
     Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
or an immediate member of their family, is a forensic
scientist? Someone who examines evidence for the purpose
of giving expert testimony in criminal cases?
     Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
or an immediate member of their family, serves in any
office of the inspector general, someone that conducts
internal investigations within agencies for violations of
the law or ethical violations?
     Is there any member of the jury panel, or member of
```

3 (

```
their immediate family, who is involved in the publication
 1
2
   of any kind of legal journal?
 3
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
 4
   or an immediate member of their family, is employed as a
 5
   security officer or in the field of security?
 6
        Yes, ma'am.
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 6: My husband -- oh, Rachel Bondurant.
   Juror Number 6. My husband is a nuclear security officer.
8
9
                   Okay. Obviously that is a law
        THE COURT:
   enforcement type of position.
10
11
        JUROR NUMBER 6: Okay.
        THE COURT: So have you discussed any criminal
12
   justice or law enforcement issues with him?
13
14
        JUROR NUMBER 6:
                         No.
15
        THE COURT: Anything about his job that would affect
  your ability to be fair and impartial today?
16
17
        JUROR NUMBER 6: No, sir.
        THE COURT: Thank you.
18
        JUROR NUMBER 6: Uh-huh.
19
20
        THE COURT: Anyone else?
        Ladies and gentlemen, I'd ask you to listen to the
21
22
   entire question before you respond. Is there anyone here
23
  who feels they would give the testimony of a law
   enforcement officer more weight and more value than any
24
25
   other witness not because you've listened to all the
```

```
testimony and feel that the testimony is more believable,
 1
   but simply because they're a law enforcement officer you
 3
   feel they're entitled to more weight and credibility than
   any other witness? Anyone have that viewpoint?
 4
 5
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
 6
   or an immediate member of their family, have any kind of
 7
   legal training as a lawyer, paralegal, secretary?
8
        I know Mr. Folk you've already responded, so you need
9
   not again.
10
        Juror Number 1. Yes, ma'am.
11
        JUROR NUMBER 1: Juror Number 1. Marcia Adams.
                                                          Μv
   son and daughter-in-law are both attorneys in
12
13
   Massachusetts.
        THE COURT: Do they do criminal law?
14
15
        JUROR NUMBER 1: No. My son does not work in the
   legal field, but my daughter-in-law does. She does
16
   bankruptcy, and other stuff like that.
17
                   Okay. Ms. Adams, anything about that
18
        THE COURT:
   relationship that would affect your ability to be fair and
19
   impartial in this case?
        JUROR NUMBER 1: No.
21
22
        THE COURT: Do you discuss legal type issues with
23
   them?
24
        JUROR NUMBER 1:
                         No.
25
        THE COURT: Okay.
                           Thank you.
```

```
JUROR NUMBER 1:
                         Thank you.
 1
2
        THE COURT: Anybody else on that front row?
 3
        Yes, ma'am.
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 8: Victoria Bragunier. Juror Number 8.
 5
   I'm an attorney.
 6
        THE COURT: Could I ask you where you practice?
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 8: I practice in Maryland and here in
8
   Virginia.
9
        THE COURT: All right. Do you have a criminal
   practice?
10
11
        JUROR NUMBER 8: Not predominantly. No.
   done -- in Maryland I did small criminal matters. Here in
12
   Virginia it's been corporate law.
13
14
        THE COURT: A couple of questions. Do you feel that
15
   there's anything about your occupation, or the criminal
   cases that you have handled in the past, that would affect
16
   your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
17
18
        JUROR NUMBER 8:
                         No.
19
        THE COURT: Obviously you are schooled in the law and
   you have had extensive legal training. You understand
   that you must base your verdict on the law as I give to
21
22
   you in the instructions. That's what governs the case.
23
  Not what you have learned about the law externally. Can
24
   you abide by that?
25
        JUROR NUMBER 8:
                         Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to hold you to it.
 1
2
        JUROR NUMBER 8: Yes, sir.
 3
        THE COURT: Thank you, now.
 4
        Anybody else on this side? Yes, ma'am.
 5
        JUROR NUMBER 52: Virginia James. Number 52. My son
6
   is a lawyer here in Richmond, Virginia.
 7
        THE COURT: All right. Does he do criminal work?
8
        JUROR NUMBER 52: No. He does primarily securities,
9
   mergers and acquisitions.
10
        THE COURT: Do you have occasion to discuss with him
11
   legal issues?
12
        JUROR NUMBER 52: He does not discuss his legal
13
   issues with me.
                   All right. Is there anything about that
14
        THE COURT:
15
   relationship that would affect your ability to be fair and
   impartial?
16
17
        JUROR NUMBER 52: No.
18
        THE COURT: Thank you very much.
19
        The gentleman. Yes, sir.
20
        JUROR NUMBER 28: Number 28. Sam Kothman.
                                                     My
   brother-in-law is a judge in Chesapeake, Virginia.
21
        THE COURT: Circuit judge or general district court
22
23
   judge, or do you know?
24
        JUROR NUMBER 28: I think a circuit court judge.
25
        THE COURT: Do you have occasion to discuss legal
```

```
type issues with him from time to time?
 1
2
        JUROR NUMBER 28: No, sir.
 3
        THE COURT: Anything about that relationship that
   would in any way affect your ability to be fair and
 4
 5
   impartial here today?
                          No, sir.
6
        JUROR NUMBER 28:
 7
        THE COURT: Thank you very much. You may be seated.
8
        Anyone else on this side? All right.
9
        On this side over here? Yes, sir.
10
        JUROR NUMBER 35: Juror Number 35. Paul Sixt.
11
   wife has worked as a paralegal and is currently going to
   school to get --
12
13
        THE COURT: I couldn't hear the latter part of your
14
   answer.
15
        JUROR NUMBER 35: She's worked as a paralegal, and is
   currently enrolled in college to get her certificate as
16
17
   well.
        THE COURT: Do you discuss law and legal issues with
18
19
   her from time to time?
20
        JUROR NUMBER 35: Not in depth.
21
        THE COURT: All right. Anything about that
   relationship, or any conversations you've had with her,
22
23
   that you feel would affect your ability to be fair and
   impartial in this case?
24
25
        JUROR NUMBER 35: No, sir.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
 1
                                                       You can
2
   have a seat.
 3
        Anybody else? Yes, sir. Gentleman in the front,
 4
   Mr. Folk.
 5
        JUROR NUMBER 16: Number 16. I should say that my
 6
   son-in-law is also a practicing -- in private practice in
 7
   Colorado.
        THE COURT: In Colorado. Does he do criminal work,
8
9
   Mr. Folk, do you know?
10
        JUROR NUMBER 16: Not that I'm aware of.
11
        THE COURT: Have you discussed any criminal issues
  with him?
12
13
        JUROR NUMBER 16:
                          No.
        THE COURT: Anything about that relationship that you
14
15
   feel would affect your ability to be fair and impartial
  today?
16
17
        JUROR NUMBER 16: Not at all.
18
        THE COURT: All right.
        Ladies and gentlemen, as I mentioned to you, this is
19
  basically an alleged fraud case. Is there any member of
   the jury panel who has been the victim of fraudulent
21
22
   activity, either yourself or a member of your family?
23
        Yes, ma'am.
24
        JUROR NUMBER 51: Alberta Christopherson. Number 51.
25
   My husband had his identity stolen.
```

```
THE COURT:
                    And where did that occur?
 1
2
        JUROR NUMBER 51: It occurred in Florida and
 3
   Tennessee. And it took an act of Congress trying to get
   it straight.
 4
 5
                   I understand. Believe me. Was there a
        THE COURT:
 6
   prosecution in that case?
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 51: No, because the person is currently
   incarcerated. But I don't know if they'll prosecute when
8
9
   he comes out.
10
        THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience
11
   that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
   this case?
12
13
        JUROR NUMBER 51: Possibly. Because, like I said, I
14
  was the one that had to do all the leg work.
15
        THE COURT: I fully understand. Thank you very much.
        JUROR NUMBER 51: Thank you.
16
17
        THE COURT: Anyone else?
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
18
   or an immediate member of their family, have been the
19
   victim of any other type of criminal activity that you
   feel might affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
21
22
   this case?
23
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
   or an immediate of their family, have been either a victim
24
25
   or a witness, or in any capacity involved in any kind of
```

```
criminal litigation?
 1
        Yes, ma'am. Ms. Adams. I don't need to know the
2
 3
   details.
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 1: I have a son who had a problem.
 5
        THE COURT: Okay. Would that affect your ability to
6
   be fair and impartial in this case?
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 1: No.
8
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
9
        Yes, ma'am.
10
        JUROR NUMBER 51: Juror 51. Alberta Christophersen.
11
   I have a son that had a problem.
12
        THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
13
   affect your ability to be fair and impartial today?
14
        JUROR NUMBER 51:
                          No.
15
        THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
        Yes, ma'am. Lady on the second row.
16
17
        JUROR NUMBER 20: Juror Number 20. Tanicka
18
   Heiskell-Stokes. I had a husband who had a problem.
19
        THE COURT: All right. Would that affect your
   ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
21
        JUROR NUMBER 20:
                          No.
22
        THE COURT: Thank you very much.
23
        Anyone else? Gentleman next to you.
24
        JUROR NUMBER 22: Number 22. Thomas Izzo. Do I say
25
   I had a problem?
```

```
I don't need to know the details.
        THE COURT:
1
                                                        Would
2
   it affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this
 3
   case?
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 22:
                          No.
 5
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
6
        Yes, sir.
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 39: Number 39. Doug Snell.
8
   witness in a property damage case.
9
        THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
   affect your ability to be fair and impartial today?
10
11
        JUROR NUMBER 39: No, sir.
        THE COURT:
                   Okay.
12
13
        Anybody else on this side? Yes, ma'am.
        JUROR NUMBER 38: Number 38. Carol Schumacher Smith.
14
15
   My student has an issue ongoing right now.
        THE COURT: You're a student?
16
17
        JUROR NUMBER 38: Yes. My student. I work with
18
   special ed at Varina High School.
19
        THE COURT: I see. Anything about that situation
   that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial
   today in this case?
21
22
        JUROR NUMBER 38:
                         No, sir. It shouldn't.
23
        THE COURT: Thank you.
24
        This side over here. Mr. Folk.
25
        JUROR NUMBER 16: James Folk. Number 16. When I was
```

```
employed in the corporation there was a theft of some
 1
   monies associated with a department that I managed. They
 3
   were prosecuted.
 4
        THE COURT:
                    They were prosecuted?
 5
        JUROR NUMBER 16:
                          Yes.
 6
        THE COURT: And were you a witness in that case?
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 16: By deposition. Not on the stand.
8
        THE COURT: Anything about that experience, Mr. Folk,
 9
   that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
   this case?
10
11
        JUROR NUMBER 16: Not at all.
12
        THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
13
        Gentleman in the back.
        JUROR NUMBER 46: Number 46. Richard Williams.
14
15
   wife had a minor altercation.
        THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
16
   affect your ability to be fair and impartial here today?
17
18
        JUROR NUMBER 46:
                          No, sir.
19
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20
        Anyone else?
        Ladies and gentlemen, there may be some evidence in
21
22
   this case involving firearms. Not that the crime was
   committed with a firearm, but there is going to perhaps be
23
   some evidence concerning firearms in the case. Firearms
24
   have had various affects on various individuals during the
25
```

4 (

```
course of our society, and people have different views.
1
  And my question of you is this: Is there anyone here who
  has such strong views about firearms that they could not
 3
  be fair and impartial in this case?
 4
 5
        Is there any member of the jury panel who is a member
6
   of any kind of organization that either supports or
 7
   opposes firearms that you think would affect your ability
   to be fair and impartial just by virtue of you being a
8
9
   member?
10
        Excuse me. Yes, ma'am.
11
        JUROR NUMBER 51: Juror 51. My husband is a member
   of the NRA.
12
13
        THE COURT: Well, that's fine. But the question is
   whether or not that would affect your ability to be fair
14
15
   and impartial today?
        JUROR NUMBER 51: No, I don't think so.
16
17
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you for your very
18
   candid response.
19
        Is there anyone else?
20
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
   or immediate member of their family, have any training in
21
22
   the field of medicine or pharmacy such as an M.D., R.N.,
23
  licensed practical nurse, doctor of pharmacy? Any of
   those.
24
25
        All right, we'll start with you, Ms. Adams. You're
```

```
an R.N., right?
 1
2
        JUROR NUMBER 1:
                         I'm an R.N.
 3
        THE COURT: This evidence will discuss some research
 4
   in the area of AIDS and HIV. And I'm going to talk about
 5
   that in more detail in just a moment. But having been a
6
   registered nurse, do you think you could be fair and
 7
   impartial?
8
        JUROR NUMBER 1: Yes, I can.
9
        THE COURT: All right.
10
        Yes, ma'am.
11
        JUROR NUMBER 6: Juror Number 6. Rachel Bondurant.
   My mother is an R.N.
12
13
        THE COURT: Same question. Would you be able to be
14
  fair and impartial in this case?
15
        JUROR NUMBER 6: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
16
17
        I think the lady in back. Yes, ma'am.
18
        JUROR NUMBER 53: Fifty-three. Roxanne Lawrence.
   I'm an L.P.N.
19
20
        THE COURT: Pardon?
        JUROR NUMBER 53: I'm an L.P.N.
21
22
        THE COURT: You're an L.P.N. Okay. As I mentioned
23
   to Ms. Adams, there will be some evidence in the case
24
   concerning perhaps AIDS and HIV research. Anything about
25
   your training, your education, or your experience that
```

```
would affect your ability to be fair an impartial in this
 1
2
   case?
 3
        JUROR NUMBER 53: No, sir.
 4
        THE COURT: Thank you very much.
 5
                   Lady on the second row. Yes, ma'am.
        All right.
 6
        JUROR NUMBER 31: Martha Pratt. Juror Number 31.
                                                            My
 7
   sister has been an R.N. for over 30 years.
8
        THE COURT: Have you ever had a chance to discuss
9
   with her HIV or AIDS?
10
        JUROR NUMBER 31: Yeah. We talk about stuff.
11
        THE COURT: Anything about those discussions, or your
   relationship with her, that would affect your ability to
12
13
   be fair and impartial here today?
        JUROR NUMBER 31:
14
                          No.
15
        THE COURT: Thank you.
        Yes. Mr. Folk.
16
17
        JUROR NUMBER 16: James Folk. Number 16. Probably
   tangential, but I'm a practicing EMT with Spottsylvania
18
19
   County as a volunteer.
20
        THE COURT: Okay. Anything about your training or
   your experience that would affect your ability to be fair
21
22
   and impartial here today?
23
        JUROR NUMBER 16: Not at all.
24
        THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?
25
        Is there any member of the jury panel, or immediate
```

```
member of their family, that is currently or in the past
 1
   been involved in any form of HIV or AIDS research
   professionally or academically?
 3
 4
        Yes, ma'am.
 5
        JUROR NUMBER 6: Yeah. I guess I should have
 6
   elaborated. Rachel Bondurant. Juror Number 6. My mom
 7
   for 15 years worked for an infectious disease doctor who
   specialized in HIV.
8
9
        THE COURT: Well, the question again, Ms. Bondurant,
   would be whether or not it would affect your ability to be
10
11
   fair and impartial?
        JUROR NUMBER 6: No. No.
12
13
        THE COURT: All right. And once again I may have
   asked you this, and if I did I apologize to you, have you
14
15
   discussed HIV and AIDS research with your mother?
        JUROR NUMBER 6: No, sir.
16
17
        THE COURT: Okay.
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
18
   or an immediate member of their family, is employed by or
19
20
   owns stock in a company or organization that you're aware
   of that does HIV or AIDS-related research or treatment?
21
22
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
23
   or an immediate member of their family, holds any patents
24
   relating to HIV or AIDS research?
25
        I probably already covered this, but I want to be
```

```
careful to make a good record here. Is there any member
 1
   of the jury panel who themselves, or an immediate member
 3
   of their family with the exception of Ms. Bondurant here,
   who has treated or cared for AIDS patients?
 4
 5
        Yes, ma'am.
 6
        JUROR NUMBER 53: Juror 53. Roxanne Lawrence.
 7
   cared for a patient that had AIDS.
8
        THE COURT: Would that affect your ability to be fair
9
   and impartial in this case today?
10
        JUROR NUMBER 53:
                          No.
11
        THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
        Let me ask a much more general question here.
12
13
   there any member of the jury panel, or member of your
14
  family or circle of friends, who have been affected by the
15
   HIV or AIDS virus either directly or indirectly that you
   think may affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
16
   this case?
17
        Is there any member of the jury panel who has any
18
   personal views about AIDS or HIV positive individuals that
19
   you think could affect your ability to be fair and
   impartial in this case?
21
22
        Any member of the jury panel have an advanced degree
23
   in the field of biology or related type of field?
24
        Any member of the jury panel employed in the
25
   securities industry as either a broker, counselor,
```

```
investment adviser, corporate stock issuer, or regulator
 1
2
   or investigator?
 3
        Is there any member of the jury panel who conducts
 4
   any kind of fraud investigations?
 5
        Yes, ma'am.
        JUROR NUMBER 20: Tanicka Heiskell-Stokes. Juror 20.
 6
 7
   I am currently a supervisor of fraud investigations with
   Capital One.
8
9
        THE COURT: For Capital One?
10
        JUROR NUMBER 20: Yes, sir.
11
        THE COURT: Are you actively involved in the
  investigations?
12
13
        JUROR NUMBER 20: I supervise.
        THE COURT: You oversee other investigators, is that
14
15
   right?
        JUROR NUMBER 20: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
16
17
        THE COURT: Okay. Now, knowing that there are fraud
   and fraud-related charges in this case, would that affect
18
   your ability to be fair and impartial in this case in any
19
20
   way?
        JUROR NUMBER 20: No, sir, it would not.
21
22
        THE COURT: Thank you very much.
23
        Anyone else? Yes, ma'am.
24
        JUROR NUMBER 9: Juror Number 9. Celia Broadus.
                                                           I'm
25
   a professional as a Certified Public Accountant.
```

```
during audit and attestation services, I do perform fraud
 1
2
   types of internal controls and investigations.
 3
        THE COURT: Ms. Broadus, anything about your
 4
   educational background or your professional experiences
 5
   that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
   this case?
6
 7
        JUROR NUMBER 9: No, sir.
8
        THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Broadus. You may have a
9
   seat.
10
        Yes, sir. Gentleman in the back.
11
        JUROR NUMBER 39: Juror 39. Doug Snell. I spent a
   couple years in the military investigating contract
12
   quality assurance with product fraud.
13
14
        THE COURT:
                   Anything about those experiences,
15
   Mr. Snell, that would affect your ability to be fair and
   impartial in this case?
16
17
        JUROR NUMBER 39: No, sir.
18
        THE COURT:
                   Thank you very much.
19
        Anyone else on this side? Yes, ma'am. Lady in the
20
   back row.
21
        JUROR NUMBER 54: I'm Andrea Rich. Juror 54.
22
   previously work at Capital One in the area of
23
   transactional fraud and identity fraud.
24
        THE COURT: How long did you do that, if I might ask?
25
        JUROR NUMBER 54: For eight years.
```

```
THE COURT: Anything about those experiences that
 1
   would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here
 3
   today?
 4
        JUROR NUMBER 54:
                          No, sir.
        THE COURT: Thank you for your response.
 5
 6
        Anyone else?
 7
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
   or an immediate member of their family, is employed by the
8
9
   U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission or the Virginia
   State Corporation Commission?
10
        Is there any member of the jury panel who themselves,
11
   or an immediate member of their family, is employed by a
12
   start-up company? One that's just getting started.
13
14
        Yes, ma'am.
15
        JUROR NUMBER 34: Number 34. Logan Sale. I guess it
  was last Monday I started working for a start-up company
16
   designing a mobile app.
17
        THE COURT: All right. This case will involve
18
   evidence that at one point in time Mr. Harris' company was
19
  a start-up company. Would the fact that you're employed
   by a start-up company in any way affect your ability to be
21
22
   fair and impartial in this case?
        JUROR NUMBER 34:
23
                          No, sir.
24
        THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25
        Anybody else?
```

```
I think I've asked this question, but I'm going to
 1
   ask it again at the risk of being repetitious. Is there
 3
   anyone here who's ever applied for a patent? Okay.
        I don't believe there has been a lot of publicity
 4
 5
   about this case, but I want to be sure that perhaps you
 6
   haven't heard anything about it. Is there anyone here
 7
   who's heard anything about this case from any source
   whatsoever, newspaper, beauty salon, barber shop, church,
8
9
   anywhere?
             Okay.
10
        Yes, ma'am. I'm sorry.
11
        JUROR NUMBER 41: Temple Stewart. Juror Number 41.
   I'm pretty sure I've heard something.
12
13
        THE COURT: About this case?
14
        JUROR NUMBER 41: Well, something with HIV and, you
15
   know, someone trying to use that --
        MR. WAGNER: Your Honor, may we approach on this?
16
17
        THE COURT: Yes.
        Hold on just one second.
18
19
        MR. WAGNER: May we approach the Bench?
20
        THE COURT: I'm going to have her come up to the
   Bench. Let me have counsel come up to the Bench.
21
22
        And if you would just come up just for a second.
23
   Yes, ma'am.
24
        Hold on just one moment.
25
     (Bench conference held outside the hearing of the voir
```

```
dire panel.)
 1
2
        THE COURT:
                   Mr. Wagner, does your client waive being
 3
   present at Bench appearances?
 4
        MR. WAGNER: He does.
 5
        THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Tell us about it.
 6
        JUROR NUMBER 41: It was just briefly. I remember
 7
   hearing something on the news about someone starting a
   company and using it with HIV, and using that to get
8
9
   people to invest in it. So I don't -- I don't know if it
   was a year ago, but I remember hearing about it. I don't
10
11
   remember the name of the company, or anything like that.
        THE COURT: Did you form any impression about the
12
   quilt or innocence of the individual?
13
14
        JUROR NUMBER 41:
                          No.
15
        THE COURT: Okay.
        JUROR NUMBER 41: But I do remember hearing
16
17
   something.
18
        THE COURT: Okay. It was broadcast media, I assume,
19
   Ms. Stewart?
20
        JUROR NUMBER 41: Yes, sir.
        MR. WAGNER: Do you know if it was TV or the
21
22
   newspaper, radio?
        JUROR NUMBER 41: Gosh. It wasn't the Internet
23
   because I don't -- it had to have been either like -- I
24
25
   don't know if it was NPR. That's the only radio that I
```

```
And, you know, I have the TV on sometimes, but
   listen to.
1
2
   I don't know if it was radio or TV. But I do remember
 3
   hearing something briefly about it.
 4
        THE COURT: Okay. But you have no takeaway
 5
   impressions, is that correct?
6
        JUROR NUMBER 41: No, sir.
 7
        THE COURT: All right.
8
        Mr. Gill, any questions?
9
        MR. GILL: No, Your Honor.
10
        THE COURT: Ms. Stewart, thank you so much for coming
11
   up.
12
                 (Bench conference concluded.)
13
        THE COURT: Folks, I have a few more questions and
14
   that should wrap this up. Before I do that, I'm going to
15
   ask Mr. Gill to read the list of his witnesses to you, and
   the question I will ask you ultimately is if you know any
16
   of these folks.
17
                   Thank you, Your Honor.
18
        MR. GILL:
19
        THE COURT: Yes, sir.
20
        MR. GILL: Today with us is Special Agent Brad Gregor
   who's with the Federal Bureau of Investigation. He'll be
21
22
   a witness in this case.
23
        This is Bill Ward. He's an investigator with the
   State Corporation Commission, and may potentially be a
25
  witness in the case.
```

```
And these are the other individuals on the United
 1
   States' witness list:
2
 3
        Rosalie Baker. And she is out of Northern Virginia
 4
   in the Maryland area.
 5
        Marcelo Bellato. He is from San Francisco,
   California.
6
 7
        Erika Carrier from the Castleton, Virginia area and
   also the Maryland area.
8
9
        Russell Carrier from Castleton, Virginia.
10
        Myranda Caudill, C-A-U-D-I-L-L, from Luray, Virginia.
11
        Diane Desch, D-E-S-C-H, from the Richmond, Virginia
12
  area.
13
        David Evans from Portsmouth, Virginia.
14
        Nicole Gentry. She is an officer in Fredericksburg,
15
   Virginia.
        Brian Hanlon, who's out of Washington, D.C. He works
16
   with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
17
        Special Agent Tim Huff with the FBI. He's based out
18
   of Fredericksburg.
19
20
        Tim Kanagy who lives in West Virginia. He spells his
   last name K-A-N-A-G-Y.
21
22
        Peter McGivney, M-C-G-I-V-N-E-Y. He is with the
23
  National Steeplechase Association out of Elkton, Maryland.
        Major Joseph Newcomb. He is presently based out of
24
   Hubert, North Carolina.
```

```
I'll spell his last name.
        John Pinyerd.
 1
2
   P-I-N-Y-E-R-D. Marietta, Georgia.
3
        Sandra Raynor with RELS Title out of Front Royal,
 4
   Virginia.
 5
        Katie Ritter from Monkton, Maryland.
6
        Special Agent Julio Tobar who is with the FBI out of
 7
   Denver, Colorado.
8
        John, goes by Ted, Marosi. He's from Bay Village,
9
   Ohio.
10
        Steve or Steven Weiner from Marlton, New Jersey.
11
        Cindy Williamson who's a financial analyst with the
   National White Collar Crime Center right here in Richmond,
12
13
   Virginia.
        David Brandt who is with Brandt and Associates out of
14
15
   Alexandria, Virginia.
        Julie Hagan out of Poolesville, Maryland.
16
17
        Dr. Colette Moussali, M-O-U-S-S-A-L-I. She is here
   in Richmond, Virginia.
18
        Patricia Lynn Payne from Fredericksburg, Virginia.
19
20
        Lisa Reichwein, R-E-I-C-H-W-E-I-N, Fredericksburg,
21
   Virginia.
22
        And that concludes the list.
23
        Thank you, Your Honor.
24
        THE COURT: Yes, sir.
25
        Any additional witnesses you wish to add to that,
```

```
Mr.
       Wagner?
1
2
        MR. WAGNER: I do.
 3
        THE COURT: Go right ahead, sir.
 4
        MR. WAGNER: Good morning.
 5
        Dr. Rodney Sparks from Crozet, Virginia.
6
        Bill Alshire from Luray, Virginia.
 7
        Possibly these names:
8
        Janet Delcastillo.
9
        John Brewbaker.
10
        Anthony Voccia.
11
        Lynn Payne.
        Dr. Anne Prochera.
12
13
        Frank Roth.
14
        Jason Jenkins.
15
        THE COURT: Is anyone on the jury panel acquainted
   with any of the individuals that either Mr. Gill or
16
   Mr. Wagner read to you?
17
        Is there any member of the jury panel who believes
18
   that just because this defendant was indicted by a grand
19
   jury he must be quilty of something or he wouldn't be
  here? Anyone have that view going into the case?
21
22
        Is there any member of the jury panel who is sensible
23
  to any prejudice whatsoever toward either the government
   in this case or the defendant?
25
        Is there any member of the jury panel who could not
```

apply the very fundamental proposition of law that 1 Mr. Harris is presumed to be innocent unless and until the 3 government proves his quilt beyond a reasonable doubt? Anybody have any problem with that fundamental proposition 4 5 of law? 6 Is there anyone that feels the government's burden of 7 proof, namely beyond a reasonable doubt, is too high a standard to hold the government to? 8 9 Now, ladies and gentlemen, I have no idea what evidence, if any evidence, Mr. Harris intends to put on in 10 11 this case. But assuming for a moment that he decides to put on no evidence, the law is very clear that you cannot 12 hold that against him or even consider it in your 13 14 deliberations. In that case, you must base your 15 deliberations strictly on the strength of the government's case. Is there anyone here who could not apply that 16 principle of law? 17 Ladies and gentlemen, I confess to you I have asked 18 every possible question I can think of, and then a few, 19 but is there any other reason maybe I didn't touch on why you feel you could not sit on this case and be fair and 21 22 impartial to both the United States and the defendant in 23 this case? Anything I forgot? All right. Very good. 24 25 Let me ask counsel to approach the Bench please.

```
If you all will just sit back and relax for just a
1
2
   second.
3
     (Bench conference held outside the hearing of the voir
 4
                          dire panel.)
 5
                    Take a look at the following jurors, if
        THE COURT:
6
   you would, and then we'll go through each individual one.
 7
        Number 2, wife's ill.
8
        Number 5 has to go out of town for a meeting.
9
        Number 34 has travel plans.
10
        Number 37, she's ill today.
11
        Forty-two could not be fair and impartial. Her
   husband's a State Trooper.
12
13
        Forty-five. She has to pick up her children at 3:00
14
  in the afternoon.
15
        I'm inclined to excuse those people for cause unless
  you have some objection to it.
16
17
        Mike?
18
        MR. GILL: I do not, Your Honor.
19
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
20
        THE COURT: All right. Okay.
        I forgot Number 51 who said she couldn't be fair and
21
22
   impartial. Any objection to those?
23
        MR. GILL:
                   No, sir.
24
        MR. WAGNER: No, sir.
25
        THE COURT: Do you have those okay?
```

```
THE CLERK:
                    Yes, sir.
 1
2
        THE COURT:
                   Mr. Wagner, any others, sir?
3
        MR. WAGNER: I do want to raise some concerns.
                                                         There
 4
   was one juror, and I believe it was 20, who is a
 5
   supervisor of fraud investigations for Capital One.
6
   think that because of that position that she should be
 7
   struck.
8
        THE COURT: I saw nothing in her responses that would
9
   disqualify her as a juror. She indicated unequivocally
   she could be fair and impartial. So that motion is going
10
11
   to have to be denied.
        Any others?
12
13
                    No, sir.
        MR. WAGNER:
        THE COURT: She indicated she worked for Capital One
14
15
   for a number of years, but she didn't indicate it would
   affect her ability to be fair and impartial, so I don't
16
   think you've made a record on that. I'll have to deny it.
17
        Mike?
18
19
        MR. GILL: We have no motions for cause, Your Honor.
20
        THE COURT: All right. Very well.
        Are there any questions that I forgot to ask? I took
21
22
   all your stuff and went through them. Did I miss
23
   anything, gentlemen?
24
        MR. GILL: You did not.
25
        There's one thing, Your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT:
                    Yes, sir.
 1
2
        MR. GILL: One of the witnesses I told them about,
 3
   Lisa Reichwein, the FBI told me she goes by Lisa Parker.
 4
   She's a radio personality. So if somebody can ask them if
   they know a Lisa Parker, radio personality in
 5
6
   Fredericksburg, Virginia.
 7
        THE COURT: All right.
8
                    (Bench conference paused.)
9
                    Ladies and gentlemen, let me ask you one
        THE COURT:
   additional question. Is there anyone here who knows a
10
11
   radio personality in Fredericksburg by the name of --
        Mr. Gill?
12
        MR. GILL: Lisa Parker.
13
14
        THE COURT: Lisa Parker. Do you know this
15
   broadcaster on one of the local stations?
16
        Okay.
               Thank you very much.
   (Resuming Bench conference held outside the hearing of the
17
18
                        voir dire panel.)
19
        THE COURT: Mr. Gill?
20
        MR. GILL:
                   Yes, sir.
21
        MR. WAGNER: Yes, sir.
22
        THE CLERK:
                    Number 20. She didn't get taken out, did
23
   she, Judge?
24
        THE COURT:
                   No, ma'am.
25
        THE CLERK:
                    Okay.
```

```
(Bench conference concluded.)
1
2
        THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're now going to
 3
   proceed with jury selection. It doesn't take long in
 4
   Federal Court. What we'll do is this. We'll select 12
   jurors, and then we'll select two alternates. So just
 5
6
   bear with us. We will get started in just a second.
 7
        THE CLERK: As I call the names of the following
8
   jurors, if they would please come forward and take a seat
9
   in the jury box:
10
        Juror Number 40, Laverna Noel Stallard.
11
        Juror Number 28, Samuel Frank Kothman.
12
        Juror Number 18, Kevin Thomas Goldsmith.
13
        Juror Number 30, Khawar Mian.
        Juror Number 27, Robert Anthony Kitusky.
14
15
        Juror Number 50, Deborah Mayo Worten.
        Juror Number 54, Andrea Crockett Rich.
16
        Juror Number 16, James Bradley Folk.
17
        Juror Number 20, Tanicka Heiskell-Stokes.
18
        Juror Number 1, Marcia Ann Tatum Adams.
19
20
        Juror Number 43, Stuart Philip Webel.
        Juror Number 46, Richard Paul Williams, Jr.
21
22
        As I call the names of the following jurors, if they
23
  would please step down and return to their seats in the
   courtroom:
24
25
        Juror Number 20, Tanicka Heiskell-Stokes.
```

```
Juror Number 54, Andrea Crockett Rich.
 1
2
        Juror Number 46, Richard Paul Williams, Jr.
3
        As I call the names of the following jurors, if they
 4
   would come forward and take a seat in the jury box:
 5
        Juror Number 6, Rachel Weber Bondurant.
 6
        Juror Number 39, Douglas Harry Snell.
 7
        Juror Number 41, Temple Rene Stewart.
8
        As I call the names of the following jurors, if they
9
   would please step down and return to their seats in the
10
   courtroom:
11
        Juror Number 6, Rachel Weber Bondurant.
        Juror Number 39, Douglas Harry Snell.
12
13
        As I call the names of the following jurors, if they
  would please come forward and take a seat in the jury box:
14
15
        Juror Number 52, Virginia Kent Dunn James.
        Juror Number 24, Kimberly Lange Jones.
16
17
        As a call the names of the following jurors, if they
   would please come forward and stand in front of the jury
18
19
   box:
20
        Juror Number 4, Angela Sobert Arrington.
21
        Juror Number 32, Randolph John Rowekamp.
22
        THE COURT:
                    What was that number again, Ms. Pizzini?
23
        THE CLERK:
                    Number 32, Your Honor.
24
        THE COURT:
                    Thank you.
25
        THE CLERK: Juror Number 48, Shanna Marie Wiseman.
```

```
Juror Number 44, Brian Scott Wickline.
 1
2
        Juror Number 38, Carol Schumacher Smith.
 3
        Juror Number 17, Todd Everett Foster.
 4
        As I call the names of the following jurors, you may
 5
   return to your seats in the courtroom:
6
        Juror Number 38, Carol Schumacher Smith.
 7
        Juror Number 32, Randolph John Rowekamp.
        Juror Number 4, Angela Sobert Arrington.
8
9
        Juror Number 48, Shanna Marie Wiseman.
10
        THE COURT: Are there any issues concerning jury
11
   selection that you want to bring to my attention at this
   time, Mr. Gill?
12
13
        MR. GILL:
                   No, Your Honor.
14
        THE COURT:
                   Mr. Wagner?
15
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
16
        THE COURT: All right. Finding the panel free from
17
   exception, I'll ask the Clerk of the Court to administer
18
   the oath.
19
        THE CLERK: If the jury would please stand, raise
   your right hand, and answer I shall to the oath about to
              If the defendant would also please stand.
21
   be given.
22
        You shall well and truly try, and a true deliverance
23
  make between the United States and Michael F. Harris, the
   defendant at the bar, whom you shall have in charge and a
24
25
   true verdict give according to the evidence, so help you
```

```
God?
 1
2
        JURORS:
                 I shall.
 3
                    Thank you.
        THE CLERK:
 4
        THE COURT:
                    Is there any reason why the balance of
 5
   the jury panel should not be excused at this time?
6
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
 7
        MR. GILL: No, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Ms. Pizzini, you may excuse the balance
8
9
   of the jury.
10
                    The remaining jurors may be excused.
        THE CLERK:
11
   you need further information, or if you have questions,
   you can go to Room 3000 on the third floor; otherwise, you
12
   may leave directly from here.
13
14
        THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I once again want
15
   to express my appreciation for your time and your service.
   Without your presence here and the time that you take, our
16
   system could not operate, and we don't forget that.
17
                 Thank you.
18
        JURORS:
19
        THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, in just a few
   minutes we're going to take a recess to allow you to get
  situated back in the jury room and have a coke, glass of
21
22
   water, a cup of coffee, or a cup of tea, but before we do
   that I want to take a few minutes and kind of outline for
23
   you how this case will proceed because you're going to
24
25
   find that the way an actual courtroom works is sometimes
```

far different than what you see on some of those television shows.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, when we get back, our first order of business is what is known as opening statements. And it allows counsel for both sides to address you directly. And in their open comments, they will explain to you what they think will and will not be proven by the evidence in this case. Keep in mind that nothing the lawyers tell you during either the opening statement or final arguments is evidence in the case. The evidence is the testimony and the documents and other items we'll talk about here in just a minute.

Now, one of the principal offices of the opening statement is to outline to you what the issues are in this case, and how they will be addressed by both sides.

They'll also define for you the issues that you will have to resolve later as a deliberating jury. It's kind of a roadmap. It's a preview of coming attractions, but it's a roadmap to help you in your analysis of the evidence and in your deliberations.

Now, after the opening statements, the United States, who has the burden of proof, will begin with its evidence.

As the United States puts its evidence on, the defense will have the opportunity to cross-examine the government's witnesses. At the close of the government's

case, if the defendant chooses to do so, remember he has no obligation to introduce any evidence and if he doesn't you can't even consider that, but if he does, the United States will have the opportunity to cross-examine the defense witnesses.

After the -- if the defendant puts on evidence, because the government has the burden of proof, they will have the additional opportunity to put on rebuttal evidence. Rebuttal evidence is very narrowly focused evidence. It's not a chance to kind of go back and reopen your original case and put on a few things you may have forgotten. It can only address specific issues that are raised by the defense. And of course during the course of that, the defense will have an opportunity to cross-examine the government's witnesses.

At the close of all the evidence, I will excuse you back to the jury room for a few minutes, and the lawyers and I will decide what instructions of law to give you.

And the instructions of law are kind of the snippets of legal propositions that will guide you in your deliberation. They are the legal yardstick that you will use to measure the evidence that you have heard.

Now, once we agree upon the instructions, you'll come out and I will read each and every instruction to you. It will take a little while, but I have to do that. But you

will be able to take a copy of the instructions with you back to the jury room to review them and to refresh your recollection either before and/or during your deliberations.

I often advise juries to begin your deliberations by reading the instructions so you will know what must be proven and how it must be proven.

After I give you the instructions, you will then hear the final arguments of attorneys. That is advocacy in action. They will argue to you what has and has not been proven by the evidence that you've heard. But once again, nothing the lawyers tell you in their final arguments is evidence. You can use it in your analysis to kind of link up all the dots. But if there is an element or an item of evidence that's missing, final argument or opening statement cannot supply it.

Now, during the course of the case you must not be influenced in any way by any personal feelings of sympathy for or prejudice toward either side. It's your sworn duty to give a fair shake to both sides in this.

Now, I'm the one that determines the law in the case, and I do that with the instructions which I will give you at the close of the evidence and perhaps during the course of the trial. Your job is to decide the facts. You can decide the facts with the evidence that you hear, and you

can also draw any reasonable inference from that fact, but keep in mind that you cannot engage in guesswork or speculation. There has got to be a factual basis, some factual basis, for every decision that you make.

Now, the evidence. It will consist of the testimony of the witnesses and the documents that are admitted into evidence, and other exhibits. There are times in which lawyers stipulate to things. That means that both sides have agreed that something is a fact. And when a stipulation is offered, you're technically not obligated as a jury to accept it, but I strongly advise you to do so because none of the parties in the case are disputing that particular fact.

The admission of evidence during the course of the trial is governed by what is known as the rules of evidence which have evolved over centuries of time. And the rules of evidence are designed to protect the integrity of the fact-finding function that you're engaged in. Now, during the course of the trial it will undoubtedly be the obligation of the lawyers to object to certain things. And when they do, do not hold it against them because they're merely trying to preserve the integrity of the proceeding to make sure what you hear is fair and competent evidence.

Now, when an objection is made, it is my job as the

umpire here to decide what you can and cannot hear. If I sustain the objection, that means that you should not consider that answer. If it is overruled, the answer stands.

Sometimes during the course of this case there may be evidence where it has a limited evidentiary purpose, and you can only consider it very narrowly. When that occurs, I will give you a specific instruction as to how you must weigh that evidence. And I know you will follow it very carefully.

You should never consider anything you see outside the courtroom. During the course of a recess or luncheon recess, if you overhear something or hear something, that cannot be considered by you in your deliberations. Your deliberations must be confined strictly to what you see and hear in the courtroom.

Now, the evidence will have two different types. It will be direct evidence, which is an eyewitness who recounts directly to you what he or she heard. And then there is circumstantial evidence, which is proof of fact from which you may infer to conclude that other facts exist.

The example that I have given for many many years, if this morning before you came out for jury service you put that big plastic can out at the end of your driveway or

out on the curb with all your recyclables, and when you get home this evening and you look back in that can and it's empty, well, you didn't see anybody remove the cans, but logic and common sense dictated that someone did. And that is an example, albeit a bit ridiculous, of circumstantial evidence.

It's important for you to keep in mind during the course of this case that no comment I make, no ruling I offer, or no admonition that I give, is in any way intended to telegraph to you my feelings about this performance of the lawyers, the guilt or innocence of the accused, or the weight to be given to the evidence. You alone are the ones who have the difficult task of determining the believability of the witnesses, and the weight and value to be given to the evidence.

Now, let me give you a couple of thoughts that might be of value. In determining the believability of witnesses and the weight and the value of evidence, you should first look to the appearance, attitude, and behavior of the witness on the witness stand. And this exercise, folks, is really no different than what you do everyday in your life, personally and professionally, in sizing an individual up in determining how believable they are.

Then look to the interest that witness may have in

the outcome of the case. What do they have to gain. What do they have to lose. Look at the relationship the party may and the witness may have to either side in the case.

Look next to the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully. What does that mean? Well, often in a case either the defense or the prosecution may present a witness with an inconsistent statement of an inconsistent act that may have been performed. And in determining how much believability to give to the testimony from the witness stand, you can consider prior inconsistent statements and acts in determining the inclination of a witness to speak truthfully.

And lastly, the probability or improbability of the witness's statements. Folks, one of the most important things that you bring to this courtroom is your collective human experience. And in determining the probability or improbability of testimony, you should square that testimony against your collective human experience and good common sense and logic to determine whether or not it rings true.

But in determining the weight and value of the evidence, you can give any one item of testimony and any one exhibit such weight and such value as you think it's entitled to, but that must be done in the context of all the evidence. In other words, all your decisions on

believability, the weight and value of the evidence, have to be considered based upon the totality of all the evidence that you have heard.

Now, pay careful attention to the testimony as you hear it because unlike what you see on TV on all those cop shows, we do not allow our court reporter to go back to the jury room and read back testimony to you.

And to allow you to refresh your recollection, the Marshal will give you a pad and pen and you can take notes during the course of the trial, but I will give you an instruction before you hear the opening statements on how to use those notes.

Now, keep in mind that the defendant is presumed to be innocent unless and until the government proves his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. During the course of this case, you must not discuss it among yourselves or with anyone else. Avoid contact with anyone else involved in the case until it's closed. If you have questions or concerns, you can talk to Marshal Wray or members of my staff, but none of the parties or litigants during the course of the case.

And also, when you go back to the jury room, as you will in about two or three minutes, you cannot begin chatting about the evidence that you've heard or your impressions about the case. You cannot discuss the case

until you've heard all the evidence and the instructions and you are deliberating under the charge of the presiding juror, and only when all 12 of the jurors are present. If you're discussing a point during your deliberations and one of the jurors has to excuse themself for a minute, all deliberations must come to a hault until all 12 people are present.

Now, for your planning purposes -- also, I want to tell you something obvious. Don't make up your mind on anything until you've heard all the evidence because, folks, there's always two sides to every story.

Now, for your planning purposes, we will recess every day about 1:00, give or take five minutes, for lunch for one hour. I'll give you a recess in the afternoon about 3:00 or 3:30 for about 10 or 15 minutes. We'll take a recess in the morning about 10:30 or 11:00. Today we started at 9:30. Tomorrow we're going to start promptly at 9:00. I want to get you out of here as quick as we can. And I will try to close no later than 6:00 because I know each of you have your own personal and family obligations, so I'm going to make sure we close up no later than 6:00.

If you have any needs or any concerns during the course of your jury service, let Marshal Wray know and we will address those. We appreciate your time. We know

```
it's a sacrifice for you to be here, and I'm going to use
 1
2
   your time very, very carefully.
 3
        Ladies and gentlemen, at this point you can retire to
 4
   the jury room. Relax for a few minutes. We'll come right
 5
   back out and we're going to hear the opening statements.
6
        You may now retire to the jury room.
 7
        (The jury is no longer present in the courtroom.)
        MR. WAGNER: Your Honor, if I may? I would just
8
9
   offer g114 suggestion for instructions to the jury before
   they start to hear the case.
10
11
        THE COURT: Yes, sir.
        MR. WAGNER: Judge, I know there's a lot that they've
12
13
   said about jurors going out and looking at social media
14
  and looking at the Internet --
15
        THE COURT: And you know something, I should have
  mentioned that, and I do in almost every case. I'm going
16
17
   to do that at the close of business today when I give them
   that instruction.
18
19
        MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Judge.
20
        THE COURT: I ordinarily do that, but I guess I
  missed it. Thank you for reminding me, Mr. Wagner.
21
22
        Is there a request for rule on witnesses, gentlemen?
        MR. GILL: Yes, Your Honor. We request the rule be
23
24
   invoked.
25
        THE COURT: Anyone here who has been subpoenaed as a
```

```
witness, or you have been advised by an attorney that you
1
   will be called as a witness in this case, I would ask you
   to step out to the witness room. Do not discuss this case
 3
   among yourselves, or with anyone else except the attorneys
 5
   in this case until you're excused as a witness.
 6
        At this time, the Court will take a 10 minute recess.
 7
   I'll come back and we'll start right in to the opening
8
   statements.
9
                         (Recess taken.)
10
        THE COURT: Ready for the jury?
11
        MR. GILL: We are, Your Honor.
        MR. WAGNER: Yes, sir.
12
                    All right, Marshal, bring the jury in.
13
        THE COURT:
14
            (The jury is present in the courtroom.)
15
        THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, before you hear the
   opening statements, as promised I want to give you some
16
   instructions as to how you should take notes during the
17
   course of the case. The notes that you take are for your
18
   own personal edification. When you get back to the jury
19
   room during the course of the deliberations and there's
  some question about how a witness testified or how a piece
21
22
   of evidence was presented, you can open your pad and
23
  refresh your recollection and then present your
   recollection to the other members of the jury panel.
```

What you may not do is read your notes to other

members of the jury. That's because invariably they're
impressionistic and have your own impressions written in
there, and rarely do they constitute an exact rendition of
what occurred in court. So, therefore, use them to
refresh your recollection, put your pad away, tell your
fellow jurors what you saw or heard, but do not read your
notes to the jury.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'd ask you now to attend to the opening statements of counsel; Mr. Gill, on behalf of the United States.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning again, ladies and gentlemen. As you heard earlier, my name is Mike Gill. And today with Gauhar Naseem, we represent the United States of America in this case. As you also heard, that's FBI Special Agent Brad Gregor. He is the lead FBI agent on this case. He'll be with us throughout this trial, as well as Paralegal Laura Taylor, who's with the U.S. Attorney's Office.

Ladies and gentlemen, as you heard a little bit from Judge Hudson earlier, this case is focused on an investment fraud scheme that was orchestrated by the defendant, Michael Harris. Now, the indictment focuses on 2005 through 2011. And you're going to find out that the defendant, Michael Harris - when you see a triangle like

that throughout my notes, that's me referring to the defendant - had a company named after himself, Michael F. Harris Research, Incorporated. When you see an MFHR, that's me referring to the company.

Now, the defendant, you will find out, solicited investors over the course of this period. And he told the investors very specific things about what was going to be done with their money. He told them that if they invested in this company, their money would be used for patents, their money would be used for research, their money would be used for testing.

Ladies and gentlemen, in this case we're going to pull back the curtain on what was really happening with the defendant's company and with the defendant. And we're going to show you through a trail of money that the defendant had lied to the investors, that he concealed from the investors what he was doing with the money, and that he spent an overwhelming majority of that money on his own personal expenses unrelated to the areas that he had promised those investors. In fact, in this case you're going to find out that through his lies and concealment, that over the course of time from roughly 2005 up through July 2011, the time period charged in the indictment, the defendant brought in over \$850,000 in investment monies from investors who invested in what he

was telling them that their money would get used for at Michael F. Harris Research, Incorporated.

We're also going to present detailed financial analysis that will show you that over \$700,000 was used for the defendant's own personal expenses during that time, but we'll get even more specific focusing in on the accounts that are charged in the indictment, and show you what happened with investors' monies after they'd been told specific things by the defendant. You're going to notice a pattern throughout the evidence. In this case, you'll notice that the defendant will run low on money, will go to a particular investor, will tell them their money is going to be used for a patent, for research, for testing, and then he'll take their money and then he uses it for overwhelmingly his own personal expenses.

Now, at Michael F. Harris, M.F. Harris Research,
Incorporated, you'll find out that the business has been
operating since 2003, but you'll find that the defendant
has been involved in this area we'll be talking about for
many years before that back in the 1990s. The evidence
will show you that the defendant was in charge of the
company. He was the only person who had control of the
accounts, and he guarded the financials closely, not
willing to share the information with the shareholders.

Now, as I mentioned, the defendant told the investors

every step of the way that their money would be used for patents, research or testing, with little variance just depending upon who he was presenting it to. You're going to hear testimony about that. You're going to find out what they were investing in was a hyperbaric chamber treatment, potential treatment, for HIV/AIDS. A hyperbaric chamber is what they use to treat divers that get decompression sickness from diving.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, you'll find out the defendant, through this potential treatment, would tell investors that this presented a great investment opportunity for them to potentially help to pitch in on something that would allow people to treat AIDS. It also presented a lucrative financial investment allowing them to potentially make big returns down the road when this hit the market with the share prices going substantially up from what they paid.

To make it really clear, ladies and gentlemen, the United States' case is not about the validity of this treatment. Whether it works or whether it doesn't work is not an issue in this case; rather, this case is focused on what the defendant told his investors, what the defendant concealed from his investors, and what the defendant did with investor money at each step of the way.

The evidence in this case is going to focus on 2005

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through 2011, and the presentations that the defendant made to the investors. And you're going to notice, ladies and gentlemen, that it stayed basically the same throughout that entire time what the defendant was telling his investors. He told them money was going for patents, research, and/or testing. And in connection with those presentations, you will find out from the witnesses there was never a mention, or even a hint, that the defendant would use their money for his own personal expenses. That he would use their money to buy his personal residence, that he would use their money to pay his own utility bills, restaurant expenses, purchases at gun stores, and There was no mention during those other items. presentations, we expect the evidence will show you, that the investor money many times was used to cover already negative balances in the defendant's business and personal accounts. And not a mention to these investors that the money would somehow be used for a salary he paid himself instead of going to those areas that he had promised. We're also going to focus on acts of concealment in this case. You will find out that the defendant engaged in concealment at shareholder meetings, one-on-one conversations with different shareholders as well, and other acts that you're going to find out as the case unfolds. We're going to pull back the curtain in this

case, and we're going to follow the money.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

And the last witness you're going to hear from the United States in this case is going to be financial analyst, Cindy Williamson. And you see her right here. She's CW. Cindy Williamson has years of experience in looking at financial records and following the trail of money. And you're going to find, ladies and gentlemen, as we fully expect, that her analysis in this case is very conservative and very, very thorough.

Now, to start out we're going to walk through the time periods, the general time periods you're going to see in this case. Now, the witnesses won't quite be in this order, but this will give you a framework. The 2005 through 2006 time period, you're going to find out from one of the first key witnesses in this case, a police officer named Nicole Gentry. She is with the Fredericksburg Police Department, and she's going to tell you that in the fall of 2005, the defendant did a presentation for numerous individuals in Fredericksburg, Virginia about this investment opportunity she attended in her personal capacity with friends and associates of hers. And she'll tell you that during that presentation, the defendant told them that any investment money, and told Nicki Gentry personally as well, was going to be used for research and for patent expenses.

Nicki Gentry will tell you that she was very 1 impressed with the presentation, and that she was 3 impressed with the investment opportunity. In fact, she 4 invested \$5,000, along with a partner of hers, into M.F. Harris Research, Incorporated. Cindy Williamson, through 5 6 her financial analysis, is going to pull back the curtain on what the financial records show was actually going on 7 with the defendant's business and personal accounts that 8 he, and he alone, controlled. From October 2005 up 9 through the August 2006 time period, you'll find out that 10 the defendant brought in approximately \$140,000 from 11 12 investors. Of that included Nicki Gentry's \$5,000. 13 looking at how that money was spent, about \$8,300 went to patents. Aside from that, about \$17,500 went to auto 14 15 expenses, including the defendant's purchase of a Jeep; $\|\$14,000$ to various store expenditures; \$14,000 in cash withdrawals; \$7,500 in gun store expenditures. You'll 17 find out that the defendant is a gun collector. \$7,000 to 18 PayPal which is associated with Ebay, things bought 19 on-line; \$6,000 to an account that the defendant 21 maintained with his girlfriend at the time named Myranda 22 Caudill; \$5,000 to restaurants. And no identified 23 research. And only the patents that we talked about, 24 those expenses. 25

Now, we'll move up into 2006. Now, the snapshot that

you're going to get about 2006, ladies and gentlemen, from 1 Cindy Williamson' analysis is that the defendant brought 3 in for that year about \$256,000 in investor monies. accounted for 99% of the net income coming into the 4 defendant's business accounts, as well as his own personal 5 6 accounts, which you'll find out was just intermingled. 7 Of that total amount brought in, you'll find out approximately \$14,500 went to patent expenses; over 8 9 \$110,000 went to housing and utility expenses for the defendant; \$40,000 went to stores and restaurants; \$22,000 10 to farm expenses. You're going to find out that the 11 defendant in this case is a steeplechase rider, and he had 12 four to five horses of his own at any given time during 13 14 this investment fraud scheme. In addition, there was 15 \$17,000 in auto expenses, and other personal expenses that you'll learn about. 16 17 During the 2006 time period is when investor, Dr. Tom Marosi, seen as TM in here, came on the scene. 18 Now, Dr. Marosi was an anesthesiologist from San Diego, 19 California. And you'll find out that in August of 2006 he got some tragic news. He found out that he had been 21 22 infected with the AIDS virus. Now, he also talked to his 23 good friends, and former romantic partners, Marcelo Bellato, MB, and Steven Baughman, SB, and found out that 24 they had been infected as well. It was a tragic time. 25

But Marcelo Bellato will tell you, and he will testify in
this case, that they had hope. And particularly

Dr. Marosi had hope because he had done research just on
finding out what's out there for potential treatments, and
found out about the defendant at M.F. Harris Research,
Incorporated.

So after finding the defendant, you will find out that Marcelo Bellato, Steven Baughman, and Dr. Tom Marosi took a trip. They came to Virginia to meet the defendant. They met with the defendant on August 30, 2006. They stayed a day or two, and they got to sit down and see the defendant's presentation.

Marcelo Bellato will tell you that he sat through the presentation. It was extensive. It was impressive. And he understood that if his friend Dr. Tom Marosi invested, that money was going to the company, the company that was working for AIDS research. Furthermore, with Dr. Marosi's investment, there was an agreement that Dr. Marosi, Marcelo Bellato, and Steven Baughman would get to go to Europe with the defendant. You'll find out he has ties to Europe. They would get to go with him to Europe to get treatment through the hyperbaric chambers since the treatment had not been approved in the United States. And they were all very excited about this.

You'll find on September 1, 2006, that Dr. Marosi

signed a stock subscription agreement for an investment in MFHR, the defendant's company. He's going to invest \$200,000. And according to that agreement, he was to receive 200,000 shares in MFHR.

On October 3, 2006, and we'll show you the trail of money, Dr. Marosi wire transferred his investment to the defendant's business account and it was received. We're going to pull back the curtain, ladies and gentlemen, and tell you what was going on that Dr. Marosi did not know at the time. At the time Dr. Marosi's investment hit the business account, MFHR had only \$812.07 in its account.

12 The defendant, \$313.57 in his personal account.

You're also going to find out, and Myranda Caudill will testify before you, that at that time she was the defendant's girlfriend. She is the mother of his son. She'll tell you that in 2006, leading up to August of 2006, the defendant was living with her and her parents there in Luray, Virginia. He had been living with them, but the defendant was kicked out of the house and no return. August 31, 2006. Right around there.

Then you'll find out through the evidence that the defendant's focus shifted to finding a personal place to live. So he found 1291 Stonyman Road, Luray, Virginia. The same house he lives in today. It's over 2.6 acres. It's a farm and a house. And just within days of reaching

an agreement with Dr. Marosi, you'll find that on

September 11, 2006, the defendant signed a contract to

purchase that house. And that contract, the purchase

price, was \$190,000. That same contract, the down payment

per the contract, was going to be \$115,000 for that

property. At the time, financial analysis will show you,

the defendant had \$862.31 in his personal accounts at the

time he signed that agreement.

Dr. Marosi's \$200,000 investment hit the business account on October 3, 2006. The defendant signed the loan documents to purchase this Stonyman house to get a loan on October 24, 2006. And in those documents that you'll see, he represented that the down payment was coming from his sale of stock.

You'll find out that on October 31, 2006, ladies and gentlemen, that Dr. Tom Marosi died. And you'll find that Dr. Marosi did not die of AIDS, and he did not die of any reason associated with this case.

But things continue on from there with respect to the defendant. On November 22, 2006, the defendant signed the closing papers to purchase that house at 1291 Stonyman Road. And following the trail of money, \$85,000 of Tom Marosi's investment was used for the down payment on this house for the defendant's purchase. We'll follow the trail and show you where the rest of Tom Marosi's money

went. All the money was spent by February 7, 2007. It was gone.

Six thousand dollars of Tom Marosi's money was spent on patents; \$107,900 on a house, for the purchase of the house, for some improvements, and other things you'll learn about; \$17,000 was spent at stores; \$15,000 was spent on farm and horse expenses; \$5,000 for auto; \$5,000 for another transfer to the joint account maintained in Myranda Caudill and the defendant's personal names; and, \$2,400 for purchases at gun stores.

And despite the agreement, you'll find out from Marcelo Bellato the agreement was that he, Steven Baughman, and Tom Marosi would get to go to Europe to get the treatment. Marcelo Bellato will tell you that after Dr. Marosi's death he kept track and was in contact with the defendant as much as possible, and that months drew on with occasional contact and occasional hope that he would get to go, but he never got to go to Europe, nor did Steven Baughman, to even try this treatment.

Now aside from this, ladies and gentlemen, you're going to hear testimony from a CPA named Steven Weiner. Steven Weiner will tell you that he was contacted by the defendant by phone in 2007. The defendant asked him to prepare the tax returns for Michael F. Harris Research, Incorporated for 2003 working up through 2006. The

defendant provided him with financial information, bank information, to use in preparing those returns, which he did. As a CPA, he went through them and he will tell you he put the information on the returns.

With respect to the 2006 U.S. Corporate Tax Return for Michael F. Harris Research, you're going to see checks in this case and investor monies that were not reported on the tax return for that company. In fact, according to the tax return as prepared by Steven Weiner, the company brought in a little over \$10,000 in investments for that year. The return does not include Tom Marosi's \$200,000 wire transfer, and it doesn't include other checks that you will see in this case entered into evidence with respect to investments. And you'll find that the defendant signed that tax return on December 7, 2007, and it was filed with the Internal Revenue Service as accurate.

After Tom Marosi's death, his brother, John Marosi, who will testify before you, will tell you about how he was his brother's executor for his brother's will. And that he, as part of that process, was in charge of gathering up his brother's assets to put into a trust for distribution to different individuals named in Dr. Marosi's will.

John Marosi was aware that his brother had invested

\$200,000 in the defendant's company. He knew that his 1 brother had hope when he got that bad news that he had 3 AIDS. When John Marosi went through his brother's belongings, and other family members gathered things together, he found the stock subscription agreement, the 5 agreement to buy the stock, had been signed by his 6 7 brother. He also found a wire transfer authorization his brother had signed to transfer \$200,000 to the defendant, 8 9 but did not find the stock certificate from that company. So the evidence will tell you about John Marosi's efforts 10 that go for the next two and half years to obtain the 11 stock certificate from his brother's investment in the 12 defendant's company. He'll tell you, and you'll see them 13 entered into evidence, that he wrote two certified letters 14 15 to the defendant at his post office box in Luray, Virginia. In those letters he represented that he was Tom 16 Marosi's brother. That he's the executor of his brother's 17 He tells him that he is not able to locate the 18 stock certificate, and he is requesting financial 19 information about the company as well. As a final point in each of these letters, you'll see 21 22 that John Marosi tells him that he, John Marosi, and his 23 sister, Susie, would like to take the brother's place when the defendant takes Marcelo Bellato overseas to get him 24 25 treatment because they'd like to see that go through with

respect to the investment.

No response from the defendant to either of those letters, so John Marosi starts poking around. He'll tell you that he starts trying to locate shareholders in this company. And he went to state regulatory and federal regulatory authorities, and he filed a complaint with the SCC. He went to the North Carolina State Corporation Commission where the defendant's company was incorporated, and started digging around.

March 12, 2008, he gets an e-mail from the defendant, and in that e-mail the defendant tells him that he understands that John Marosi is falsely impersonating a shareholder in the company. Tells him to cease-and-desist. He will get a restraining order against him if he continues down that path. And he says that he had tried -- the defendant claims that he had tried to contact John Marosi's sister on two occasions and she had not responded.

We find out shortly after that e-mail John Marosi fires back a response. Tells the defendant that he had sent him letters advising him of his connection to his brother's estate with letters testamentary confirming his legal authority to gather assets for his brother's estate. He had further requests for financial information about the company, including, he tells him, he wants to know how

my brother's \$200,000 investment monies were spent. He tells him he wants the stock certificate issued for his brother's investment.

The defendant responds within 28 minutes to that e-mail, doesn't provide any of the financial information, and tells Mr. Marosi that the shares are nontransferable.

Now, after that, you'll find out that John Marosi sent another certified letter to the defendant on April 24, 2008. The letter was received. He signed the certificate. He asked for the same information, the financial information, the stock certificate, information about the company, shareholders, and he got no response.

So in the fall of 2008, John Marosi files a lawsuit in North Carolina to get those shares back from the defendant, to get them issued. That lasts up until February of 2010. You'll find out that finally through negotiations, and everything else, that a court order is entered. That order says the shares are to be issued to the estate of Dr. Tom Marosi. And finally, John Marosi will tell you, he got those shares, but since that time he has received no other financial information about this company or how his brother's money was spent.

Moving forward to 2007, ladies and gentlemen, through the financial analysis you'll find out the defendant brought in over \$300,000 in 2007 from investors. That

accounted for about 97% of the net income that was going 1 into all of the defendant's business and his personal accounts. Out of that amount, \$5,090, give or take, went 3 to patents; \$80,000 went to stores, restaurants, 4 entertainment, and credit cards; \$50,000 to housing, 5 utilities; \$28,000 to farm and horse expenses; \$18,000 to 6 7 auto expenses; \$5,000 to child support payments. And you're going to find out about the defendant's 8 9 concealment of his personal expenses from 2007 -- or concealment of his business expenses from 2007. Now see, 10 2009, just fast-forward just a moment, in 2009, shareholders are getting very upset with the defendant 12 because he's not giving them financial information about 13 14 the company and what's being done. Rosalie Baker, who was 15 an investor who invested in 2009, offered to give the defendant -- RB offered to give him help to put together 16 some of these financials, so the defendant takes her up 17 partially on her offer. He gives her bank statements to 18 help her prepare the financial statements. He represents 19 these are the business expenses. She goes through them. She finds he does not give her any checks underlying those 21 22 bank statements. She only has the bank statements. 23 Ms. Baker will tell you that when she went through that stuff and prepared drafts, she was never able to 24

complete this process. The draft financials will give you

25

a behind-the-scenes look of what was going on in those 1 financials that the defendant had helped her and quided her to prepare. Number one, you'll find out that the 2007 3 draft financials don't include, because the defendant did not provide Ms. Baker with information about the 5 6 January 2007 business accounts for M.F. Harris Research, 7 Inc., the same statements that would have shown the spending of the final \$30,000 of Tom Marosi's money in 8 It was gone by the end of that month, but it 9 that month. does not show up on the financials. 10

When Rosalie Baker asked the defendant -- because what it caused is the numbers got out of whack. It wasn't balancing. He told her that there had been a bank card theft or something on the account, and she just wrote it off as that on the financials.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

Now, because she didn't have the checks she could not tell what expenses should go where. She sat down with the defendant on two occasions for about an hour and a half each time where he went through it and he would tell her, okay, this expense goes here, this expense goes there. She'll tell you she sat down with the defendant to go through patent expenses. She couldn't tell what was for patents. So the defendant identified for her, and she put them on the draft financials, these transactions.

In total, it identified \$46,339.07 had been spent on

```
patents that year. Among the transactions that you're
 1
   going to see here, and in Cindy Williamson's testimony,
 3
   are these that were listed in there. Check Number 1002
   that Rosalie Baker didn't have in her possession, $950 for
 4
  patents. And it goes down the list. But when you look at
 5
6
   the actual checks from Cindy Williamson's analysis, it
 7
   will show you -- it shows that that $950 check that the
   defendant told Rosalie Baker to put down for legal patent
8
   expenses was actually made to Larry Parcel for Jeep
9
10
  damage.
        Check Number 1005, $10,000. The defendant identified
11
   that as legal patent expenses. The actual check was to MF
12
  Harris for payroll.
13
        Check Number 1015. Legal patent expenses, $1,100.
14
15
   That check was to Doug Taylor, with the notation in the
  memo, "plumbing bathroom."
16
        Check Number 1017. Legal patent expenses. $2,300.
17
   The actual check notes "roofing expenses."
18
19
        Check 1018. $10,000 made out directly to the
   defendant, Michael Harris, for payroll.
21
        Check 1019. $950 identifies patent expenses.
22
   out to Ronny's Electric for "house bath/bar" listed in the
23
  memo.
24
        Moving up to 2008 we're going to present to you
25
   different investors, and they're going to tell you about
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

their interactions with the defendant, how they met, the representations that he made to them, including David Evans who lives in Portsmouth, Virginia. He'll tell you that he met the defendant a few years prior and had gone on diving trips with him and stuff. And that in 2008, David Evans came back from Guantanamo Bay where he'd been working with his wife on a contract job, and decided he wanted to invest, so he contacted the defendant. defendant came to David Evans' house, sat down in the living room with David Evans and his wife, Joyce, and did a presentation for them. A PowerPoint. Very detailed, and all about what Michael F. Harris Research does with this potential treatment, and what's on the horizon. he tells him that the money -- he mentions patents in the presentation, but principally the money will be used to finish the clinical trials. Finish the testing. And that's what David Evans believed that if he and his wife invested that's where their money would go. On August 4, 2008, the defendant sends an e-mail that David Evans receives in Portsmouth, Virginia with wire transfer information for his investment. And he tells him, you know, where to send the money. And that forms

What we're going to do, ladies and gentlemen, and

the basis for the wire fraud charge in Count 6. We're not

going to worry about the law right now.

you're going to find out, that he invested his money and 1 David Evans fully expected it was going towards finishing 3 those clinical trials. We'll pull back the curtain and show you the reality of what was going on with that transaction. Michael F. Harris Research, the account 5 6 where the money went before David Evans' wire transfer hits, had \$40.87 in it. David Evans' money, he and his wife Joyce's money, hits August 15, 2008. By August 21, 8 2008, that money is gone. 9 10 You'll find that the money went \$3,560 to farm and

You'll find that the money went \$3,560 to farm and horse expenses; \$1,067.20 went to housing for the defendant's mortgage; \$724.14 to auto expenses; and, \$73.95 in bank fees.

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

Another investor you're going to hear from is Katie Ritter. Katie Ritter will tell you that she and her husband met the defendant at his house in October of 2008. And he told them about what he did and his background. And Katie Ritter will tell you that she was extremely interested in this possibility for investing in this company, and extremely interested to help out. She made arrangements with the defendant to see the presentation. You will find out that the defendant on December 24, 2008, Christmas Eve, he drove up to Maryland to meet Katie Ritter out of town. There'd been an ice storm the day before, but he drove up there and met with her. Did the

presentation for her.

And Katie Ritter will tell you in no uncertain terms she was told that her money, she and her husband's money they put into this, was going to patent and Phase II testing. The human trials to get this going. And the defendant told her that time is of the essence. She had to get in before the end of the year because there was a big opportunity coming for the company and he probably wasn't going to be taking anymore investments from private investors. So they felt like they had to get their money in, and they invested \$5,000. They overnighted that money to the defendant.

And we'll pull back the curtain on what happened with the money. The reality is that the Michael F. Harris Research business account where the money was deposited was negative \$406.25 at the time Katie Ritter's money comes in. The defendant's personal account, negative \$256.55. Her money is deposited December 31, 2008, and it's gone by January 16, 2009. You will find out that it goes to auto expenses, store expenses, utility bills, restaurants, and entertainment.

With respect to 2008 investments, you'll also find
Major Joe Newcomb. He's in the United States Marine
Corps. He'll tell you that he met the defendant in 2008.
They developed a good relationship. He considered the

defendant a friend. And he was told that his money would 1 be used for research and patent. And Major Newcomb invested \$6,500. And we'll trace that money as well. 3 4 One of his checks for \$1,000, we'll show you directly 5 what happens to that. The other one went into a pool of 6 money. We'll show you what happens to that. Again, same 7 pattern. 8 2009. Now the investor money is starting to dry up somewhat, but the defendant still gets over \$100,000, 9 accounting for 73% of the net income coming into his 10 account -- or all of his accounts. We'll present 11 testimony from Rusty and Erika Carrier. RC/EC. They'll 12 tell you that they met the defendant at some point around 13 14 | late 2008 or early 2009. And Erika Carrier will tell you 15 about how she saw the presentation about the possible research. She was very impressed by it. And she'll also 16 tell you about how she came in contact with the defendant. 17 She is a representative at horse auctions. She actually 18 met the defendant over the phone who was asking her to bid 19 on a horse for him during that for up to \$5,000 or \$7,000. 21 After that, she and her husband sat down, they 22 watched the full presentation, and they were told that if 23 they invested, their money would be used for patent and

human trial testing. Rusty Carrier finally agreed to

invest \$10,000. He'll tell you, and Erika Carrier will

24

25

tell you as well, that the defendant tried to get him to invest \$100,000. Rusty Carrier decided on \$10,000.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

The day the investment was going to come through, December 27, 2009, they were going to have the defendant over for dinner, but you're going to find out that Rusty Carrier was very ill that evening leading up in the afternoon, and he did not feel like having dinner. He will tell you about his condition. It was serious, and he was in bed.

Erika Carrier called the defendant to tell him that they couldn't do it. They'd have to do it another day. But she'll tell you the defendant pushed back. And he pushed back and he wanted to come and get that investment 14 money. Erika Carrier went back and checked with Rusty Carrier, and finally she tells the defendant, okay, if you want to come, come. So the defendant on his motorcycle drove one hour from Luray, Virginia to get to Rusty Carrier's house. He got there about 9:00 that night. He had to wait while Erika Carrier went up and had her husband sign the documents to do the investment, and to give him the check for \$10,000 that was supposed to go to research and -- or to the patent and human trial testing.

We're going to pull back the curtain, ladies and gentlemen, to the reality of what happened to Rusty Carrier and Erika Carrier's \$10,000. At the time the

defendant took their investment money, his personal accounts, one of them was a negative \$2,438.19. His other personal account was negative \$299.84.

He took that investment check from the Carriers, and he goes into the bank, you will find, and it splits in three different directions. Part of the money goes into the defendant's personal bank account, part of the money goes into the MFHR new business account that he opened, and then the defendant takes \$2,000 in cash out of the bank within that day from the Carrier's investment money. You will find that the money was spent -- it comes in March 2, 2009. It's gone by April 1, 2009. It was used to cover negative balances, as well as the cash we talked about, auto, stores, farm and horse, restaurant. No patent or clinical trial expenses identified on that money.

2010. Investors that year -- again, the investor money is starting to dry up. \$43,000 coming in that year, but you'll find that that still is the largest source area coming into the defendant's business and personal accounts. And there's a situation brewing with the shareholders. They're very, very upset that they're not getting financial information about what's going on with this company despite repeated requests at previous shareholder meetings.

November 20, 2010, there's a shareholders' meeting held up at the Ritz Carlton up at Tyson's Corner. You'll find out that several attended this, including Rusty Carrier, Katie Ritter. The meeting was recorded. And you'll find, and there will be different clips from that, the defendant continues not to provide financials. Provides excuses to the shareholders. Tells them that we're working on it. When it's perfect you're going to get it. And you'll find that he continues the acts of concealing from investors about where the money is really going.

He tells the investors, and you'll hear the clip during that, that patent expenses for that year in 2010 have been \$39,000 -- or that he had brought in roughly \$39,000. That's about right. And that that had been absorbed in patent fees. That it costs a lot. The shareholders pushed him on that, and he said patent fees were about \$9,000 a quarter.

Now, Cindy Williamson will tell you based on her extensive financial analysis, she identified for the entire year of 2010 approximately \$7,000 in patent expenses. And for the prior years, you will see the expenses categorized through her analysis on patent expenses. It does not fit this \$9,000 a quarter claim by the defendant during that shareholder meeting.

Also during that same shareholder meeting, the defendant talked to the shareholders about steeplechase money. Now, he's an avid rider. I told you he has horses that you'll find out about during this case. And he tells them things about that in the meeting.

I'll tell you as a side note that you'll find out from various witnesses in this case that the defendant would tell them that he earned money for winning at these races, these steeplechase races, and he would use that money to pay not only his personal expenses, but he would put it into the company. Well, at the shareholder meeting, he tells the shareholders he's getting his teeth knocked in on the racecourse. And he's going to keep on doing it and it's going to bring money in. He represents to them he's brought in about \$24,000 through that.

The reality, shown through Cindy Williamson's financial analysis, looking at the whole view over the years was that it was shareholder money that was funding his riding as opposed to his riding funding his personal expenses or anything to do with this company.

Also, you'll hear testimony from National
Steeplechase Association, General Manager, Peter McGivney.
And you'll found out that the NSA, as it's called, they
manage big ticket steeplechase races for the entire United
States, including Virginia and the surrounding areas. And

he will tell you based on his experience that he knows those races are called "sanctioned." Those are the ones where there are these big prizes. And they keep very detailed records on these events. And according to the NSA records, you'll find out from 1998 through 2011 the defendant won twice under NSA sanctioned races. In 1990, he got \$500. In 2011, he got \$450.

Now, General Manager McGivney, and other witnesses, will describe for you there are other races in the steeplechase world called Point-to-Point and Hunt Club races. And those races generally have no money in them. Or if they do, it's a very minimal amount to the top prize winner, and that's it.

On September 11, 2011, ladies and gentlemen, you'll find out investor money is indeed drying up with the defendant, but he continues to pursue. In April of 2011, he goes to a seminar in Denver, Colorado. He sets up a booth there. You'll find out that FBI Special Agent Julio Tobar, who is acting undercover, sat down and talked to the defendant. Recorded it. You're going to hear clips from that conversation. During that conversation, the defendant tells Agent Tobar in response to his question about where is the investor money being used, the defendant tells him investor money is being used for trials.

2.0

Now, after that, Special Agent Tobar also, you'll find, e-mailed with the defendant. And he represented to him that he represented several investors willing to put in half a million dollars into this company. He requests very specific financial information. You'll see the exchange and the questions he's asking. The defendant on the final exchange after he gets the big questions asks Julio Tobar via e-mail, "Are you a federal agent?" And he won't provide him with the financial information, but basically tells him we're going to start the testing once we get the money.

The final investor is Diane Desch. She is referenced in Count 6 of the indictment. She wire transfers money for investment. She'll tell you that she met the defendant around 2009 at a horse show up in Warrenton, Virginia, and that they kept touch by phone since then.

In early 2011, she actually visited the defendant in Luray, Virginia. And she'll tell you that he did a presentation for her about his business and about the treatment. And she'll tell you she was very taken with this because she lost her own father to AIDS. That it struck a cord with her.

On June 13, 2011, the defendant calls her and tells her that he frantically needs \$2,500 to cover patent expenses. She goes to the bank. She has \$2,500 that she

puts in the defendant's account. And you'll find out through analysis that indeed \$2,122 of that money went to patent.

But June 14, 2011, the defendant sends an e-mail to Diane Desch giving her more information about his company, and then following up that led to her next investment of \$7,500, which forms the basis for Count 6 -- or Count 7 in the indictment.

On June 28, 2011, she wire transfers \$7,500. She understood her money was going into the company to further this research and development for AIDS. The reality is, as we pull back the curtain, the business account at the time her money hits had negative \$157.95 in it. Her money is spent on housing, store, auto, utilities, restaurant, and also farm expenses. And also to put it in context, the evidence will show you that at this time the defendant knows he's under investigation by the State Corporation Commission. He also knows that shareholders are very upset about financials and how money has been handled in this entire thing.

Finally, Diane Desch will describe for you that she received another call later that month from the defendant. He needed \$3,000 from her to shoe his horses because he needed those horses to enter into a race, that he was going to win money, and with those winnings he could repay

her and also he could put money towards the research. You will find out that Cindy Williamson traced that money, and only a minimal amount arguably went to any type of horse expense. Most of it was spent the same way as the others' - on personal expenses.

Finally, ladies and gentlemen, 2012. You're going to hear from FBI Special Agent Brad Gregor towards the end of the United States' case. He will tell you that he interviewed the defendant in October of 2012. During that interview, the defendant admitted to using investor money. He said that he was just paying himself back for expenses in the past.

He also told Agent Gregor when Agent Gregor asked him, is he keeping track of that. He told Agent Gregor, no, he wasn't keeping track.

He was asked whether he told investors about this.

And he said, oh, they understood.

He was asked about the use of Tom Marosi's money to buy the house, and the defendant told him that, you know, he's made some bad decisions in the past but it's not criminal. That he felt like that was in a gray area.

And he was also asked why didn't he take Marcelo
Bellato or Steven Baughman on that treatment trip to
Europe. And the defendant told him that there wasn't
enough money to do it, and that it was actually Dr. Tom

Marosi's responsibility to pay those travel expenses for 1 them to go to Europe. 3 Now, ladies and gentlemen, that sums up a large part 4 of the evidence that you're going to see in this case. And the United States fully expects when you see it 5 6 develop, you'll find that it relates, it weaves together, and it points in only one direction. At the conclusion of 7 this case, we'll ask you to return the verdict of quilty 8 9 on all counts. 10 Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Wagner on behalf of Mr. Harris. MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please 12 the Court, counsel. 13 14 Ladies and gentlemen, my name is Robert Wagner. I'm 15 with the Federal Public Defenders Office. With me at counsel table is Pam Bishop. She's also with the Federal 16 Public Defenders Office. And Nick Klaiber. He is with 17 the firm of Troutman Sanders. And he has volunteered his 18 time to Michael Harris' case here. We represent Michael 19 Harris in this case, and we will show you by the end of this trial that Michael Harris is not quilty of all of the 21 22 charges that he faces. 23 Michael Harris is a very interesting man. He has done some extraordinary things in his life. Most 25 significantly, he has developed the science which forms

the basis of his company. The science that could revolutionize the treatment of AIDS, and could make a difference in the health of people all around the world.

The government's allegations of fraud in this case of lying and cheating and of stealing is tainted heavily by the influence of a man that the government didn't even talk about in their opening statement, a man by the name of Matt Johnson, and his company called Deep Blue, which stands to take over Michael Harris' science, his life's work, his passion, if Michael Harris is convicted. We're going to ask that you not let this happen.

Now, you're going to see the full force and effect of the United States government through this prosecution.

You're going to see some very detailed financial analysis, and you're going to see some beautiful charts that they're going to show you by the end of this case. You're going to hear some well-prepared witnesses, and you're going to hear some sharp arguments by their attorneys, but in the end we are confident that you're going to find Michael Harris not guilty of all of the charges that he faces in this case. Not guilty because he never had the intent to defraud anyone. He felt that everyone who purchased shares in his company was receiving something of value, something that he put his life's work into.

Michael Harris is a United States olympic athlete in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

canoeing and kayaking. He's an accomplished steeplechase rider. He's the CEO and president of this company. He an inventor. He's a hunter, a fisherman, a diver. He's a ski instructor and coach, a gun collector, a bird collector, a lady's man, and a pioneer in the AIDS research and treatments.

What he is not is a good businessman. He is not a good CEO of the company. He'd be the first one to admit that. He is not organized. And he's not detailed about the little things of this company, but he is also not a fraudster and he is not a criminal. He's the kind of man that doesn't always play by the rules, but sometimes that's what it takes to make breakthrough technology and 14 to provide treatments and cures that no one else can.

He was raised in Harrisonburg. Went to Harrisonburg High School. He attended Ferrum College. Never received a degree from college. He joined the U.S. Canoe and 18 Kayaking Team in 1984.

He started working with medical technology at Georgetown in 1996. That's where he received the background and education for developing the science for this company. Now, remember, the science for this company, what this company is trying to do, is not an issue in this case. Not an issue at all.

He was at Duke University. Did some medical studies

there. University of Virginia. Did medical studies there. And in both of these places he built and developed this science that he was promoting through his company.

In 1994, he went on a diving trip in Puerto Rico, and when he was there he noticed that divers who suffered from AIDS didn't have the same kind of symptoms as other people with AIDS, and he theorized that it was because of the nitrogen that was getting into their cells that helped to keep the T-cell level down and to help keep the AIDS symptoms down for those particular divers.

And so he took this idea, he took this hypothesis, and he figured that he could replicate this kind of nitrogen cell saturation in hyperbaric chambers, and so he went to Duke University and started some trials at Duke University involving animals. And those trials were successful. Then he took a small human trial to Europe, and that trial was successful. And ever since then, he has been working to get a larger sample of human trials in order to prove that this science works in order to bring this science to the world.

Now, the work on the company began in 1999 based on those experiences that he had in Puerto Rico, but the company was actually formed in 2003 with the defendant as the CEO and president of this company. And for some time, he worked with this man, Matt Johnson, who later formed

He worked with Nancy Ferguson. He worked with 1 Deep Blue. a patent attorney named Jeff Seto. Together they tried to 3 promote and invent, in the interest of the company, the science that Mr. Harris had developed. The company's 4 objectives had also been to obtain patents, to conduct 5 6 human trials, to do further research, but it was also to 7 find a funding source to move the company forward. large funding source to move the company forward. 8 9 They sought funds from Pfizer. They sought funding from -- at least Mr. Harris sought funding from Glock. 10 Actually had a non-disclosure agreement signed by Mr. Glock. He sought grants from Congress, from the NIH, 12 and the tried to get funding from banks. 13 14 Then in 2005 when he was still working with Matt 15 Johnson, there was a group called The Hill Group that was a potential investor for the company. Michael Harris 16 didn't trust those people at The Hill Group, but the other 17 people felt that they should use the money from that 18 company, so there was a split between Matt Johnson, Nancy 19 Ferguson, Jeff Seto, and Michael Harris. As a result of that split, Matt Johnson stole the science from Michael 21 22 Harris and set up a competing company, and that company's 23 principal objective was to wipe Michael Harris' company

So back in 2005 they started investigations. They

24

25

out.

went to state investigators, and they went to federal investigators to try to bring Michael Harris' company down. And those experiences are very important here. It gives you some perspective, some context, on what Michael Harris did from that point forward. He was very careful about his company. He required every single individual who invested in Michael F. Harris Research to sign a non-disclosure agreement, but his objectives were always to move the company forward.

Now, in 2006 you will hear that he received a \$200,000 investment from Dr. Marosi. And the government is going to go into great deal about that investment and about what happened with that investment. But remember, ladies and gentlemen, there is no \$200,000 fraud count from 2006 that you will decide in this case. No fraud count alleging that Michael Harris defrauded Dr. Marosi of \$200,000 in 2006. That's not before you in this case.

In 2007 and 2008 he worked very hard to obtain funding to keep things going in the company, to raise money in different communities. One of those communities you'll hear about is the horse community where he was a steeplechase rider. There were a group of people from Fredericksburg, and you'll hear from people in Fredericksburg that he went to to try to receive money for his company.

Finally in 2009 there was a huge breakthrough for Michael Harris' company through the help of a patent attorney named Dr. Rodney Sparks. And Dr. Sparks is a patent attorney from the University of Virginia. He does their patent work. They obtained an American patent and they obtained an African patent. And the investors were ecstatic. They were so excited about this breakthrough for the company, they threw Mr. Harris a patent party. They knew that Michael Harris was working very hard for them, working very hard to promote the interests of that company, but at the same time that the shareholders were celebrating this accomplishment of the company, the people at Deep Blue were working very hard to undermine the company especially when they learned that Michael Harris had obtained a patent.

And you heard about the 2010 shareholders' meeting. Right before that shareholders' meeting there was an anonymous letter that was sent out to the shareholders to try to get those shareholders to lose their faith in Michael Harris. We intend to show you over the course of this case that it was Matt Johnson that sent that letter to those shareholders, and that they in fact started losing faith in Michael Harris at that shareholders' meeting. You're going to hear the transcript -- you're going to see the transcript of that shareholders' meeting,

you're going to hear some of the recordings from that shareholders' meeting, and you're going to see that those shareholders were concerned. They were worried. They weren't worried before. Once they got that letter, they started to get worried.

It was also at that point that the investigations against Mr. Harris intensified, and unfortunately from that point forward it was very difficult for the company to make any progress with those investigations going on.

Now remember, this is the Michael F. Harris Research

Company. He is the company. It's a one-man show. And when people invested in MF Harris Research, they invested in Michael Harris. The greatest asset of the company is Michael Harris, and it's our position that he was entitled to be paid for his time. He was entitled to receive a salary, and the investors knew, or at least should have known, this.

Now, when you break everything down here, there are six counts in this indictment, and only six counts. Four of those counts are substantive counts involving the loss of money. And those four counts when you put all the dollar figures together equal a loss of \$27,500. Now, you've seen the government's charts, and you'll see many, many more charts with losses of \$800,000. Well, the counts that you will decide, the fraud counts that you

will decide, amount to \$27,500. One count of \$10,000; one count of \$7,500; and two counts of \$5,000.

Counts 3 and 4 of the indictment allege securities fraud. Fraud and offer in sale of securities of shares of stock. Now, there are two key ingredients to the fraud cases that you'll hear. One is that there is a material misrepresentation. A false statement by Mr. Harris to the investors. And the second key ingredient is that those false statements were made with the intent to defraud. Without the government proving that, Mr. Harris cannot be found guilty of those fraud charges.

Now, Counts 3 and 4 involve the Ritters and the Carriers. The Ritters invested money in October of 2008, and the Carriers in February of 2009. What you will see from their testimony is that they cannot be relied upon. Here's the reason why. After that shareholders' meeting, they became aligned with Matt Johnson and Deep Blue. In fact, Katie Ritter came up with a saying, a name for the group that they formed. They called themselves The Magnificent Seven. And The Magnificent Seven's sole intent was to undermine Michael Harris' company. So they were working in conjunction with the person that wanted to bring Michael Harris down, a person who had an interest in seeing Michael Harris fail.

Katie Ritter in regard to Count 3 wrote some very

malicious and hurtful letters to the shareholders. One that she sent out anonymously, and one to the Securities and Exchange Commission saying malicious and often false things in those letters. So you can't rely on her because of her bias that you'll see in this case.

You'll also hear about the Carriers. That's the February of 2009 investor. They were also involved with Matt Johnson. They were also part of this Magnificent Seven.

The Carriers were given an opportunity to sell their shares back to Michael Harris but they refused. They said, no, we want \$1 million dollars for our shares.

We're not going to take the \$10,000 that we gave you. And so what that demonstrates is that they had received something of value from Mr. Harris. And I want you to keep that in mind as you listen to the evidence and consider the testimony. The investors in this case recognized they had received something of value.

Now, Counts 5, 6, and 7 are wire fraud counts.

Frauds which involve the use of transfers of money or e-mails. And the first is the Marosi count involving Mr. Marosi, the brother of Dr. Marosi who had invested in the company. This is a very different count than the other counts. It doesn't allege that Michael Harris said something to obtain money based on any misrepresentations

or alleged misrepresentations. This involves an exchange 1 2 of e-mails. 3 I want you to listen very carefully to the evidence 4 surrounding this particular count. Remember, it's not the 5 2006 purchase of the shares that's at issue here. 6 involving the conversations over the e-mail that 7 Mr. Harris had with Mr. Marosi. And it's important to understand when this \$200,000 was invested in Mr. Harris' 8 9 company that it was towards the very beginning of that company. The very initial stages of that company. And at 10 11 that point, Mr. Harris believed that he would be getting more large investments, a lot more money like the money he 12 received from Dr. Marosi, so he needed a base of 13 operations. He needed a place to run his business from. 14 15 And you will hear his home is where he ran his business from. It's where his base of operations was. 16 17 Now, you'll see and you'll hear that Mr. Marosi was a very litigious man. That's one reason why you can't rely 18 on him. He sued just about every person who was involved 19 in the estate of his dead brother. He had an axe to grind with everyone. 21 22 Count 6 involves a man named Dave Evans. The \$5,000 23 investment in 2008. The wire that's involved in this wire fraud charge, the e-mail that's involved in this wire 24

fraud charge, is very important. Attached to that e-mail

were several documents that Mr. Harris provided to 1 Mr. Evans in order to get him to invest in the company. 3 One of those items, or two of them, were newsletters. Newsletters from August and September of 2007. And they discussed what the company was doing, what Michael Harris 5 6 was doing to move the company forward, all the different 7 organizations that he was going to to try to obtain funding for the company. All the problems that he was 8 having with Deep Blue were in those newsletters. 9 10 He also received what we're going to refer to guite 11 frequently in this trial, the 2006 Congressional Budget Proposal. Now, in this Congressional Budget Proposal 12 which Mr. Harris sent to Mr. Evans as part of the package 13 14 for his investment was an attachment in that package. 15 What it included was that the CEO and president of this company receives a salary, office expenses, and travel 16 expenses. All of that is part of the e-mail that he sent 17 to Mr. Evans to get Mr. Evans to invest in the company. 18 Now, you're also going to see that there is the white 19 notebook that Michael Harris brought to virtually every presentation he made to investors. And in that white 21 22 notebook was that same 2006 report, the report which provided information to investors that he was entitled to 23 a salary. So Mr. Evans certainly knew, or should have 24 25 known, from the attachment from this e-mail that

Mr. Harris was very likely to take a salary.

Count 7 involves a woman named Diane Desch. And that's a very sad situation with Ms. Desch. She had a personal relationship with Mr. Harris. She knew Mr. Harris very well. She knew about his lifestyle, she knew about his financial problems, and she had some serious problems of her own. At the same time as this investment with Mr. Harris, at the same time she was — and the government has referred to the \$3,000 for shoeing the horse as a loan, you will see that that was a gift from Ms. Desch to Mr. Harris.

But at the same time that this was going on, her relationship with Mr. Harris was going on, she got involved in a terrible scam where she was scammed out of hundreds of thousands of dollars. She also had brain surgery about this time. A brain surgery which affected her memory. So a very sad, sad situation. But unfortunately with so much going on in her life, it's going to be difficult to rely on Diane Desch for information that would show that Mr. Harris made any misrepresentations to her.

Count 8 is a count involving Nicki Gentry. And she's a police officer from Fredericksburg. That's also a very different count from all of the other counts that you'll see in this case. It involves what's called allegations

of lulling. Now, lulling is when an investor tries to --1 tries to give his investors -- excuse me. It's when a person who is accused of fraud is trying to give his 3 investors a false sense of security to avoid criminal detection, or to lull investors to not report fraud to law 5 6 enforcement. Now, the odd thing about this charge is that it's a lulling charge involving a police officer. Involving a law enforcement officer who should know fraud 8 when she sees it. Now, this involves statements that were 9 made at a shareholders' meeting in 2010. Statements that 10 11 were made allegedly to lull the investors into a false sense of security. 12 Well, you're going to hear all of the statements that 13 14 were made. The government is going to want to cherry pick 15 the statements that they like from the meeting, but what he told the investors at that time was that the company What he told the investors at that time was 17 was broke. that he was not the right person to run this company. 18 Now, I want you to think for yourselves, is that the kind 19 of statement that someone makes to lull people, to lull investors, into a sense of security? 21 22 Now, some general things I want you to keep in mind 23 about all of the witnesses that you hear from in this case. One is the Matt Johnson influence. Matt Johnson 24 25 and Deep Blue. Several of these people that you will hear

from, the Ritters, the Carriers, Joe Newcome, they were part of this Magnificent Seven group. They were meeting with Matt Johnson. They were conspiring with Matt Johnson to undermine Michael Harris and Michael Harris' company.

But there's another influence that I want you to

think about, too. And remember, you have to focus on the statements that Michael Harris made. You heard a lot in the opening statement from the government about the financials in this case. Well, this case comes down to statements to alleged misrepresentations and the intent to defraud. And what you're going to see is lots of these witnesses have what we'll call hindsight bias. Most of the statements at issue, most of the allegations of misrepresentation that were made by Mr. Harris, were made four and five years ago. Even eight years ago.

The investors are trying to recall these statements from a long time ago. And remember, no one wrote those things down. There's not a single shred of evidence that will show that any of the investors at the time they were given this pitch by Mr. Harris wrote anything down.

Investors are trying to recall these statements from a long time ago. And remember that the statements that they're recalling are now being made in light of a criminal prosecution and a criminal investigation in light of the fact that they have not received any returns on

their investments. Please keep this in mind as you listen to the testimony of the witnesses in this case.

Now, you're going to hear from Rodney Sparks. And there's going to be some discussion about the patent in this case and whose name the patent was in. And Rodney Sparks is going to clear up any questions that you may have about that patent. He's going to talk about start-up companies and how start-up companies work. He's a very credible witness. A very helpful witness. And this is a man who worked with Michael Harris for several years.

But in the end what we will show you is that Michael Harris never had any intent to defraud. The government talks about pulling back the curtain in this case, well, there's no need to pull back any curtain in this case because what you're going to see is that Michael Harris didn't intend to defraud anyone.

You're going to hear about a term called "good faith." And good faith is a concept in the law that if an investor or someone accused of fraud told that he was going to do something with the money and had a good faith belief in what he told those investors, then he can't be found guilty of fraud. He can't have created the intent to defraud or deceive.

What Michael Harris told those investors time and time again was that he was going to move the company

forward. Everything he did in his life, everything he did with his company, his passion, was going to move the company forward.

You're also going to hear about concepts of burden of proof and reasonable doubt. It is always the government's burden to prove the defendant guilty, and they must meet that burden to a standard of beyond a reasonable doubt.

That is a very high standard, ladies and gentlemen. And as to each count you consider, as to each element of those counts, the government must prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Remember, this is a federal criminal case. These are felony charges against Mr. Harris. It's not just about money that was invested in the company, it's about Mr. Harris' life, his future, and his freedom. Please pay close attention to the evidence in this case, listen carefully to the witnesses, and we're confident that by the end of this trial you will find Mr. Harris not guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, given the hour, we're not going to begin with the first witness. We're going to take our luncheon recess for one hour. A couple of cautionary comments.

First of all, I neglected earlier today to advise you on using any kind of Internet or social media during the

```
course of this case. Probably don't need to, but the law
1
   requires me to advise you that you may not discuss this
   case using any kind of social media with anyone else.
 3
 4
        You also cannot consult any Internet sources for
 5
   information about the case, about any of the witnesses,
6
   any of the corporations, or any of the events that you
 7
   hear about during the course of this trial.
8
        Now, ladies and gentlemen, at this point we're going
9
   to recess for one hour. Remember, do not discuss the case
   among yourselves or with anyone else. Have a pleasant
10
11
   lunch. We'll see you back here at 2:00. You're excused
   until then.
12
        Be sure to leave your pads right there on your chair.
13
14
        (The jury is no longer present in the courtroom.)
15
        THE COURT: Mr. Gill, I assume you will be ready to
   go right at 2:00.
16
17
        MR. GILL: We are indeed, Your Honor.
18
        THE COURT: All right. Very well.
19
        We'll recess until 2:00. See you all back here then.
20
                         (Recess taken.)
                   Ready for the jury?
21
        THE COURT:
22
        MR. GILL:
                   We are, Your Honor.
23
        MR. WAGNER: Yes, sir.
24
        THE COURT: Bring the jury in, Marshal.
25
             (The jury is present in the courtroom.)
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        THE COURT:
                    Ladies and gentlemen, next you will hear
2
   the government's evidence.
 3
        Mr. Gill.
 4
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we call David Evans.
 5
        THE COURT: David Evans.
 6
        Mr. Evans, if you would raise your right hand, place
 7
   your left hand on the Bible, and face the Clerk of the
   Court.
8
9
        THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony
   which you are about to give, in this case, before this
10
11
   Court, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
   but the truth, so help you God?
12
13
        MR. EVANS:
                    I do.
14
        THE COURT: Have a seat on the witness stand,
   Mr. Evans.
15
        All right, Mr. Gill, you may inquire.
16
17
        MR. GILL: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
           Whereupon, David Evans, having been
19
   duly sworn in, testifies as follows:
20
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
  BY MR. GILL:
21
22
        Good afternoon. Would you please introduce yourself
23
   to the jury.
24
        David Evans.
25
        Where do you live, Mr. Evans?
```

123 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 Portsmouth, Virginia. 2 Will you tell the jury a little bit about how long 3 you lived in Portsmouth, Virgina and what you do for a living? 4 5 I've lived in Portsmouth since 1975. I came there 6 originally when I was in the Navy. Currently I work for 7 the Norfolk Naval Shipyard. I'm the Dock Master for the shipyard. I oversee and direct all the docking evolutions 8 9 that occur within the shipyard, all the movements on the piers and the berths, and also the destructive weather 10 11 manager for the shipyard. 12 And you mentioned you're with the Navy. How long were you with the Navy? 13 14 Four years, nine months. 15 And what rank were you when you left the Navy? 16 E-5. 17 Now, at some point have you worked also at Gitmo? 18 While I was at the shipyard, I took a job in 19 Guantanamo Bay from 2005 to 2008. We transferred down 2.0 there. Still worked for the Department of Defense. Just took a job down there for a few years. 21 22 Mr. Evans, I want to ask you, do you know Michael 23 Harris? 24 I do. 25 Do you see him here today?

124 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 Yes. Right there. 2 MR. GILL: And, Your Honor, may the record reflect he 3 identified the defendant? 4 THE COURT: It will so reflect. 5 How long have you known Michael Harris? 6 I guess since like 2002, 2003. I know it was a few 7 years before we went to Guantanamo. 8 How is it you first met him? 9 Through scuba diving. He met a friend of mine one 10 time at a boat ramp. I believe he had left a spear gun 11 out on one of the wrecks, so my friend gave him a ride back out there to retrieve it. And then through my friend 12 13 I eventually met him because, you know, there was a group 14 of us that go scuba diving together quite a bit. We have 15 our own boats and stuff so, you know, I met him through scuba. 16 17 And what was the name of your friend that you met him 18 through? Bill LaDow. 19 2.0Now, how did your relationship develop with the defendant after you first met him? 21 22 It was just like I said. It was just casual. 23 know, just strictly occasionally see each other going scuba diving either on the same boat or on a different 25 boat.

125 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 Did you consider him a friend? 2 Sure. 3 Did you know what he did for a living back when you 4 first started to get to know him? 5 When I first met him I didn't really know what 6 he did. 7 At some point later did you learn what he did? 8 I never really knew what he did for a living. I 9 mean, a few years later, again, Mr. LaDow told me about the business venture that he was involved in with the 10 11 research that he had done at Duke University, you know, coming up with a treatment and a means for putting AIDS 12 13 into remission. Now, eventually did you actually seek out an 14 15 investment with the defendant? That was after I came back from Guantanamo. 16 I did. So it was in 2008. I believe we were at Hatteras, and we 17 18 were supposed to go scuba diving on my boat and the weather wasn't conducive for going so we ended up 19 canceling the dive. But while we were down there that weekend, yeah, I asked him if he still had, you know, 21 22 share options available in the business that he had. 23 About what time? You said 2008. When was this 24 about? 25 Had to be -- had to be like in July. You know, I

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
  think I actually made the investment in August.
                                                     So it had
   to be in July because we didn't come back until June of
 3
   '08.
 4
        What did he tell you when you asked him if he was
 5
   still selling?
 6
        He said, yeah. That he had set aside I forget how
 7
   many millions of shares that were available for friends
   and family, and that there were still some available.
8
9
        What did you do next to pursue a potential
  investment?
10
11
        Well, we set up an appointment with him. He came to
  our house in Portsmouth for, you know, me and my wife.
12
13
   And he gave me -- I believe he had a PowerPoint
14
  presentation on his computer. He had some documents in a
15
   binder, you know, that he went through and kind of
   explained, you know, how he had developed this treatment
16
   using a hyperbaric chamber to treat AIDS and put it into
17
  remission. And, you know, I was a scuba diving
18
   instructor, so I was a bit familiar with, you know,
19
   partial gasses, and that sort of stuff, when you're under
  pressure from scuba diving. So, I mean, it sounded like,
21
22
   you know, sort of a viable option. They've been treating,
23
  you know, gangrene and that sort of thing with hyperbaric
24
   treatment for years.
```

So tell us about the length of the presentation.

How

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
  long was the presentation that he did for you and your
2
   wife?
 3
        Well, you know, I'm not sure. Probably -- I'm sure
  lit was at least an hour, you know. But, you know, we went
   through the presentation. He went over some documents
 5
6
   with us and, you know, some different things like that.
 7
        Now describe for the jury going through the documents
   and this presentation, you know, what was he talking about
8
9
   as far as the nature of what the company was doing and the
   potential for investment?
10
11
       Well, the first part of it was actually how the
  treatment, you know, basically how it worked by putting
12
13
   the disease into remission. And then about, you know,
14 applying for patents, you know, overseas in Europe as well
15
   as the United States to get the treatment -- you know, get
  a patent approved for the treatment before he actually
16
   went public and potentially tried to start marketing it.
17
        What did he tell you and your wife your money would
18
  be used for if you invested?
19
2.0
        Well, we had to complete the patent process.
  believe at that time -- I'm not sure if the European
21
22
   patent had already been approved. He was applying for one
23
  lover there. He also had applied for one in the United
   States. As soon as the patents were finished, you know,
24
   then the clinical trials had to be done, you know, to
25
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 prove the treatment worked before you could actually 2 market the product. 3 What was he going to do with your dollars if you put them in, according to what the defendant said? 4 5 Complete that research, finish getting the patents 6 approved, and get the clinical trials done. 7 How clear was he about that? 8 To me it was crystal clear. 9 How imminent or close was he to, you know, getting the clinical trials according to what he was saying? 10 11 Well, I mean, at the time it seemed like he was pretty close. It didn't seem like it was going to be a 12 real lengthy process. I mean, I know that when you apply 13 14 for a patent that it can take some time. But, you know, 15 we felt like between the European patent and the one in the United States that it wouldn't be too long before 16 there would be trials beginning. 17 As he's doing this presentation for you and your 18 wife, at any time did he go through and talk to you about 19 salary or using any part of your investment for salary or 21 personal expenses? 22 You know, I don't recall anything about any kind of salary. You know, I think we had some discussions about 23 expenses because I knew there were fees and stuff 24

associated with doing the patents. That he was probably

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
  going to have to go back overseas again to finish stuff
 1
   over there. So certainly fees associated with getting
 3
   that done. But nothing other than that.
        Now, what did you and your wife decide to do after
 4
 5
   the presentation?
 6
        Well, we decided to go ahead and buy some of the
 7
   stock. The minimum you could get was $5,000, so we
   decided to go ahead and invest that amount.
8
9
        Who did you rely upon in making that decision that
   you felt comfortable that you would invest your money in
10
11
   this?
        Well, I mean, my wife and I discussed it somewhat,
12
   and we relied on the information Mr. Harris gave us.
13
14
        Now after the meeting, did Michael Harris, the
15
   defendant, send you information?
        Yeah. He sent me some stuff on e-mail, you know.
16
   mean, one of them of course was where to wire transfer the
17
18
  money to, and then there were some other documents that
19
   were also e-mailed to us.
2.0
        Take a look at the documents in front of you, sir.
  And please look at Exhibit 35. Thumb through it and tell
21
22
   us if you recognize that document?
23
        THE COURT: Did you say 35, Mr. Gill?
24
        MR. GILL: Yes, Your Honor.
25
        Yes. I recognize this.
```

```
130
             DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        What is this, sir?
2
        It's just kind of an overview of the people involved,
 3
   the company, you know, a summary of what the company was
   about, the initial research. You know, kind of a, you
 4
 5
   know, a bio type thing on some of the key people that were
6
   involved in the research of the company.
 7
        And did all of this come to you via e-mail?
8
        Yes.
9
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we move for --
        THE COURT: Any objection to 35?
10
11
        MR. WAGNER: No.
        THE COURT: Be received without objection.
12
13
              (Government's Exhibit 35 is received.)
14
        If we could, we're going to take a look at Page 1.
15
   And it will be on the screen there in front of you,
16
  Mr. Evans.
17
        MR. GILL: If we could, let's focus on the little
18
   part there, Ms. Taylor. I'm sorry. The e-mail address up
19
   top first. There we go.
20
        Now, Mr. Evans, looking at this, tell us do you
  recognize your e-mail address on that?
21
22
        Yes.
23
        What is your e-mail address?
24
        Xxxxxxxxxxxqqmail.com.
25
        Were you in Portsmouth, Virginia when you received
```

131 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS this e-mail from the defendant? 2 Yes. Α 3 Do you recognize the defendant's e-mail address? 4 I do. 5 Is that xxxxxxxxxx@MSN.com? 6 Yes. 7 The jury can read this e-mail. Generally tell us what it is that he is conveying to you with this e-mail. 8 9 Well, like I say, there were some documents attached to it and, you know, just basically as you can see there, 10 11 welcoming us to the project. Giving us the bank information that we needed to transfer the funds to. 12 13 then of course, you know, you see the last sentence there 14 about possibly doing some more diving together. 15 MR. GILL: If we could scroll down a little bit. And it's signed Michael F. Harris, CEO? 16 17 That's correct. 18 Now, did you ever visit Mr. Harris in Luray, 19 Virginia? 2.0I did. We went up to -- we went with some friends of ours. As soon as we got back from Gitmo it was over the 21 22 July 4th weekend of 2008, they had just bought an RV, and 23 we went to, I believe it's called, Endless Caverns. I knew Mike lived up in that area, or very close to there, 25 so we gave him a call over there and we actually drove

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
  lover to his house and had a little cookout and had dinner
2
   with him one night.
 3
        Do you remember anything about the house?
 4
        Old farm house up, you know, on a hill. It was --
 5
   you know, he was doing quite a bit of renovation to the
 6
   house. Nothing -- nothing specific.
 7
        Do you recall any horses on the property?
8
        No, not right there on the property. No.
9
        MR. GILL: If we can go back up just a little bit.
        And finally with respect to where you were going to
10
11
   send your money. What account did the defendant instruct
  you to send it to?
12
        I mean, it wasn't -- just this one that's listed on
13
14
  the e-mail. Just gave us a routing number and the
15
   account, MF Research. That was all we were given.
        Did you understand your money was going into the
16
17
   company?
18
        Yes.
19
        Now, did you review the materials that he had sent to
   you?
20
                   If we could scroll up now to the top.
21
22
        Were there attachments that he sent with this e-mail?
23
        Yeah, I'm sure I looked over those. I sent them to
24
   myself there, as you can see at my work e-mail, because
25
   again we had just come back from overseas and we didn't
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 even have a printer in our house yet. We hadn't gotten our household goods yet. And I believe a couple of these documents we had to sign and either fax back or send back 3 to him, like the non-disclosure agreement. So that was 4 part of the issue with him e-mailing us the documents. 5 6 And explain to the jury, and we'll see it in a 7 moment, what did you understand from the defendant to be the importance of the non-disclosure agreement? 8 9 Well, basically it was just so that anyone that was investing in the company wouldn't take any of the 10 11 information about the treatment and, you know, go to another company or share that -- or share that information 12 with people outside of the company. 13 14 MR. GILL: Now if we could look at Page 2 of Exhibit 15 35. 16 And generally what is this, sir? 17 Well, I think this was just kind of an overview 18 stating about, you know, the present treatment for AIDS 19 was, you know, was the different types of medication was the only treatment that there was, and that this new form of treatment was not using drugs but using a hyperbaric 21 22 treatment to put the disease in remission. And he had 23 kind of discovered this while he was down in the Caribbean 24 doing some diving down there. And, you know, he found 25 that these people that had the disease that were doing

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 these deep dives on a repetitive basis were putting their disease in remission. I think that's what started him 3 down the path of doing the research for the treatment. 4 MR. GILL: And let's look at the last paragraph on 5 Page 2, please. If we could zoom in a little more on 6 that. 7 Tell us what you recall about this, and whether it 8 fit with what the defendant was telling you during his presentation to you and your wife. 9 10 Yes, it did. And again, it was, as I stated earlier, being a scuba diving instructor. And also I was a 11 corpsman in the Navy when I was in the Navy so, I mean, I 12 understood, you know, when you go diving that your blood 13 14 overloads itself with nitrogen, you know, and this 15 treatment was kind of based around that. That the 16 increased partial pressures and the nitrogen in your bloodstream was having an effect on the diseased cells and 17 putting them into remission. 18 19 How about the last part there with respect to where the company was planning to go next? Well, yeah, you know, he had done the initial 21 22 research at Duke University and then, you know, he had 23 left there. And so he talked about animal trials that had been completed and, you know, he was ready to proceed with 24 25 going into Phase II which would be the actual clinical

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  Itrials, but that we needed to get the patents approved and
2
   completed first.
 3
        Were these the areas where your money was going to be
   spent based on what the defendant told you?
 4
 5
        Yes.
 6
        MR. GILL: Let's look at Page 5. And focus in on the
 7
   upper portion.
8
        This is a summary of the defendant's background. And
9
  tell the jury what you understood from the defendant about
  his background.
10
11
        Well, I knew he'd been involved with the medical
  fields, you know, again, working at Duke University. You
13
   know, this just lays out some of the previous jobs that he
  had had, you know. And, you know, again, that he had done
14
15
   these preliminary studies on the animals using the
  hyperbaric chamber to treat them.
16
17
        In there it also says in the middle. It says, "In
   1994, he set up his own company, M.F. Harris Research,
18
   Inc." Is that what you understood from the defendant?
19
2.0
       Yes. Yeah, he has set up a company to complete the
  research for the ideas and to, you know, be able to apply
21
22
   for patents to get the treatment actually patented so
23
  that, you know -- that before he went public with it that
   nobody else could take the idea.
```

MR. GILL: If we could just scroll back, please.

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 Is there also information in this packet he sent you about other doctors involved with this, according to the 3 defendant? Yeah, I remember him going over some stuff like that. 4 5 That there were some other doctors that were involved in 6 the research and development of the treatment. 7 Overall, how did his presentation and what he was saying to you, how did it make you feel about your 8 9 investment? 10 We felt like it was a sound investment, you know, 11 especially back then, you know, several years ago. You know, you don't hear as much about AIDS and stuff right 12 now, but, I mean, back then if this was a treatment that 13 14 was going to be something besides these drug cocktails 15 that you heard about everybody taking for years and years and never could cure the disease, and if this was going to 16 be able to put it in remission, it sounded to us like it 17 would be something definitely worthwhile to invest in. 18 MR. GILL: If we could look at Page 8. 19 20 Now this synopsis, let's focus in on the first paragraph, please. And tell the jury if that fits with 21 22 the information that the defendant had told you about this 23 process. Yes. Yeah, like I say, when he was -- he'd been down 24 in the Caribbean and then, you know, just like it states 25

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 here he had heard about these people that were -- that were HIV positive and that, you know, with their diving 3 that they did down there, you know, that when they would go in and have the treatments if they got bent from doing 4 these repetitive deep dives, that it seemed to be putting 5 6 the disease in remission. 7 MR. GILL: If we could please zoom back out and focus in on the third paragraph. 8 9 And tell the jury whether this fits with anything that he told you about how he initially financed this 10 11 according to the defendant. Yeah. I remember him talking, you know, about the 12 horses and stuff that he had at that time, but not a lot 13 14 of specifics about it. 15 MR. GILL: And then let's look at the last paragraph of the synopsis, and also the signature at the bottom. 16 17 Signed "Michael Harris." That last paragraph, is 18 that what you understood him to be raising funds for when he was soliciting you and your wife in Portsmouth, 19 Virginia? 20 21 Yes. Yeah. Yeah. Yeah, they had done the safety 22 trials which, of course, you have to have that completed 23 before you can even go to the clinical trials. And then, 24 yeah, again, going forward with the patents to get the

patents approved, you know, and then going to complete

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 clinical trials so that you can actually have a marketable product. 2 3 MR. GILL: And if we can look at Page 9. 4 Is this also an item that he sent to you? 5 Yes. 6 Now during his presentation, did he go through these 7 documents with you and your wife? I believe that he did go through most of them. 8 9 mean, I can't -- I can't say for sure if we looked at every one of these page-for-page. But, yes, he had a lot 10 of these documents either on his computer or in his binder 11 that he was using for the presentation. 12 MR. GILL: Let's look at Page 11, which is in this 13 14 packet. If we could please focus on "Funding Details." 15 And tell the jury whether the "Funding Details" description there fits with what the defendant told you is going to be done with your money. 17 Yeah. I mean, like I said, he spoke about this that, 18 you know, the fees that were there to perform the 19 treatments as well as getting the patents approved and that, you know, that's what the money was going to be used 21 22 for if people invested in the company. 23 Based on what he was telling you, was it your understanding it was at the second study according to what

he was telling you and your wife?

```
139
             DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        Yes.
2
        MR. GILL: If we could just scroll down a little bit.
 3
        So, "Second Study, (Efficiency) Hyperbaric
 4
   Treatments, Duration," did he talk to you about that
 5
   generally?
6
        Where are we at?
 7
        On Page 11. If you will look on the screen,
   actually. I'm sorry.
8
9
        Yeah, I believe that's the way it was briefed to us.
  That the Phase I trials had already been completed, that
10
11
   the patents had already been approved for, and now we were
  proceeding with the second portion of the study.
12
13
        MR. GILL: Now, if we can look at Page 12. The very
14 Inext page. And let's focus in right here, please.
15
        Now this says, "Start Up Marketing Costs after Second
   Study Hyperbaric Treatment." So "after" is what he was
16
   talking to you about?
17
18
        Uh-huh.
                 That's correct.
19
        MR. WAGNER: Objection to leading the witness, Your
20
   Honor.
21
        THE COURT: I think he's drawing his attention to it,
22
   but to the extent that your questions are suggestive of
23
   the answer, please do not form them that way.
24
        MR. GILL: Absolutely, Your Honor.
25
        THE COURT: Objection sustained. Go ahead.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        Mr. Evans, tell the jury what part of the process you
   understood this to be in relation to the Phase II trials
 3
   that the defendant was talking to you about?
 4
        You know, I don't remember specifically going over
 5
   this part of this document. But, I mean, again, when I
 6
   read this over, I thought this was the cost of the -- once
 7
   the company was up and running and you were actually
   started into the second phase of the treatment process
8
   that this would be the cost that would be incurred.
9
10
        After the testing was done that you and your wife
   were investing in?
11
        That's correct.
12
        MR. WAGNER: Objection to leading, Your Honor.
13
14
        THE COURT:
                   Objection's overruled. Go right ahead.
15
        Your answer to that, sir?
              That's correct.
16
        Yes.
17
        Okay. And just to be clear, tell the jury, at any
18
   time during this presentation did Michael Harris say he
19
   was going to be taking some kind of salary or this portion
   of this money for himself?
21
        No.
   Α
22
        If he had told you that he was going to take the
  money for a salary, would that have had an affect on your
23
24
   investment?
25
        Yes, it would.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
        Tell the jury what affect, if any, it would have had.
 1
2
        If I thought he was going to use my money for salary,
 3
   I wouldn't have given him any of my money.
 4
        MR. GILL: Let's look at Page 14 of Exhibit 35.
 5
   if we can just focus on the upper portion.
 6
        This is a newsletter. Tell the jury what you recall,
 7
   if anything, about Deep Blue and Jeffrey Seto.
8
        The only thing I really recall about him speaking
9
   about this was that this was why he was trying to get the
   patents approved as soon as possible because he was afraid
10
   these people were going to take his research ideas and try
11
   to market it themselves.
12
13
        At any time when he was talking to you and your wife,
14
   did he present to you that somehow the company would not
   move forward because of Deep Blue and Jeff Seto?
15
16
   Α
        No.
        Take a look at Page 15, the very next page of this
17
               Tell the jury, did it have any information
18
   newsletter.
19
   about the company moving forward and what they were going
   to do about Jeffrey Seto and Deep Blue?
        Again, I don't recall any specifics about that
21
   company other than the fact that this was why he was
22
   trying to get the patents approved as soon as he could.
23
        MR. GILL: And let's look at Page 17, which is the
24
25
   last page of this newsletter.
```

```
142
             DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        Does this have various action items?
2
        MR. GILL: I'm sorry. The last page. I apologize.
 3
   Page 16. I apologize.
 4
        Looking at Page 16, which would be "Page 3 of 4,"
 5
   were there various future plans included in that
 6
   newsletter?
 7
        Yes.
8
        Including?
9
        Again, they're listed there. The patent application
   approval. That was the first one. And then I think he
10
11
  was trying to apply for a grant.
        MR. GILL: Go over to the other side.
12
13
        Yeah, the clinical trials. That was the big thing.
14
  ■That he was -- talked to us about the patent approval and
15
   then the clinical trials.
        In fact, here in bold it says, "Phase II clinical
16
   trials: We need to raise $1.5 million to complete the
17
18
   trials we have the facilities and the patients and signed
19
   contractual agreements."
20
        Right.
  Α
        Tell the jury how imminent did you think all this
21
22
  was?
23
        Well, I mean, we thought it was pretty imminent.
   Like I said, I knew there was a process to go through to
25
  get a patent. Anytime you're, you know, going through the
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
  U.S. Patent Office that there's some time delay with that,
   but we felt like as soon as that was done that the Phase
 3
   II trials would begin.
 4
        Now then, if you would take a look at Exhibits 36 and
 5
   37 there in front of you, sir. Tell us what those are.
 6
        Thirty-six is the non-disclosure agreement. And 37
 7
   is the subscription agreement.
8
        Did you execute those in relation to your investment
   with M.F. Harris Research, Inc.?
9
10
        Yes, I did.
11
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we move for admission of
   Exhibits 36 and 37.
12
        THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
13
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
14
15
        THE COURT: Thirty-six and 37 will be received. You
16
  may publish them to the jury if you wish.
17
        MR. GILL:
                   Thank you.
             (Government's Exhibits 36 & 37 are received.)
18
19
        First off, let's look at the bottom. Who signed this
2.0
   and when?
        That's my signature and my wife's signature. August
21
22
   the 6th of 2008. The other one, 37, is both of our
23
   signatures with no date.
        If we could just back up on Exhibit 36, the
24
25
  non-disclosure agreement. Just generally tell the jury
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  what you understood to be the effect and necessity of this
 2
   document.
 3
        It was -- the way it was explained was just that, you
   know, after seeing the presentation and how the treatment
 5
   worked and how it was going to be used, that you were not
6
   to disclose that to anyone who's outside of the project.
 7
        Let's zoom in just on the middle. Is that just
   basically the circumvention language there in the middle?
8
9
   It says you and your wife are not to talk to anybody about
10
  this?
11
        That's right. Yes.
        MR. GILL: Let's see Exhibit 37, which is the
12
13
   subscription agreement. Focus in on the upper paragraph,
  please.
14
15
        Tell us what the date of this agreement was, and who
16
  your agreement was between.
17
        The 6th of August, 2008. And it was between me and
   my wife and M.F. Harris Research, Incorporated.
18
        Based on what the defendant was telling you, who were
19
   you investing in with this agreement?
        M.F. Harris Research, Incorporated.
21
22
        How many shares were you buying, and what was the
23
  price of the shares?
        It was 5,000 shares at a dollar a share.
24
25
        MR. GILL: Scroll down a little bit.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
        Now then, Paragraph 3 (a) talks about that you
 1
   "Acknowledges that the undersigned or the undersigned's
 3
   representative has had access to the same kind of
   information concerning the Corporation that is required by
 4
 5
   Schedule A of the Securities Act of 1993, to the extent
6
   that the Corporation possesses such information."
 7
        Tell the jury, were you able to see any financials in
   relation to your investment?
8
9
        No.
10
        To this day have you seen any financials for M.F.
   Harris Research, Inc.?
11
12
        No.
13
        Now then, Paragraph (b). Let the jury read that for
14
   a moment. 3(b).
15
        Sir, does that basically talk about representing if
16
  you have the knowledge and experience to evaluate the
   risks of this investment?
17
18
              That's correct.
        Yes.
19
        Who were you relying upon in making your decision on
2.0
   whether or not you were going to invest, and what your
21
  money was going to be used for?
        We were basing it off of Mr. Harris' presentation.
22
23
        MR. GILL: And then finally 3(d).
24
        We'll let the jury read that for a moment.
25
        Now with respect to this investment, did you
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  understand that you can go out and just sell these shares
2
   if you wanted to?
 3
        You know, I believed that the -- until the patent was
   received and that the company actually went public, I
 4
   don't believe you're supposed to be selling your shares.
 5
 6
        Did you believe based on everything that you've read
 7
   and what you understood that the stock shares belonged to
   you and your wife, as well as your estate, if something
8
 9
  happens to you?
10
        Yes. Yes.
        Now then, how much money did you and your wife decide
11
   to put into this?
12
        Five thousand dollars.
13
        Take a look at Exhibit 38. And do you recognize the
14
15
   first page referencing the account that you used for your
16
   investment?
17
        Yes.
        Is that your account at Navy Federal Credit Union?
18
19
        Yes, it is. That's correct.
2.0
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we move for admission of 38,
   which is business and bank records.
21
22
        THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
23
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
24
        THE COURT: Be received without objection. You may
   publish it to the jury.
25
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
              (Government's Exhibit 38 is received.)
 1
2
        MR. GILL: See Page 1. If you can zoom in on the
 3
   upper portion here, please.
 4
        And tell us when was it that you actually sent the
   money to the defendant for this investment?
 5
 6
        August 15, 2008.
 7
        And does that reference M.F. Harris Research, the
   company that your money is going to?
8
9
        Yes, sir.
        And Navy Federal Credit Union, Washington, is that
10
11
   your bank account?
        Yes, it is.
12
13
        According to the defendant, what he told you and your
14
  wife, what was this money going to be used for?
15
        To complete the process of -
16
        MR. WAGNER: Ask and answered.
17
        - securing the patents, and to complete the clinical
   trials to eventually get to a marketable product.
18
19
        MR. GILL: I won't ask again. I apologize, Your
  Honor.
20
        Now, after the investment, how often, tell the jury,
21
22
   would you receive updates on the status of the company or
23
  your investment?
        I never really received any kind of updates.
24
25
   Occasionally I would get a call from Mr. Harris. And I
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  told him on different occasions that I wasn't receiving
   any kind of e-mails or anything. He was -- he would call
 3
   occasionally and ask if we had received anything from this
   Deep Blue company. I guess someone had given the e-mail
 4
 5
   addresses of some of the investors to that company.
 6
   again, I never got anything from him or them.
 7
        If you will take a look at Exhibit 39. Do you
   recognize that?
8
9
        Yes, I do.
        What is that?
10
11
        That's the certificate that we received after
  transferring the money.
12
                   Any objection to 39, Mr. Wagner?
13
        THE COURT:
14
        MR. WAGNER: No.
15
        THE COURT: Be received. You may publish it if you
16
   wish.
17
             (Government's Exhibit 39 is received.)
18
        Is this the stock certificate you received in M.F.
19
   Harris Research, Inc. following your investment?
20
              That's correct.
   Α
        Yes.
21
        How quickly after your investment did you receive
22
   this, if you recall?
23
        I mean, I think it was fairly quickly. I don't
24
   remember a real time frame. But, you know, once we
25
   transferred the money up to the account and got
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  confirmation that that was done, he mailed us the
2
   certificate.
 3
        MR. GILL: Turning away from that. Thank you.
 4
        You mentioned that you got an inquiry from the
 5
   defendant about whether you had been contacted by Deep
 6
   Blue or any e-mails, and you had not been contacted by
 7
   them?
        That's correct. I had not.
8
9
        At anytime have you been in contact with them?
10
        No.
11
        Did you attend any shareholder meetings?
12
        I did. I attended one in 2010.
13
        Take a look at Exhibit 40. Tell us what that is.
14
        This was, I believe, the notice to the shareholders
15
   about the meeting.
        Do you recall how you received that?
16
17
        You know, I believe I got this from Mr. LaDow
18
  because, again, I was somehow not on the distribution of
19
   e-mails for the company.
20
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we move for admission of 40.
        THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
21
22
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
23
        THE COURT: Received.
24
              (Government's Exhibit 40 is received.)
25
        And tell us what the date of the shareholder meeting
```

150 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS was and where it was held. 2 It was November 1, 2010 at the Ritz Carlton in 3 McLean, Virginia. 4 Actually, I'll refer you to the middle of that. 5 it on November 1st, or is there a different date as far as 6 when it's going to be held? 7 Oh, I see the notice was the 1st. Yes, it was Saturday, November 20th, at the Ritz Carlton. 8 9 Who did you go up there with? I went up there with Mr. LaDow. 10 11 Tell us, how many people were there at the meeting, as you recall? 12 I guess 15 to 20. Maybe 25 at the very most. 13 14 Tell the jury what you recall with respect to that 15 meeting. Well it was just, you know, kind of an update of 16 where the company was supposed to be at, so we wanted to 17 go up there and see what was going on. You know, I hadn't 18 19 really heard anything about how the company was 20 proceeding. As the meeting proceeded, there were a lot of people 21 22 I think that were kind of under the same impression that 23 we were. It seemed like the company was kind of stagnated. It wasn't really moving forward. Anytime 24

Mr. Harris would talk to you even during the meeting, he

25

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  seemed to be much more concerned about Deep Blue trying to
2
   steal the idea than moving the company forward.
 3
        He was asked during that meeting, and I believe I'm
 4
   the one that asked, you know, is there a financial
 5
   statement for the company because, again, you know, nobody
 6
   seemed to have ever seen anything about where the money
 7
   was being spent.
8
        How did the defendant respond to that, if you recall?
9
        I believe he said that he was going to put something
   together. There was a lawyer there that --
10
11
        MR. WAGNER: Objection to what he believes he said,
   Judge.
12
13
        THE COURT: Objection sustained as to any
14
   speculation.
15
        You can't speculate if you don't have firsthand
   knowledge. Just answer you don't, all right?
16
17
        MR. EVANS:
                    Okay.
                   Go right ahead. Next question.
        THE COURT:
18
19
        Tell the jury what you recall the defendant saying,
   if anything, about whether financials would be prepared.
        Yes. He said that they would be prepared and he
21
   would send them out.
22
23
        Has that ever happened, to your knowledge?
24
        No.
25
        What do you recall, if anything, about the discussion
```

152 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS about patent expenses? 2 There was a discussion about patent expenses, about 3 the fees that had to be paid. Specifically, I think it was the ones over in Europe that there was a continuous 4 5 amount of money they had to pay for those just to keep 6 that going. That it had not been approved yet. And then 7 that the United States one had been submitted, and we still had not gotten approval for that yet either. 8 9 MR. GILL: May I have one moment, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 11 MR. GILL: We pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. 12 13 Mr. Wagner, cross-examination of Mr. Evans. 14 MR. WAGNER: Let me get some papers together here, 15 Judge. If I could have just a moment, please. 16 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. WAGNER: 19 Good afternoon, Mr. Evans. 20 Hi. Α 21 Q How are you today? 22 I'm all right. 23 I just want to be clear on this. You attended the 24 2010 shareholders' meeting, correct? 25 That's right.

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        And your recollection is from that meeting you heard
2
   Mr. Harris say that the U.S. patent had not been approved?
 3
        You know, I might have been mistaken about that.
   There was a lady there from Canada. I believe he was
 4
 5
   pursing something to start clinical trials in Canada.
6
   can't say for sure, you know, but I believe at that point
 7
   that the patent in the United States may have been
8
   approved.
9
        And that was in 2010 that you're not recalling this
10
   correctly?
11
        That's correct.
        And the interview that you had with Mr. Harris was in
12
13
   2008, right?
        The interview?
14
   Α
15
        Well, the presentation.
   Q
16
        Yes, that's correct.
17
        That he gave you was in 2008?
18
        Correct.
19
        Is there anything that you testified about from that
   2008 presentation that you had that you didn't remember
21
   correctly either?
22
        No.
23
        Are you sure about that?
24
        I'm positive.
25
        Okay. Let's go over some of those things that were
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
          And let's be clear. You invested $5,000 of your
  said.
   money with Mr. Harris, isn't that right?
 3
        That's correct.
 4
        And Mr. Harris was the company, right?
 5
        That's correct.
 6
        He didn't have anybody else who was on the payroll
 7
   for him or who he was employing, correct?
        Well, there was a lawyer, and I thought there was a
8
9
   secretary or someone that was helping him file some of the
10
   paperwork.
11
        But you didn't see any records of anybody on his
  payroll?
12
13
        No.
        And you did not get any return on your investment,
14
15
   isn't that right?
        That's correct.
16
        So you're not happy with Mr. Harris, would that be
17
18
   accurate?
19
        Yeah.
2.0
        Now, it's fair to say that when Mr. Harris first
  talked to you about this company that he was very
21
22
   passionate about this science that he was promoting, is
23
   that correct?
24
        That's correct.
25
        He really believed in it, didn't he?
```

```
155
              CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        He did.
2
        And he caused you to believe in it, didn't he?
 3
        Yes.
 4
        And is there anything about the science that you
 5
   don't believe, or you don't believe that Mr. Harris
6
   presented to you that was accurate?
 7
        No.
8
                   Now, Bill LaDow is a good friend of
        Thank you.
   yours, right?
9
10
        That's correct.
11
        And you and he and Mr. Harris would go diving, is
12 that correct?
13
        That's correct.
        And did Bill LaDow tell you anything about Michael
14
15
   Harris' company?
16
        Yeah, he's the one that first told me that the
   company was in existence and it was a treatment for AIDS.
17
        And did he tell you that he had invested in it?
18
19
        Yes.
20
        All right. So some of the information that you
  received from Mr. LaDow about the company, is it possible
21
22
   you could have confused that with what Mr. Harris told
  you?
23
24
        No, I don't believe so.
25
        But that was back before 2008 when you made this
```

Case 3:12-cr-00170-HEH Document 106 Filed 07/10/13 Page 156 of 268 PageID# 1078 156 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 investment, correct? 2 I'm not sure if that was before I went to Guantanamo Bay or right after I got back. 3 4 Okay. Well, let me ask you this. What exactly did 5 Mr. Harris say to you at the presentation as to what he 6 would do with the money? Exactly. If you don't remember 7 exactly, please say so. 8 What he did not say exactly, I can tell that you. 9 did not say I'm going to use \$50 for this or \$100 for that. 10 11 Now, you have testified that he said -- the first time Mr. Gill asked you, you said that he was using the 12 money to apply for patents, is that correct? 13 14 Yes. 15 And using the money for clinical trials, correct? 16 Α Yes. 17 And he was using the money to complete the research, 18 | correct? 19 Yes. 20 Now, you were asked a second time, and then is it true that you added this, you said, "Eventually get to a 21 22 marketable product," is that correct?

I don't know that he said those specific words.

All right. But that was your testimony in response

23

24

to Mr. Gill's question?

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 Right. 2 And so it was your understanding that part of what 3 your money was going to was to eventually get a marketable product, is that fair to say? 4 5 That's correct. Yes. Now, you were interviewed by a Bill Ward back in July 6 7 of 2012, is that right? I don't recall the date but, yeah, I spoke to Bill 8 9 Ward several times. 10 How many times have you talked to Bill Ward? 11 A Probably three. 12 And the first time you talked to him though was July 13 of 2012, correct? What is it you're showing me there? 14 15 Q I'm not showing you anything yet. This is just a 16 report of your conversation. 17 I don't know that it was July the 12th. I know I 18 spoke to Mr. Ward. I can't remember the exact date. 19 Let me show you a copy of this memorandum and see if 20 Ithis refreshes your recollection of when you spoke to 21 Mr. Ward. 22 Okay. 23 0 And in the middle of that page it's in bold. Is it

true that Mr. Ward asked you the question, "What did

Mr. Harris say your money would go to?

24

25

```
<u> CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS</u>
        Well, I mean it says here "clinical trials." Just
 1
   like I said earlier.
 3
        Well, that's the only thing you told Mr. Ward that he
   said to you back then, isn't that correct?
 4
 5
        I quess if this is a word for word verbatim
6
   conversation, yes.
 7
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, with that I am going to
   object. It is not proper impeachment. And this is not
8
9
   this witness's statement.
10
        THE COURT: As you well know, I believe you can show
11
   it to him, refresh his recollection, and ask him what --
        MR. WAGNER: I have no problem with that, Judge.
12
        THE COURT: All right. Please do.
13
14
        So are you saying then to the ladies and gentlemen of
15
   this jury that you said anything additional to "clinical
   trials" in response to Mr. Ward's question?
16
17
        You know, I can't recall the word for word
18
   conversation that I had with him. But again, it was my
   understanding right from the get-go that the items left to
19
   do to complete the company's quest for having a product
   that could be marketed was to finish getting the patents
21
22
   approved and get the clinical trials done.
23
        MR. WAGNER: I'll take that document back, please.
24
        So is it fair to say that when you had this
25
   conversation with Mr. Harris about your investment, you
```

159 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS didn't write anything down? 1 2 No, I didn't write anything down. 3 Didn't write down what he told you he would do with the money, is that correct? 4 5 No. 6 And is it fair to say you didn't ask him any 7 follow-up questions as to what he would do with the money? 8 No, I did not. 9 All right. Didn't follow-up with any e-mails to him after the presentation to ask him what he's doing with the 10 money, is that fair to say? 11 No, I didn't. 12 13 Isn't it true that Mr. Harris also talked at great 14 length about pursuing funding for the research and funding 15 for the company? 16 Yes. I knew that there was still funding that had to be acquired to complete the research for the clinical 17 18 trials. 19 And didn't you understand then that some of the money that came into the company was going to be used to pursue the big investor for the company? 21 22 Yeah, I don't know that I understood that completely, 23 but it makes sense that he would have to do some of that. 24 And isn't it fair then that the CEO or president of the company who does that would get paid for that?

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        Well, that wasn't really made clear, I mean, that he
   was drawing a salary off the company. We never had that
 3
   conversation.
 4
        Is it fair to say that the thrust of Mr. Harris'
 5
   presentation to you, that he intended to move the company
 6
   forward?
 7
        Absolutely. Yes.
8
        Okay. And following that conversation, when was the
9
  next time that you tried to recall what Michael Harris
   said during that conversation?
10
11
        I mean, as far as specifics go, the next time I
   probably tried to recall all that was said was when I
12
13
   spoke with Mr. Ward.
        So that would have been four years after the fact?
14
15
        That's correct.
16
        Are you an experienced investor?
17
        No, I am not.
18
        Now, you knew that this was essentially sort of a
19
   start-up company that you were investing in, correct?
20
        I did.
   Α
21
        You knew it was sort of a one-man show, right?
22
        Yes.
23
        Let me back up a second. Let's talk about the e-mail
24
   that you received from Mr. Harris on, I believe it was,
25
  August the 4th of 2008. That was two days before he came
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  to your house to talk about the investment, is that
2
   correct?
 3
        I thought it was after. I don't really recall.
 4
        So you thought you received the e-mail after you
 5
   spoke to him at your house?
 6
        Yes.
 7
        Okay. Then in response to Mr. Gill's questions, you
8
   said that you discussed the things that accompanied the
9
   e-mail when you met with Mr. Harris?
        He had some of those documents in his presentation.
10
11
  But the way I recall it, he did not have copies of all
   those to give to us so he e-mailed them to us.
12
        Does this look familiar to you?
13
14
        It does.
15
        MR. WAGNER: I'm holding up a white folder.
        And does this look like the white folder he brought
16
   with him?
17
        It's a white binder. I don't know.
18
19
        Let me hand it to you and see if you can identify
   this as the folder that he brought with him and made his
21
   presentation to you.
22
        THE COURT:
                   Do you want that marked as an exhibit?
23
        MR. WAGNER: Sure. Sure.
24
        THE COURT: Going to have to, otherwise we won't know
25
   what you're referring to.
```

```
162
             CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
                      It's 53, Judge.
 1
        MR. WAGNER:
2
        THE COURT: All right.
 3
        I'm sure you've seen this, have you not, Mr. Gill?
 4
        MR. GILL:
                   I'm sorry?
 5
        THE COURT: You've seen this white binder?
 6
        MR. GILL: I have.
 7
        Yeah, I would have to say that some of this looks
8
   familiar to me. I certainly don't recall page-for-page
9
   this entire binder.
        And just to be clear, do you recall saying to
10
11
   Investigator Ward that he came to your house on August the
   6th of 2008, Mr. Harris?
12
        I don't recall giving him a date.
13
                                            No.
14
  \blacksquareAugust the 6th was the date that we signed some of the
15
   papers. So obviously that was the date he was there.
        So that kind of refreshes your recollection?
16
17
        Yes.
18
        So that date would have come after when you received
19
   the e-mail, correct?
20
        What was the e-mail date?
21
        August 4th.
22
        Yeah, you're right. August the 4th is when we got
23
   the e-mail. August the 6th is when he came to the house.
24
        Very good. And do you recall seeing the newsletters
   that were referred to, the newsletters from August of 2007
```

163 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS and September of 2007? 1 2 Yeah. Yes, I do. 3 And these newsletters needed to be prepared by someone, correct? 4 5 Sure. 6 Isn't it fair to say that whoever prepared these 7 should be paid for their time? 8 I suppose. And the 2006 documents that you referred to -- and I 9 think I have it tabbed in that folder there. There's a 10 11 yellow marker. Now, that's a proposal for congressional funding for Michael Harris' research, is that right? 12 13 It's some kind of proposal. I don't see where it 14 says it's for Michael Harris. 15 So you don't know that that's from Michael Harris' 16 company? 17 You know, I recall seeing the document. I don't know that we discussed where it came from. 18 Well, didn't he tell you that he prepared that for 19 funding for his company? 21 You know, I don't recall him specifically stating 22 that. But looking at the document, I would say that I would assume that, yes, he had done it. 23 24 Okay. And in that document, it clearly refers to a salary for the CEO and president of that company, isn't

164 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS that right? 1 2 It does. Yes. 3 And it refers to a salary of \$100,000 a year, right? 4 THE COURT: What document are you referring to, 5 Mr. Wagner? 6 MR. WAGNER: It's the document that the government 7 referred to in asking Mr. Evans about funding for the company for the Phase II trials. 8 9 THE COURT: Does it contain a number? 10 MR. GILL: For the record, it's contained in Government's Exhibit 35 beginning at Page 9 through, I 11 believe, 13. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. I want to establish what exhibit he's reading from. 14 15 MR. WAGNER: I'm sorry. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. Next question. 16 17 So is it fair to say that you were presented with a document that reflected that Mr. Harris would receive a 18 19 salary? 20 Yes. I have seen this document. 21 All right. And did you ask Mr. Harris any questions 22 when you saw that document about him receiving a salary? 23 I did not. 24 And that has in it references to office expenses, is that right? We're talking about Exhibit 39, and I think

165 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS 1 Page 11 is the correct page. 2 Yes. Α 3 Did you ask him any questions about office expenses? 4 I did not. 5 Now, you went to his house, didn't you? 6 Yes. 7 And his house was in Luray, Virginia, right? That's correct. 8 9 And the house was in pretty poor shape, at least at that time in 2008 when you went, is that right? 10 11 It was having some renovations done. Α 12 Kind of falling apart, right? 13 It was an older home. Yes. And this was his base of operations, that home in 14 15 Luray, Virginia, right? At that point I wasn't really involved in the company 16 I mean, I don't know if it was or not. 17 Did he tell you whether or not the home was his 18 office? 19 2.0 No, because at that point I had not had a discussion 21 with him even about investing in the company. 22 Did you go into the house? 23 I did. 24 Do you remember going through the kitchen? 25 I don't recall going through the kitchen. I mean, I

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  went into the house.
                          Had cooked some meat or something
   out on the grill, and we sat down at a large table in the
 3
   dining room and had dinner.
 4
        Okay. And do you remember a living room adjoining
 5
   the kitchen?
 6
        I don't remember the specific layout of the house.
 7
        So you didn't remember an office being in the house?
8
        No.
9
        And in that document, that Exhibit 39 on Page 11 that
   you're looking at, that 2006 congressional budget
10
11
   proposal, it also references travel expenses, correct?
        I don't see a specific line item for travel.
12
13
        Now, you said in response to Mr. Gill's question that
14
  you wouldn't have given him money if you knew it had gone
15
   to salary, is that correct?
        That's correct.
16
17
        Yet you didn't ask him any questions about salary?
        That's correct.
18
19
        Now, would you consider Bill LaDow to be a close
  friend of yours?
        Yes, I would.
21
22
        And isn't it true that Mr. Harris was involved in a
23
  personal relationship with Mr. LaDow's daughter?
24
        Yes.
25
        And that relationship did not end well, is that fair
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS to say? 2 That's correct. 3 All right. And are you close to Bill LaDow's 4 daughter? 5 I've know her for a long time, but I'm not close 6 to her. 7 But she was hurt by that relationship, right? 8 I'm assuming that she was. Yes. 9 And his father was a little upset about that as well? 10 Yes. 11 Now, did you ever ask for any financial statements or 12 documents from Mr. Harris before you invested? 13 No, I did not. Didn't ask for any balance sheets from the company? 14 15 No, I did not. But you felt it was a sound investment, right? 16 17 Yes. If I didn't, I wouldn't have done it. Now, you've indicated that at the 2010 shareholders' 18 meeting that the patent had not yet been approved, is that 19 2.0 correct? You know, I stated that but honestly I do not recall 21 22 every word that was spoken in that meeting. Sometime in 23 that -- sometime in that time frame, the U.S. patent did 24 get approved. I don't recall if it was prior to the 25 meeting or not.

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        And you were never shown a transcript of that
  meeting?
 3
        No.
 4
        Never shown any kind of recording from that meeting?
 5
        I was -- I listened to some pieces of the recording
6
   from Mr. Gill.
 7
        And when was that?
8
        A week or two ago.
9
        How many times have you met with Mr. Gill prior to
10
  your testimony?
11
        I've never met with him. Just spoke on the phone.
        How many times did you speak on the phone?
12
13
        I think twice.
        Is it fair to say that at that shareholders' meeting
14
15
   that Mr. Harris was being attacked by the shareholders?
        I don't know that I'd use the word "attacked." He
16
   was certainly being questioned because I think other
17
   people felt the same way that I did. No financial
18
   documents had been produced or provided to anyone, so
19
  nobody knew what was being done with their investment --
  with their invested money.
21
22
        Now, did you receive an anonymous letter before
23 attending that shareholders' meeting?
24
        An anonymous letter?
25
        Near that time relating to Mr. Harris' company, did
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  you receive an anonymous letter before attending that
2
   meeting?
 3
        I did not.
 4
        Did Mr. LaDow talk to you about a letter that he
 5
   received prior to that meeting?
 6
        I don't remember if there was any letter. I know
 7
   that he had been contacted a couple of times I think by
   this Deep Blue company.
8
9
        But I'm asking about this letter specifically.
        I don't recall anything about a letter. No.
10
11
        And do you recall that there were shareholders at the
  meeting that were questioning Mr. Harris about this
12
   letter?
13
        Again, I don't --
14
15
        And I --
        THE COURT: One person speaking at a time. You may
16
17
   complete your answer.
18
        MR. WAGNER: I'm sorry.
        I don't recall anything specific about a letter.
19
20
        THE COURT: Next question.
21
        MR. WAGNER: Yes, sir.
22
        Is it true that Mr. Harris said at the meeting that
23
   the company's money was gone because it was spent on the
   acquisition of patents?
24
25
        I do recall him saying that the money was gone.
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  don't remember it specifically being for acquisition of
   patents alone.
2
 3
        And when he said the money was gone, did that in any
   way lull you into a sense of security?
 4
 5
        Absolutely not.
 6
        Did that in any way cause you, if you had concerns
 7
   about fraud of Mr. Harris, to not go to the authorities
   about that fraud?
8
9
        No.
        Now, Mr. Harris said he owns -- is it true that
10
11
   Mr. Harris said at that meeting that he owns over 50% of
   the stock, so there is little that anyone can do to force
12
   him to comply with their requests?
13
14
        I don't -- I know it was made clear that he owned 51%
15
   of the stock. So I don't know that he stated that, you
   know, there was nothing anybody could do to make him do
16
17
   anything.
18
        Do you recall the interview that you had with
19
  Mr. Ward in July?
20
        I do.
   Α
21
        And do you remember saying exactly that to Mr. Ward
22
   during that interview?
23
        I do not.
24
        Do you want to look at this to refresh your
25
   recollection?
```

```
REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        Sure.
2
        And I'll refer you to the second page of this, and
 3
  it's the sixth paragraph from the bottom.
 4
        Yeah, I still don't recall saying that.
 5
        But you told that to Mr. Ward, to Investigator Ward,
6
  in July?
 7
        I just said I don't recall saying that.
8
        Okay. Very well. And based on that statement, if
9
   you had heard that statement, would that have caused you
  to be lulled into a feeling of security about your
10
11
   investment?
12
        No.
13
        And did Mr. Harris express that he was unwilling to
14 take steps to move the company forward?
15
        He did not.
        Do you remember Mr. Harris saying that the company
16
   was broke at the shareholders' meeting?
17
        I don't think he used the word "broke." But when we
18
  questioned him about the money, I do remember him saying
19
   that the money was gone.
21
        Do you remember him saying that he is not the right
22
   person to run the company?
23
        I do recall him saying that. Yes.
24
        MR. WAGNER: One moment, Your Honor. That's all I
25
   have, Judge.
```

```
172
            REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
        THE COURT:
                   Any redirect, Mr. Gill?
2
        MR. GILL: Very briefly.
 3
        THE COURT: All right, sir.
 4
                       REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 5
   BY MR. GILL:
 6
        Now, Mr. Evans, I believe I asked you about Deep Blue
 7
   and Jeff Seto. You have never been contacted by them or
   been in contact with them?
8
9
             That's correct.
        No.
        Same deal for an individual named Matt Johnson?
10
11
        Never been contacted by him either.
        Now, Mr. Wagner was asking you if you wrote anything
12
   down in connection with this investment when the defendant
13
14
  was doing his presentation. Do you remember that?
15
        Yes, I do.
16
        Even though you didn't write anything down, how
   certain are you about what you testified to before the
17
18
   jury?
19
        MR. WAGNER: Objection to leading the witness, Your
20
   Honor.
        THE COURT: No, it doesn't suggest the answer.
21
22
   certain" doesn't suggest the answer. Objection overruled.
23
        You may respond.
24
        Tell the jury how certain you are about your
25
   testimony about what was going to be done with your
```

173 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS investment dollars. 2 I'm completely certain that it was going to be used 3 to complete the research and to move forward with the 4 company. 5 Now, with respect to the shareholders' meeting, how 6 hot of an issue were the past financial records that the 7 shareholders wanted? 8 It was a very hot topic. Yes. I mean, several of 9 the people there -- I'm assuming he had had previous --10 MR. WAGNER: Objection to him assuming, Your Honor. 11 Based on the conversations --MR. WAGNER: Objection. 12 13 THE COURT: Hold on. Why don't you rephrase your question to clarify it. 14 15 MR. GILL: I apologize, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 Mr. Evans, with respect to the financials, tell the 18 jury based on what you heard at that shareholder meeting 19 if that was a big issue. 20 It was a big issue. Α 21 What was it you --22 These documents had been asked for previously, and 23 they still had not been received by anyone. This was the first shareholders' meeting that I had been at, and again 24 25 it was asked at that meeting is there any financial

```
REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID EVANS
 1
  records for the company.
                              There was a lawyer there.
 2
   even asked the lawyer is that not required that he has --
 3
   that you have to provide this at least annually to the
   shareholders of the company, and nothing had been received
 4
 5
   by anyone.
 6
        THE COURT: Next question.
 7
        Sir, if financials had been provided to show that
8
   your investment had been used on farm expenses, auto
 9
   expenses, and house expenses for the defendant's personal
   residence, would you have taken action on that
10
11
   information?
        I certainly would have had a conversation with
12
   Mr. Harris about it. I don't know that I would have gone
13
14
  to do anything legally about it.
15
        If you had seen that information, would that have
   been in line with what you had been led to believe by the
16
   defendant when he first took your money?
17
18
        Absolutely not.
19
        MR. GILL: No further questions, Your Honor.
20
        THE COURT: May Mr. Evans be excused at this point,
  Mr. Gill?
21
                   Yes, Your Honor.
22
        MR. GILL:
23
                    Mr. Wagner, may Mr. Evans be excused?
        THE COURT:
24
        MR. WAGNER: Yes, Your Honor.
25
        THE COURT: Mr. Evans, you're excused and free to go.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
   Thank you for coming in. We appreciate your testimony.
2
        MR. EVANS:
                   Yes, sir.
 3
                       WITNESS STOOD ASIDE
 4
        THE COURT: Who'll be the government's next witness?
 5
        MR. GILL: Diane Desch, Your Honor.
 6
        THE COURT: Diane Desch.
 7
        MR. GILL: Yes, Your Honor.
8
        THE COURT: Ms. Desch, if you would raise your right
9
   hand, place your left hand on the Bible, and face the
   Clerk of the Court.
10
11
        THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony
  which you are about to give, in this case, before this
12
   Court, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
13
14
  but the truth, so help you God?
15
        MS. DESCH: I do.
        THE COURT: Ms. Desch, have a seat on the witness
16
17
   stand, please.
        Mr. Gill, go right ahead.
18
19
        MR. GILL: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
           Whereupon, Diane Desch, having been
   duly sworn in, testifies as follows:
21
22
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
  BY MR. GILL:
24
        Good afternoon. Would you please introduce yourself
   to the jury.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        My name is Diane Desch.
                                  I'm from the west
   Richmond, Virginia in Henrico County.
 3
        And how long have you lived in the Richmond, Virginia
 4
   area?
 5
        Since '86. My family moved away in 1990, and we
 6
   moved back in 1991.
 7
        Tell us what you do for a living, ma'am.
8
        I work part-time with Door To Door Solutions helping
9
   people downsize or move into assisted living or
   independent living depending on their needs.
10
11
        How long have you been doing that?
        Six or seven months now.
12
13
        Earlier did you work in the home raising children?
14
   Α
        Yes, I did.
15
        How many children do you have?
   Q
        I have two boys. One is 25 and one is 21, going to
16
  be 22.
17
18
        Ma'am, are you currently married?
19
        No, I'm not.
20
        And how long have you been divorced?
21
        Six years.
   Α
22
        Who do you currently live with?
23
        Right now I live with my ex-husband in the west end.
24
        Now, ma'am, we'll be talking today about events that
25
   occurred in 2010 through 2011. And starting off, would
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
  you tell the jury whether you had a medical issue that
 2
   occurred during that time?
 3
        I did. I had terrible headaches, and so I went to
   several doctors here. I ended up at John Hopkins.
 4
 5
   the top neurovascular surgeon at John Hopkins diagnosed me
 6
   with an aneurysm inside a blood clot that had trickled
 7
   behind my right eye, and so they did a procedure called
   the pipeline embolization. I had two stints put in my
8
  brain behind my right eye.
 9
        When was the surgery for that?
10
11
        That was November 8, 2011.
        And leading up to that time you said you were having
12
   headaches?
13
14
        I was.
15
        Tell the jury with what was occurring with you then
  have any effect on your ability to recall what happened
   back in 2010 to 2011?
17
18
        No, it does not.
19
        Now one other thing, Ms. Desch, before we talk about
   the defendant in this case. Tell the jury around the same
   time that the events occurred in relation to this, were
21
   you also the victim of what is known as a Nigerian fraud
22
23
   scam?
24
        I was.
25
        Just briefly tell the jury what happened in that.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        I received a phone call or two, and they said that I
  had won some money. I followed up. I got mailings
 3
   through the mail, and I responded to those mailings and I
   thought I had won some money.
 4
 5
        Did you have to provide your own money to get the
6
  money?
 7
        I did.
   Α
8
        Tell the jury how much money you lost.
9
        I lost a little over $600,000.
10
        And is the FBI investigating that?
   Q
11
        They are.
   Α
        And before we leave it, ma'am, tell the jury if you
12
13
   actually got that money, what, in part, were you planning
14
  on doing with that money if you had received it?
15
        I was going to help -- that was going to help with
  Michael Harris' research.
17
        You mention Michael Harris. Do you see him here
18
   today?
19
        Yes, I do.
2.0
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, may the record reflect the
  witness identified the defendant?
21
22
        THE COURT: It will so reflect.
23
        Tell the jury when it is that you first met Michael
24
   Harris.
25
        2009 at the horse show. The Warrenton horse show.
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH 1 What part of 2009 is the Warrenton horse show? 2 It's over the Labor Day weekend. It starts on a 3 Wednesday before Labor Day, and goes through Labor Day or usually Monday. 4 5 Does your family have a special connection to that particular show? 6 7 Yes, we do. My great grandfather owned most of the land in Warrenton that they built the horse show around, 8 9 and my grandmother and my aunt both rode in that horse show. That's why I would always go during Labor Day 10 11 weekend to see them, and to honor them by giving the trophies to the riders. 12 13 Now in 2009, did your family have a -- set up a 14 tailgate? 15 We did. We always set up a tailgate, as a lot of families do. You give money to the -- to help with the 16 funds around the horse show and keeping the grounds safe, 17 as well as the shows themselves. It helps provide for the 18 19 trophies and to pay for the horse show itself. 2.0 And describe for the jury where it was at that event in 2009 that you actually met the defendant. 21 22 It was 2009 at the horse show in the evening. 23 arrived on the Saturday evening for the horse show. He walked up with several people and sat down next to me. 24 25 Describe for us who do you recall being with the

Case 3:12-cr-00170-HEH Document 106 Filed 07/10/13 Page 180 of 268 PageID# 1102 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH defendant. 2 He had several men -- a couple men and several women 3 that were with him. Some sat behind him and others were standing. 4 5 Did you -- could you tell what was going on, what you 6 observed, who was kind of the leader of the pack, if 7 anyone? 8 Michael Harris was the leader of the pack. And he 9 was very outgoing, and he introduced himself to me as he sat down. And he sat and talked to me for two hours. We 10 11 talked about the riders in the ring, we talked about what they were wearing, and what I liked. And he also told me 12 13 that he didn't ride for the workhorse show. That he was a 14 steeplechase rider. 15 Describe for the jury how he was dressed. 16 Very impeccably. He knows how to dress. He had a 17 nice blazer that had a leather collar. A dark blazer. He 18 had corduroy pinstripe pants, and nice leather shoes. Now, aside from him discussing the steeplechase, do 19 you remember anything else he talked to you about at that time? 21

22 He handed me his card, and he said he was a 23 scientist.

What kind of scientist?

24

25 He didn't really tell me what type of scientist he

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
  was at that time.
                      I didn't really know.
                                              Mostly we talked
   about the horse show.
 3
        Now after that evening when you met the defendant,
   did you get in contact with him after?
 4
 5
        Not that day. Not during that -- right after the
6
  horse show, but I would go up and visit my mom and one day
 7
   I found the card in the car and then I called him.
8
        Do you recall generally, you know, what you talked
   about with the defendant when you called him?
9
10
        When I called I said, "I notice that you have
11
   European phone numbers on your card."
        And he said, "Well, I'm really a scientist. I'm in
12
   the process of inventing the cure for AIDS." And I
13
14
  noticed that it was -- he had M.F. Harris Research, Inc.,
15
   and so, you know, that looked very authentic.
        When he mentioned AIDS, describe for us, did that
16
  have a special impact on you or affect?
17
        Yes, it did.
18
19
        Why?
20 A
        I lost my real father to AIDS in New York. I didn't
21 know him. I found him when I went up to New York when I
22 was 23, and he died two weeks later. So I had no idea who
23 he was, but it does have an impact on my life. I didn't
24
   grow up with him.
25
       Did you discuss your prior experience with the
```

182 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH defendant? 2 Yes, I did. 3 Now, when he talked about that he was a scientist and he traveled, did he give you any other details about where 5 he would travel to? 6 He said he went to Europe. I thought he said 7 Romania. He said he had a daughter there, and he has a son that's here. 8 9 How did your relationship develop from there with Michael Harris? How would you keep in contact? 10 11 I called. It wasn't until, you know, in 2011, it was in the spring of 2011 that he called me. 12 13 Okay. And around that time, ma'am, did you ever get 14 a chance to go to Luray, Virginia? 15 Yes, I did. He invited me to come. The first time 16 The invited me he said it was a party. It was in the 17 evening. And I -- you know, he called me in the 18 afternoon, but it was getting dark and I didn't know --I've never driven up in the mountains at night and I'm not 19 very good with directions, so I went up to the -- I was going to go up to his home. I left Richmond, and I turned 21 22 around. I don't know what possessed me, but I turned 23 around and came home and thought, you know, I can't drive 24 at night. So I called and said, "I'm sorry. I was going

to come, but I'm not going to. Maybe some other time."

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        Eventually did you go out to Luray, Virginia?
2
        Yes.
   Α
 3
        Tell us roughly when that was.
 4
        Not long after. It was in the daytime. It was on a
 5
   weekend. And I went up during the day, and I actually
 6
   spent the night but I wasn't planning to. Well, I guess I
 7
   was planning to spend the night. So I went up to his
   place. Yeah.
8
9
        Now, tell us about your impression of the defendant's
  house when you were driving to Luray to find it.
10
11
        He said look for a colonial style home with five
  pillars. And as you're driving up to the home, there's a
12
   big, you know, trailer to hold four horses, a white
13
14
  Itrailer. You will see that before you get to the house.
15
   I didn't see it. I was on a country road when I got up to
  his house. You wind around. And I almost passed the
   house. And I looked up and I found the flags and I had to
17
   double back, and I eventually found his house but it was
18
   not what I thought it was.
19
2.0
        How did it compare to what you thought it was?
        What I thought was it was an old colonial home, you
21
22
          That you had several horses and so you have money
23
  \blacksquareand so therefore the, you know, the trailer was -- I was
   looking for the trailer and I couldn't find the trailer.
24
25
  And the trailer ended up being on the property, but it was
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
  hidden.
            No, it wasn't anything like I thought it would
 2
   be.
 3
        What about the inside of the house?
 4
        That was different.
 5
        Okay. Describe to the jury how the inside of the
 6
   house is different.
 7
        When I walked inside the home, it was displayed with
8
   -- there's a wardrobe that's displayed with the habits
9
   that riders wear with the boots all in a row and then the
   wardrobe is open. And he had a closet to the left.
10
11
   was like a room and it has a desk, and then to the left it
  had a closet that was open and had all these guns from the
12
   top all the way down and on the door. That surprised me.
13
14
  And it looked more like a hunter because he had, you know,
15
   he had deer and elk all around it that were stuffed and
16
  mounted on the walls.
17
        Do you remember if he was working on any of the rooms
   in the house at the time?
18
19
       He was working on the whole house. But he -- he
  moved in, and he was living toward the back of the room.
   It just -- it looked really nice. In the back of the room
21
22
   was a little office. As you go up the stairs -- I mean,
23
   the house is bigger than it really looked on the outside.
   And when you went up the stairs, he had a boar's hide over
```

the mantle. Outside the house he had -- he had an

25

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
  American flag and then he had the Olympic flag with the
2
   rings on the outside which was -- I didn't know. I mean,
 3
   I inquired eventually about the Olympic flaq.
 4
        Now, eventually did he do a presentation for you?
 5
        Right away.
 6
        Tell us about that and what you recall.
 7
        He took me back to his office and opened up his
   laptop and he started right away about Michael F. Harris
8
9
              And it was all about the AIDS research. I was
   Research.
10
   -- I was impressed. It took two and a half hours, and he
11
   wouldn't let me ask a question. That was the only thing
   that bothered me because I wanted to ask questions.
12
13
        And I said, "When you're explaining it to me and I
14
  don't know everything, please let me talk."
15
        And he said, "I'm talking." And then so I just got
16
   very quiet, and he ended up talking about his research.
17
        What did you understand the company was working on
  based on that?
18
19
        On the cure for AIDS.
20
        Did he give you any idea during that presentation or
21
   after how close he was to making progress with his
22
   company?
23
        He said he was very close. He finished Stage I.
24
   was in Stage II, and all he had to do was go to Stage III.
25
        What I saw on his computer made me -- I was excited
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
  because, you know, I lost a father to AIDS.
                                                  I had -- I
2
   lost a large part of my life because of that.
 3
        Ma'am, if you could, take a look at Exhibit 161.
   It's at the bottom of that stack you have there.
 4
 5
        THE COURT: One hundred sixty-one?
 6
        MR. GILL: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
        If you would, just thumb through the pages.
8
        Do you mind if I get my glasses?
9
        Absolutely. Go ahead.
10
        Okay.
11
        And thumbing through the pages with the pictures,
   does this at all look familiar with what he presented?
12
        This is what he had on his computer. Yeah.
13
14
   are all the -- he wanted to show me the pictures first,
15
   and that's what he was showing me was how a virus would
16
   look and then what it would look like after.
17
        THE COURT: Mr. Wagner, any objection to 161, sir?
                     There is not, Judge.
18
        MR. WAGNER:
19
        THE COURT: It will be received. You may publish it
20
   if you wish.
21
        MR. GILL:
                   Thank you, Your Honor.
              (Government's Exhibit 161 is received.)
22
23
        MR. GILL: And if we could see Page 4 of Exhibit 161.
24
        We don't need to get technical, but does this -- in
   fact, it's on the screen in front of you.
25
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        Okay.
        Does that appear to be a page that he showed you in
2
3
  doing his presentation?
 4
        Yes, he did.
   Α
 5
        And the next page, Page 5?
6
   Α
        Absolutely.
 7
        Page 6?
        He said that on the left was what happens with AIDS,
8
9
  on and the right is after his research.
10
        Page 7? So it's fair to say, I mean, he got very
   technical during his presentation?
12
        Yes, he did. And he had a picture of a little boy
13
   that he kept referring to. And he said, "That's the
14 reason that this is so dear to my heart."
15
        Tell the jury about what you recall about the little
16 boy.
17
        It was a picture of a little boy, and he said that
18 the boy had died of AIDS.
19
       And let's look at Page 18.
20 A
       These are the containers he said that are his.
21 has stored all of his research in these containers.
22
        Did he mention anything to you during that
23 presentation that he had told shareholders several months
24
   earlier that the company was bankrupt?
25
        No.
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH According to, you know, this presentation that he's 1 showing you these pictures, what was he taking investment 3 money for? What was he pushing for? Well, the first time I gave him money was to -- for 4 his patent. His European patent. He said, "If I don't 5 6 get the money for the European patent, then it's dead in 7 the water. I can't do anything more here in the United States." 8 9 And he gave me a phone call in the afternoon and I said, "Well, when do you need this money?" 10 And he said, "Well, it's 10:30 in the morning." He 11 said, "I need it by noon." 12 And I said, "That's not giving me much time." 13 And he said, "Just trust me. If you do this, you 14 15 will be the reason I can continue my research." And we'll get to that in just a moment. But 16 generally what did you understand he was using investment 17 money for based on his presentation and what he's telling 18 you? 19 2.0 For the research for the cure of AIDS. That he was so close to it. 21 22 At any time during this PowerPoint, or any time he's talked to you about the company, did he talk to you about 23 using your money or other investor's money just for his 24 25 personal expenses?

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        No.
                     I would never have done it.
                                                   You know,
   the AIDS was my reason for it. I hope one day we do find
 3
   a cure for AIDS.
        Now, ma'am, I believe you mentioned earlier, did you
 4
   stay at the defendant's home that night?
 5
 6
        I did.
 7
        Now tell the jury, were you romantically involved
   with the defendant at that time?
8
9
        No, I was not.
        Later on was there a time down the road?
10
11
        One time when he came to the house to give me a
  certificate.
12
        But here we are back in early 2011 when you first
13
14
  went to Luray, Virginia.
15
        Yes.
        You saw his presentation and you stayed the night,
16
17
   correct?
18
        I did.
19
        All right. Tell the jury what happened the next
  morning. Did you go home?
        I thought I was going home. We went to the country
21
22
   club there and had breakfast. And when we got there, you
23
  know, he didn't have the money. The card didn't work.
  His credit card didn't work and so I ended up paying for
25
  breakfast.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        And while we were there, he saw a table next to ours
   and there was a group of people there and he -- he didn't
 3
   say anything to me. He just walked over there and was
   standing there for the longest time and he was talking to
 4
   them, and evidently knew them. So I got up and walked
 5
 6
   over there to, you know, stand by them. And they had
 7
   brought our food. And we were there for about a half an
  hour standing, and he was talking about his research for
8
9
   the cure of AIDS. He invited them to come back to the
  house. It turned out that they were his teachers from
10
11
   school growing up, and he was surprised they were all
   together. And so they agreed to come back to the house.
12
13
        All right. And tell us -- take a look at Exhibit 88.
14
  \blacksquareAnd it will be in that stack if you want to put that away.
15
   It should be the top folder of that group. Do you
16
   recognize that?
17
        I do. I took the picture. It was in his house that
   day that they came over that he invited them.
18
19
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we move for admission of
2.0
   Exhibit 88.
21
        THE COURT:
                   Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
22
23
                   Eighty-eight will be received. You may
        THE COURT:
   publish it if you wish.
24
25
             (Government's Exhibit 88 is received.)
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH Tell us what we're looking at and where this is. 1 2 This is inside his home. And the lady on the far 3 right he wanted to impress the most. I'm not sure if she said she was his English teacher -- I mean on his left. 4 5 And the lady in the jacket with the color, she was another 6 teacher of his. They're all teachers from his school 7 growing up. 8 Was there another presentation that day? 9 There was. It went about three hours. We were back in his office. He showed his steeplechase racing. He was 10 11 very proud of riding, and so he said he had won some of those races that he was showing. And then after he showed 12 those, he opened up his laptop and he started talking 13 14 about the AIDS research. 15 Now let's unpack that a little bit. You mentioned 16 steeplechase. 17 Yes. Tell the jury what you recall, if anything, him 18 19 saying about steeplechase winnings. 2.0 He said that he was one of the top riders for steeplechase. That nobody could beat him. And he won a 21 22 lot of money in steeplechase riding. He had four horses. 23 I met the horses. They're beautiful. 24 Okay. Now then, let's put that aside and let's go back to these individuals there in the house. You

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
  mentioned that he did the same presentation that he did
2
   for you.
 3
        Same presentation. Exactly the same. And it went
 4
   two to three hours, and that's because talking about the
   steeplechase, and then two hours for the AIDS thing. And
 5
6
   during that time, the lady on his left said, "Really?
 7
   Michael, you have really done a good job." She said, "You
   could never sit still in the classroom at all." And she
8
   looked at me and she said, "He was so dis --
9
10
        MR. WAGNER: Objection. Hearsay.
        THE COURT: Objection sustained.
11
       Ma'am, at the conclusion of that investment
12
13
   presentation to these individuals in the photo, did you
14
  observe him ask them if they wanted to put money in M.F.
15
  Harris Research?
       He did at the end. He said, "You know, I can always
16
   use someone to invest, and you're more than welcome to
17
  help me. I'm still working on getting enough money for
18
   the research."
19
2.0
       Now, how soon after that presentation did you stay or
21 did you leave?
22
        I was there all afternoon, and then I left. I wanted
23 Ito leave before dark because I really don't know how to
   drive in the mountains.
24
25
       Now, you mentioned earlier, eventually did the
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
  defendant contact you about giving him money?
 1
2
        Yes.
   Α
 3
        Take a look at Exhibit 89, which will be the next
        Tell us if you recognize that.
 4
 5
        This is from June 13th. I gave him $2,500. That was
 6
   the amount of money he said he needed to secure his
 7
   European patent.
8
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we move for admission of
9
   Exhibit 89.
10
        THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
11
        MR. WAGNER: No.
        THE COURT: Exhibit 89 is received.
12
13
        That was June the 13th? Is that the date?
14
        MR. GILL: Yes, Your Honor. And if we could publish
15
   this?
16
        THE COURT: Yes. Go right ahead.
17
             (Government's Exhibit 89 is received.)
        MR. GILL: And if we could zoom in.
18
19
        THE COURT: Yes. Go right ahead.
20
        And we have zoomed in there on the screen in front of
   you. In fact, do you see the withdrawal that you made?
21
22
   It says the date it was written was June 13. Was that to
23
   give the defendant the money?
24
        Yes.
25
        Now, according to what he told you, what was the
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1 Itimeliness of this and what was the money going to be used
2
   for?
 3
        It was around 10:30 when he called. He said, "I have
   to have the money by noon." It had to be in by noon.
   so I went to the bank and I called him after and told him
 5
6
   that the money is in the bank.
 7
        He said, "Thank you so much." He said, "This has
8
   secured my European patent for the research and
9
  development for the AIDS virus."
10
        Now, eventually did you invest more?
11
  Α
       I did.
12
        Take a look at Exhibit 90, and tell us what that is.
13
        That is his explanation of M.F. Harris Research on
14 the virus and what he was -- because, I said, "I don't
15
   have any paperwork on this, and I need something." And so
  he sent this to me.
16
17
        THE COURT: Any objection to 90, Mr. Wagner?
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
18
        THE COURT: Pardon me?
19
20
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
21
        THE COURT: Okay. It will be received without
22
   objection.
23
             (Government's Exhibit 90 is received.)
24
        MR. GILL: Let's zoom in first on the upper portion,
25
   please. There we go. Up at the top.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        Tell us who this e-mail is from and who it was to, as
   well as the date.
 3
        It's from Michael Harris, MF Harris, to
  xxxxxxxxx@yahoo.com.
 4
 5
        That's your e-mail address?
6
        At the time, yes, that was my e-mail address.
 7
        Now in connection with your investment, did the
   defendant talk about the value of the shares you were
8
  buying versus what he anticipated the value to be?
9
10
       He said that if I invest in shares in the company, it
11
  would help toward securing the patent here in the United
  States and finishing his research. So he said, "The
12
   shares per block is $5,000." He said, "You've given half
13
  of a block of shares, $2,500, but if you give another
14
15
   $2,500 you secure a full block."
        And so I actually gave him more money to secure two
16
17
   full blocks of shares. And he said at the time they were
  a dollar a share, but he said they would be about $22 or
18
   $23 a share. That's what they currently were worth. And
19
   then when it goes public, it could go up.
21
        And the only reason I said, "You know, this is a lot
22
   of money for me. I want to buy my home."
23
        He said, "You'll more than be able to buy your home."
24
        MR. GILL: Now then, if we could scroll down to the
```

bottom of this, please. And at the bottom it says,

25

```
196
             DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
   "Funding details."
                       Please zoom in on that.
2
        And tell us, ma'am, if that is generally what you
 3
   understand based on what the defendant told you and what
  he e-mailed you was going on at that time.
 4
 5
        Yes.
6
        What was the money being used for?
 7
        For the finalization for Phase III for the cure of
  AIDS.
8
9
        MR. GILL: And if we look at Page 2 of this e-mail.
        And it's signed at the bottom, "Michael F. Harris,
10
   CEO"?
11
12
        Yes.
13
        When you invested your money, where did you
14
  understand your money was going, to Michael Harris or to
15
   Michael Harris' company?
16
        For his company.
17
        Did he also send you a subscription agreement and a
18
  non-disclosure agreement with this e-mail?
19
   Α
        Yes, he did.
20
        And are those attached?
21
        Yes, they are.
22
        Aside from that, was anything else sent with this
23
   e-mail?
24
        Well, the non-disclosure. But, no. No. I signed
25
   these. All of them.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
                                           And it's there in
        Let's take a look at Exhibit 91.
   the folders there in front of you, ma'am. Tell the jury
 3
   if that is indeed the subscription agreement and the
  non-disclosure agreement that you signed?
 4
 5
        Yes, it is.
 6
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we'd move for admission of
 7
   Exhibit 91.
8
        THE COURT: Any objection to 91, Mr. Wagner?
9
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
10
        THE COURT: Be received.
11
              (Government's Exhibit 91 is received.)
        MR. GILL: See Page 1. And just zoom in on the upper
12
13
   portion.
        The jury's seen this before. Is it fair to say that
14
15
   you signed this, and Michael Harris' signature is on there
16
   as well?
17
        Yes.
        The 17th of June, 2011. Now, did you sign this in
18
19
   person or by mail, if you recall?
20
        We signed it in person.
   Α
        THE COURT: You said you signed it in person?
21
22
        MS. DESCH:
                    Yes.
23
        Now, it references 5,000 shares. Did you up your
24
   investment?
25
        I did.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        Now then, let's look at Page 2.
                                           Is that the
2
   non-disclosure agreement that you signed?
 3
        Yes, it is.
 4
        Look at Exhibit 92.
 5
        THE COURT: Before you move on to 92, I think I'm
 6
   going to give the jury a 10 minute break at this point.
 7
   Are we nearing the closure of your direct examination?
8
        MR. GILL: Probably about five or -- well, probably
   five or 10 minutes.
9
10
        THE COURT: I'm going to give the jury a 10 minute
11
   break.
        Ladies and gentlemen, let's take a 10 minute
12
   afternoon recess. We'll resume about 10 minutes till
13
   4:00.
14
15
        (The jury is no longer present in the courtroom.)
        THE COURT: Ms. Desch, you may step down for a few
16
17
   moments.
        We'll be in recess for approximately 10 minutes.
18
19
                          (Recess taken.)
20
        THE COURT: Ready for the jury?
                   We are, Your Honor.
21
        MR. GILL:
22
        THE COURT: All right.
23
        Marshal, bring the jury in.
24
             (The jury is present in the courtroom.)
25
        THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm sorry for the
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
  delay in getting started, but I had a conference call in
2
   another case that I had to take care of before I could
 3
   come back. So thank you for being patient with me.
 4
        All right. Next question.
 5
        Ms. Desch, when we left off we were talking about
 6
   your $7,500 investment. Take a look at Exhibits 92 and 94
 7
   in front of you.
        THE COURT: Exhibits 92 and 94?
8
9
        MR. GILL: Yes, Your Honor. And for the record, I
   would move for the admission of Exhibits 92 through 94.
10
11
        THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
        MR. WAGNER: One moment, Judge. No objection.
12
                    They will be received. And you may
13
        THE COURT:
14
  publish them if you wish, Mr. Gill.
15
             (Government's Exhibits 92 - 94 are received.)
        We'll look at Exhibit 92, Page 1. It's going to
16
   flash on the screen, Ms. Desch. And if you would tell
17
   them what it is that we're looking at.
18
19
        This is my wire transfer of \$7,500 to Michael F.
  Harris Research, Incorporated.
        Ma'am, this is at the heart of one of the counts of
21
22
   the indictment, so I want to talk to you about some of the
23
  information on this. What is the date you sent the
   transfer?
24
25
        June 28, 2011.
```

```
200
             DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
1
        What account did it come from?
2
        It came from my Wachovia, which is now Wells Fargo.
 3
        Ma'am, actually, at the top. "Source Information" up
 4
   at the top.
 5
        Oh, I'm sorry. Union First Market Bank.
6
   apologize.
 7
        You also have a Wachovia account?
8
        I do.
9
        But this money came from your Union First Market
10
  Account?
11
        Yes.
   Α
        Tell the jury where you were when you gave the order
12
13
   to send this wire transfer.
14
   Α
        I was home.
15
        Did you go to the bank to do this?
16
        I did.
17
        What bank did you go to?
18
        Wachovia.
19
        And, ma'am, did you go to your bank to do the wire
   transfer for this $7,500?
        I did.
21
   Α
22
        Is that a bank that's located near your home in the
23
  west end?
24
        Yes, it is.
25
        And is that bank located in Henrico County?
```

Case 3:12-cr-00170-HEH Document 106 Filed 07/10/13 Page 201 of 268 PageID# 1123 201 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH 1 Absolutely. 2 Okay. Now if we scroll down. So it's coming from 3 Union First Market Bank, Diane Desch. What account is it going to? 4 5 M.F. Harris Research, Incorporated. 6 Q At what bank? 7 Wachovia. 8 And was that your money going to the business? 9 Yes, it was. 10 Did you sign this? Q 11 Α I did. 12 Now let's look at Exhibit 94. And tell us what it is we're looking at here, ma'am. 13 14 You're looking at the deposit wire to Michael F. 15 Harris, Incorporated for \$7,500. And, ma'am, I'm looking at Exhibit 94. 16 Q 17 I'm looking at 94. Okay. You're on Page 2? 18 Yeah, I'm on Page 2. 20 Q So Exhibit 94 is your Union First Market?

- 19
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 And then we look at Page 2. And there at the
- 23 | bottom it's on the screen. What are we looking at?
- 24 Wire out to M.F. Harris Research, Inc.
- 25 Is that the money going out of your account to M.F.

```
202
             DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
  Harris Research?
2
        Correct.
 3
        Okay. Now, soon after this did you get your stock
 4
   certificate for your investment?
 5
        I did. He came to my house to deliver it.
6
   Q
        Take a look at Exhibit 95.
 7
        Okay.
8
        Do you recognize that?
9
        Yes. It was an e-mail that I sent to Michael Harris.
10
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we'd move for admission of
11
   Exhibit 95.
        THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
12
13
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
14
        THE COURT: Be received.
15
             (Government's Exhibit 95 is received.)
        Let's take a look. And let's zoom in on the bottom,
16
17
   please. And that is from you to Michael Harris. What are
  you telling him here?
18
       "do you have a ball park/ time frame on when you will
19
  be arriving? See you then. Diane."
21
        Did you provide him with any of your address
22 | information?
        Yes, I did. I game him my address so that he could
23
24
   come to my home.
25
        Now, prior to this had he ever been to your house?
```

```
203
             DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        No.
2
        Now, let's look at Exhibit 96. Tell us what that is.
 3
        This is a certificate of 10,000 shares in Michael F.
 4
   Harris Research, Incorporated.
 5
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we move for admission of
   Exhibit 96.
6
 7
        THE COURT: Any objection to 96?
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
8
9
        THE COURT: Be received.
10
             (Government's Exhibit 96 is received.)
11
        Now we see it on the screen. Is that for the full
  10,000 shares, including the 2,500 plus the 7,500?
13
        Yes, it is.
        Tell the jury, that $7,500 that you wire transferred
14
15
   to Michael Harris, what did you understand that money was
16 going towards?
17
        The research and finalization of -- the research for
18 AIDS.
19
       At any time in connection with that did the defendant
20 Italk to you about using that money for his own personal
21 expenses?
22
        No.
23
        Would you have given that money if he told you he was
   going to use that for himself?
25
        No.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        Now, when he came to your house to deliver those
   shares around July 7, 2011, was there anyone there at your
 3
  house to see him?
        My son and his girlfriend.
 4
 5
        Describe for the jury, did the defendant do a
   presentation there?
 6
 7
        He did. He did a presentation for my son and Sarah.
  And I was surprised that he was going to do that for a
8
9
   teenager.
10
        And did he ask them to invest or anything that you
11
  witnessed?
        Yes, he did. Jokingly he did.
12
13
        Okay. Now, did he stay the night at your house that
14
  night?
15
        Not that night. No.
        Was there another visit to Richmond?
16
17
        There was. He said he had to have shoulder surgery
   -- not shoulder surgery, but having a problem with his
18
19
   shoulder because he had an accident, a riding accident,
  and he hurt his shoulder and he needed to go to MCV
  Hospital.
21
22
        In connection with that visit did he see any of your
23
  friends?
24
        Yes. He saw a friend named Colette Moussali.
   Dr. Colette Moussali. I invited her over to meet him.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        Why did you invite Dr. Colette Moussali to come over?
2
        Because he said he was looking for patients that have
 3
   AIDS, and he was getting ready to go into the next phase
   where he needed at least 10 patients to actually put into
 5
   action his research.
 6
        So this is pretty imminent?
 7
        It is.
8
        Did he do a presentation for Dr. Moussali?
9
        He did a two to three hour presentation. The same
10
   presentation he did before.
11
        At the end of that presentation, did he ask
   Dr. Moussali to invest?
12
13
        Yes, he did. And she said she didn't have enough
14
  money at that time.
15
        Did you observe her make any offers to get any
16
   patients for the defendant?
17
        She did. She offered. She said, "If you're really
18
   serious about your research, I have at least two patients
   you can start with." And he gave her his number, and she
19
   tried calling and he never called back.
21
        MR. WAGNER: Objection to hearsay, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Objection sustained.
22
23
        Ma'am, after that presentation did he stay the night
24
   at your house?
25
        Yes, he did.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        And tell the jury were you romantically involved with
2
   the defendant?
 3
        Yes, I was.
 4
        Were there any other times after that?
 5
        No.
 6
        Now, soon after you had invested your $7,500 at the
 7
   end of June and early July, did you provide the defendant
   with any other money?
8
9
        I did.
        Take a look at Exhibit 97.
10
11
        Three thousand dollars.
        Tell us how this came to pass that you gave the
12
13
   defendant more money.
        He said he needed $3,000 for shoeing -- for putting
14
15
   new hooves on the horses, four horses, so he could
16
   continue his steeplechase races and use the proceeds for
   -- he needed more money, and so he would -- those winnings
17
   would go toward getting those patients in, you know.
18
        According to the defendant, the full $3,000, what was
19
   it going to be used for?
        It was for the horses. To shoe the horses so they
21
22
  had hooves on their feet.
23
        Was this a gift or was this a loan?
24
        This was a loan.
25
        Did he talk to you about paying you back?
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        No.
2
        Was there any discussion with him about how imminent
 3
   it was that the steeplechase race was coming?
 4
        Oh, he said he was getting them ready. And he even
 5
   said that he would probably be riding in the Warrenton
6
   horse show.
 7
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, we move for admission of
   Exhibit 97.
8
9
        THE COURT: What is that? Is that a check, a
10
   document, or what?
11
        MR. GILL: It is the transfer record that she
  maintained of that $3,000 payment.
12
13
        THE COURT: All right.
14
        Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
15
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Be received.
16
17
             (Government's Exhibit 97 is received.)
        MR. GILL: Let's zoom in on that.
18
19
        And tell the jury what it is we're looking at.
  Describe for us what that is, ma'am.
21
        That's my Wachovia statement of deposit for $3,000.
   Α
22
        And whose account did that $3,000 go to?
23
        It went to Michael -- Michael F. Harris Research.
24
        Now, aside from that -- and that's the $3,000 that
   was going to shoe the horses?
```

```
208
             DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        Yes.
2
        Now then, aside from that did you provide the
 3
   defendant with any other money or pay for any other bills
 4
   for him?
 5
        One other thing. He had a phone bill that he called
6
   me about and said that he really needed some help because
 7
   he would lose his phone. It was a -- it was his calls
   that he was making back and forth to Europe on.
8
9
        THE COURT:
                   To where?
10
        MS. DESCH:
                   To Europe.
11
        THE COURT:
                    To Europe. Okay.
        MS. DESCH: European calls.
12
13
        In looking at Exhibit 99, these are your handwritten
14
  notes, ma'am, but are those notes you took in relation to
15
   paying that bill?
        Yes. Because he wanted my account number and I said,
16
17
   "No, I will call and do what I can. But I'll call your
   phone company direct."
18
19
   Q
        Ballpark when was it that you made those payments?
20
        November.
  Α
21
        Of what year?
   Q
22
        2011.
23
        THE COURT: Any objection to 99, Mr. Wagner?
        MR. WAGNER: No objection.
24
25
        THE COURT: Be received.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
              (Government's Exhibit 99 is received.)
2
        I actually paid on September 14th the October --
 3
        MR. WAGNER: Objection. There's no question pending.
 4
        THE COURT:
                    Pardon me?
 5
        MR. WAGNER: I don't believe there's a question
 6
   pending.
 7
        THE COURT: Wait until the next question is asked.
8
        Go right ahead.
9
        Describe for us what we're looking at there, ma'am.
10
        It's my writing when I called the phone company. And
11
  he needed $123 for September, $123 plus -- I mean, for
   October he needed $177. And in November he needed $123.
12
   So the current charges that he needed were $423.
13
14
  that's what I paid on his -- for securing his phone.
15
        Did you pay it? Was that paid directly to the
16
   company?
17
        It was directly to the company.
18
        Now, ma'am, since that time had you seen the
   defendant?
19
20
   Α
        No.
        Did you have any other contact with him?
21
22
        By phone. I would call every now and then and ask
23
  him how was his research going. I hadn't heard from him
   in a while.
24
25
        What would he tell you when he called?
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
                                          I need more money."
 1
        He said, "It was taking longer.
2
        And so I said, "Well, I don't have any more money to
 3
   give you."
 4
        And to this day have you received any return on that
 5
   investment?
 6
        Nothing.
 7
        Have you received any financial records from the
   defendant's company showing how your money was spent?
8
9
        No.
10
        MR. GILL: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
11
        THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr. Wagner?
12
        MR. WAGNER: Yes, Your Honor.
13
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
  BY MR. WAGNER:
15
        Good afternoon.
        Good afternoon.
16
        When you first met Michael, you indicated you met him
17
   at a horse race in Warrenton, is that correct?
18
19
        Not a horse race. A horse show.
2.0
        A horse show. Excuse me. A horse show. I'm not
21
   familiar with the horse business, so you'll have to excuse
22
   me.
23
        That's okay.
24
        And after that, the next contact you had with him you
   called him, correct?
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
                                               "Give me a
        I did.
                 He gave me his card and said,
2
   call."
 3
        And when all was said and done -- well, you had a
   romantic relationship with him, correct?
 4
 5
        Yes. One time.
6
        And you spent a considerable amount of time with him
 7
   over those two years?
8
        Not really. No.
9
        You wouldn't say that?
10
        No, I'm not.
11
        When all was said and done, is it fair to say you
  were embarrassed by your relationship with him?
12
13
        I was not embarrassed. I didn't know that I should
  have been.
14
15
        Did you say to the investigator that you were
   embarrassed talking about your romantic relationship?
16
17
        Yes.
        And during the time that you were spending time with
18
19
  Mr. Harris, was that before or after the surgery?
20
        Before the surgery.
  Α
        And were you suffering from headaches at that time?
21
22
        I was off and on.
        And were you taking any medication for the headaches?
23
24
        I was using Advil.
25
        That's all?
```

```
212
              CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
                     I don't like medicine.
 1
2
        And around this time you indicated that you suffered
 3
   some losses from a Nigerian scheme that you were involved
   with, is that right?
 4
 5
        I did.
        And that was several hundreds of thousands of
 6
 7
   dollars, wasn't that right?
8
        Yes, it was. At the time I didn't realize how many
9
   several thousands of dollars.
10
        And you had to declare bankruptcy, is that right?
11
        I did not declare bankruptcy.
        I'm sorry. I apologize. I thought you did.
12
13
        And the surgery that you had, has that caused you
14
  some memory loss?
15
        No.
        Did you say to the investigator in this case that it
16
17
   caused you some memory loss?
18
        No.
19
        Did you say to the investigator that it caused you
2.0
   some confusion?
        Sometimes.
21
   Α
22
        MR. WAGNER: Excuse me just a second.
23
        THE COURT: Yes, sir.
        Now, when you first met Mr. Harris you had some
24
   things in common with him, is that correct? For instance,
```

Case 3:12-cr-00170-HEH Document 106 Filed 07/10/13 Page 213 of 268 PageID# 1135 213 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH you had an interest in horses? 2 The reason I had an interest in horses was that my 3 grandmother and my aunt rode. I was interested because it was such a familiar thing, and it was routine to go to the 5 horse show once a year. 6 And he was a steeplechase rider? 7 He was. I didn't know a lot about steeplechase. know about show riding, but not about steeplechase riding. 8 9 Now, you knew he had some involvement as a kayak and 10 canoer, is that correct? 11 He gave me a tour of his home and I saw the kayak. And he said he was on the Olympic team.

- 12
- 13 And had you tried out for an Olympic team when you 14 were younger?
- 15 No. I competed in figure skating at the national level. 16
- 17 Were you hoping to maybe get to the Olympics?
- 18 Yes and no.
- 19 Q Now, you indicated that you went to his house?
- 20 Α Yes.
- 21 Q And that was on one occasion?
- 22 Yes.
- 23 And you indicated he had a little office in the
- house, is that right?
- 25 He did. He does.

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        Isn't it true that the office really extended the
   full width of the house?
 3
        No.
 4
        And isn't it true that it went probably 15, 20 feet
 5
   in width?
 6
        It wasn't a very big room. It was just a little wing
 7
   of the house.
8
        And you were aware of the lifestyle that he kept
9
   during that time when you visited with him, right?
10
        I was -- I was aware of what he told me. And the
11
   only thing that I saw was what I saw in his home. But it
  didn't add up.
12
        He drove an old car, didn't he?
13
14
       He had a blue Jeep. Yes.
15
        And it wasn't a late model Jeep, was it?
16
   Α
        No.
        And his house was kind of falling apart, is that safe
17
18 to say?
        On the outside. The inside looked good. He was
19
  working on one room at a time.
        And in the beginning of the relationship with him,
21
22
   isn't it true that you went to a country club for dinner
23
  with him?
24
        No. That is incorrect.
25
        You didn't tell that --
```

```
215
             CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        I went to the country club for lunch.
2
        For lunch. I apologize.
 3
        And after you ate he left you with the bill, didn't
 4
   he?
 5
        He did.
 6
        And was that before or after you had invested in his
 7
   company?
8
        When I went up to -- that was before.
9
        Okay. Now, at some point you loaned him, or you said
   you loaned him, $3,000 for the shoeing of the horses, is
10
11
   that correct?
        Correct.
12
13
        Do you remember telling the agent in this case, Agent
14
   Gregor, in May of 2012 that that was a gift?
15
        I don't remember saying that it was a gift. I knew
   that it was going to come back to me because he said he
16
   would use the money for the proceeds of winning the
17
18
   steeplechases. He needed the shoes in order to -- for the
19
  horses to be fit to race and in order to have more money
   for the next phase in the AIDS -- in the research for
  AIDS. That he needed some more money, and he was a
21
22
   shoe-in as far as winning those races. So I -- I felt it
23
  was an investment. And he indicated that's what he was
   using the money for. Not just because they were shoes,
25
  but he needed them.
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH 1 I understand. And would you say your recollection is 2 clearer now than it was in May of 2012? 3 Clearer now than it was then? It's as clear now as it was then. 4 5 Good. Let me show you something that may help 6 refresh your recollection. If you would look, this is a 7 302 from Agent Gregor, a May 1, 2012 interview. And if you would look at the portion of that interview that is 8 highlighted. Does that help to reflect your -- to refresh 9 your recollection? 10 11 I could have said it was a gift. I know that I could have said that. Absolutely. But what I did know that was 12 very clear was that he said he was going to shoe the 13 14 horses so that they would be fit to do steeplechase, and the money that he was going to -- that he won from that 15 16 would go directly to the research. So I -- I felt it was, you know, if he didn't have 17 his horses -- if he didn't have his cell phone I couldn't 18 get ahold of him and he couldn't continue with what he --19 he said he had taken all of his money, he had no more money, and put everything, his life, his soul into this 21 22 So you can play on words, and I may have said 23 that, but the bottom line is he said he was using it for the research for AIDS. 24 25 Sure. And that reference that you made to the

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
  shoeing of the horses for 3,000, that was said in
   conjunction with what you said about the telephone bills
 3
   that you paid, correct?
 4
        Telephone bill, again. You know, how can I get ahold
 5
   of him.
           And I had money invested. He said he wouldn't be
 6
   able to have a phone. That's not a good sign when you
   have money invested and you don't -- you want that
   contact. I was willing to pay for that in order for
8
  him -- to get ahold of him.
9
10
        And is it fair to say that the money for the
   telephone bill was a gift?
11
        I don't think I'm ever going to get it back. So,
12
13
   yes.
14
        THE COURT:
                   Ladies and gentlemen, can you hear the
15
   witness okay?
        MS. DESCH: I'm sorry. I keep going away from the
16
17
   microphone. I apologize.
18
        THE COURT: All right.
19
        Now, I want to show you what's been marked as Defense
2.0
   Exhibit 53.
                    The number again, please.
21
        THE COURT:
        MR. WAGNER: Number 53, Judge.
22
23
        THE COURT: Fifty-three. That's already in evidence,
   is it not?
24
25
        MR. WAGNER: I don't think we've introduced it yet,
```

218 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH 1 Judge, but it's been referenced. 2 THE COURT: Okay, sir. 3 I recognize this book. He carried it with him 4 wherever -- in a briefcase though, a leather briefcase. And it has letters validating that he went to Duke 5 6 University and then went to MCV Hospital. That he knew --7 that he knew a lot of the doctors from both, and that they gave him the keys to the labs in order for him to continue 8 9 the research. 10 That was some years ago when he was at Duke, is that 11 correct? I didn't know him then, but that's what he said. 12 13 And did he show that book to Dr. Mousari? Q 14 Α Moussali. 15 Excuse me. Moussali. Q 16 Α Yes, he did. 17 And did he show it to the group of teachers? Yes, he did. 18 19 Okay. And were they free to look through the book? 2.0Absolutely they were. We were -- you know, when you're in a group full of people and he's explaining 21 22 himself, at the time you don't think he's going to lie to 23 you, so you listen. We all looked through the book and 24 read some of them. Not all of them. But he prided 25 himself on this.

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        And when he first showed me his lab work, he feels
   like this is all of, you know, his validations from people
 3
   that, one, invested; and, two, that validated his
   research. You know, when you have something like this,
 4
 5
   you feel like he is telling you the truth.
6
        Sure. He's very passionate about his cause?
 7
        Yes. He was very passionate. He was so passionate
  he wouldn't let me talk about me.
8
9
        Can you point -- can you turn to where there is a
   little marker there in the binder. Do you now see that
10
11
   report there?
12
        Yes.
13
        And do you recognize that?
        You know, honestly I don't. I really don't. I don't
14
15
   know that -- remember whether he had shown me this or not.
16
        THE COURT: And so the record is clear, do you want
   to mark that with a sub-exhibit number so the record will
17
18
   show what page she's looking at?
19
        MR. WAGNER: Perhaps we can mark that with Exhibit
20
   53-A, Judge?
21
        THE COURT:
                   All right. Fine.
22
        MS. DESCH:
                   Did you want me to read it out loud?
23
        THE COURT: No, ma'am. I do not.
24
             (Defendant's Exhibit 53-A is received.)
25
        Now, you don't recall whether or not you saw that
```

```
220
              CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
  before you made your investment?
2
        No.
 3
        Do you remember if you asked for any kind of
   financial information from Mr. Harris before you made your
 5
   investment?
 6
        Excuse me?
 7
        Did you ask for any financial information before you
   made your investment?
8
9
        I asked him for validation of where this was going.
   I felt that the agreement and subagreements and his -- the
10
11
   information he sent me on his research right after I sent
  him the money, I said, "I need to have validation on the
12
   money that I'm giving you."
13
14
        Did I answer your question?
15
        I'm not sure.
16
        Okay.
17
        But I'll move on.
        Now, when he offered you the -- or when he asked for
18
   the $2,500 for the patents --
19
2.0
        It was the European patent. He was very clear about
        He said, "If you don't invest in the European patent,
21
22
   then anything I do from here on I have to have that or I
23
   cannot continue with the American patent because FDA
   approved here in the United States takes so much longer
24
   than it does in Europe."
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
        And is it your understanding that that money, or at
 1
2
   least $2,100 of that money, went to the European patent?
 3
        The $2,500, absolutely, to finalize his patent
   payment. And it had to be in by noon. And I absolutely
 4
 5
   did.
        And then he told me about the shares right after and
 6
   that -- and how much the shares would cost.
 7
        Now, that additional investment that you made was for
   $7,500, correct?
8
9
             To finalize two squares. You know, one square
  of shares was $5,000. I gave $2,500, and that's why I
10
11
   gave \$7,500 to complete two squares. And he said -- and
   that was right before he came to my home to tell me -- to
12
   deliver the certificate.
13
14
        And do you remember exactly what he said when you
15
   gave him the $7,500?
16
        Absolutely I do.
17
        Okay.
        This was to finalize two blocks of shares or $10,000.
18
19
   Two blocks of shares, a dollar a share, and that --
  because I was investing for the shares to help pay for the
2.0
   research for the cure of AIDS. And I would not have done
21
22
   it if it wasn't for that.
23
        I would not have given any money if I didn't --
24
        THE COURT: Hold on. Wait a second. Wait for the
25
   next question.
```

```
222
             CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
        MS. DESCH:
 1
                    Okay.
2
        Did you write down what he told you about what was
 3
   going to happen with your money for the 7,500 shares?
 4
        No, I did not.
 5
        COURT REPORTER: Your Honor, they can't hear him.
 6
        MR. WAGNER: I'm sorry. Am I not speaking loud
 7
   enough?
8
        JUROR:
               We can't hear you.
9
        MR. WAGNER: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'll try to turn
   up the volume.
10
11
        I did not write it down because he said he was coming
   to my house and giving me all the information I needed.
12
13
   And when he did come to my home, he gave me the
14
  certificate, he gave me the agreement, sub-agreement, the
15
   non-disclosure. And he signed it in front of me at my
  home. And that's why I invited -- then I invited Colette
16
   Moussali to come as a doctor because I wanted to make sure
17
18
   that what I was doing was valid.
19
        And a lot of this was a personal interest because of
  your father, right?
        Absolutely.
21
   Α
22
        And when did you last see your father?
23
        It's an unusual story. I was born and my father was
   in New York. He casted All My Children before he died.
24
25
        If you could, could you just answer the question,
```

```
223
             CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
   please.
 1
2
        Okay.
 3
        THE COURT: Why don't you repeat the question one
 4
   more time.
 5
        When was the last time you saw him?
6
        My real father never saw me. He died of AIDS.
 7
   only had two weeks with him when I was 23 years old when I
   went up to New York to Sloan Kettering Memorial. And I
8
9
   was there with him when he died. That is all I have of my
10
   father. I have a stepfather.
11
        Now, the document that you referred to, can you open
   that up and look at that, please.
12
13
        THE COURT:
                   This is 53-A?
14
        MR. WAGNER: Yes, sir. Exhibit 53-A.
15
        THE COURT: All right.
        Open it up? It's got several pages inside it.
16
17
        If you can just take it out of the plastic folder.
        do you remember seeing that document?
18
  Now,
19
        You asked me that question before and I said no.
20
        Okay. As you look through it --
21
        Well, I don't remember this page. But you had it in
22
   a sleeve so that I couldn't see it.
23
        I'm sorry. Please look through it.
24
        Okay. This is similar to what he sent to me. And I
   don't -- all of this stuff was not in there. If he did
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
  show this to me, I don't remember him looking and showing
  me this. This is bits and pieces. He give me a few
   pages. He didn't give me all of this. I did not see all
 3
   of that.
 4
 5
                   Well, I think in order to make the record
        THE COURT:
 6
   clear she's going to have to identify what she's seen and
 7
   what she's not seen, otherwise the record --
        MS. DESCH: I have not seen these.
8
9
        THE COURT: Hold on just one second. Just one
   second. Wait for the next question.
10
11
        I think you need to clarify that for the record,
  Mr. Wagner.
12
        MR. WAGNER: All right. Very well.
13
14
        If you will go page by page and let the jury know
15
   which pages you've seen and which pages you haven't seen.
        Okay. Again, part of these are part of the
16
   agreement, but some of them are not. And when he showed
17
18
  me this book, I could have -- there are some things that
  he flipped through and showed me, so there are things that
19
  I don't remember, or I just glanced over. So I cannot
   give you an honest answer on these.
21
22
        All right. Do you see a page there that references a
23
  salary for the CEO and president of the company?
        He showed me nothing that had salaries for any of the
24
25
   CEOs.
```

```
REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
        Do you see a page there that references that?
   believe it's the third page from the top.
2
 3
        Third page from what?
 4
        From the front of the document.
 5
        I don't recognize these.
6
        Very well.
 7
        I never saw them.
8
        Thank you.
9
        Not these.
10
        Okay. You can put them back.
11
               No. And if he did show them, again, I don't
        Yeah.
  remember.
12
13
                    Okay. Why don't you just put them back
        THE COURT:
  in the sleeve there and we'll proceed to the next
14
15
   question.
16
        MR. WAGNER: Excuse me.
                    Yes, sir.
17
        THE COURT:
18
        MR. WAGNER: I have no further questions.
19
        THE COURT: Any redirect, Mr. Gill?
20
        MR. GILL: Very, very briefly.
        THE COURT: Okay, sir.
21
22
                   Your Honor, just so the record is clear,
        MR. GILL:
23
  we would go ahead and move for admission of 53-A, the
   defense exhibit. It's already been admitted by the
24
25
   government, but just so the jury is clear on what she
```

```
226
            REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DESCH
 1
  looked at, we'd move for admission of that.
2
        THE COURT: All right. It will be 53-A as a package
 3
   in the sleeve.
 4
        MR. GILL: Just that paper.
 5
        THE COURT: It will be received as Defendant's 53-A.
 6
        MR. GILL: Thank you.
 7
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
   BY MR. GILL:
8
9
        Ms. Desch, just one area. With respect to Mr. Wagner
10
   asked you at the very start about whether you were
11
   embarrassed about being in a romantic situation with the
  defendant. Tell the jury, put it in context, who was
12
   there during that interview, and why were you embarrassed
13
14
  to talk about that?
15
        My older son was in there. He said, "Mom,
   something's wrong. You need to have someone hear that
16
   this is illegal."
17
18
        So when he was sitting in the room while we were
19
   going over everything, I had to admit in front of my son
2.0
   that I had slept with him.
        MR. GILL: No further questions, Your Honor.
21
22
        THE COURT: All right.
23
        May Ms. Desch be excused at this point, Mr. Wagner?
24
        MR. GILL: Yes, Your Honor.
25
        THE COURT: Mr. Wagner?
```

```
227
            DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
        MR. WAGNER:
 1
                     Yes, Your Honor.
2
        THE COURT: Ms. Desch, you're excused and free to go.
 3
   Thank you for coming in. We appreciate your testimony,
 4
   ma'am.
 5
                       WITNESS STOOD ASIDE
6
        MR. NASEEM: Your Honor, the United States calls --
 7
   I'm sorry. The United States calls Nicki Gentry.
8
        THE COURT: Nicole Gentry. All right.
9
        Ms. Gentry, if you would raise your right hand, place
   your left hand on the Bible, and face the Clerk of the
10
11
   Court.
        THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony
12
   which you are about to give, in this case, before this
13
14
   Court, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
15
   but the truth, so help you God?
16
        MS. GENTRY:
                     I do.
17
        THE COURT: Have a seat on the witness stand.
18
        MS. GENTRY: Yes, sir.
19
           Whereupon, Nicole Gentry, having been
   duly sworn in, testifies as follows:
21
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
   BY MR. NASEEM:
23
        Good afternoon, Officer Gentry.
24
        Good afternoon.
25
        Could you state your name for the ladies and
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY 1 gentlemen of the jury, and tell them where you live. 2 My name is Nicole Gentry. I live in Fredericksburg, 3 Virginia in Spottsylvania County. 4 And, Ms. Gentry, can you please explain to the ladies 5 and gentlemen of the jury what it is you do for a living. 6 I'm a law enforcement officer for the Fredericksburg 7 Police Department. 8 And, Officer Gentry, how long have you been a police officer with the Fredericksburg Police Department? 9 10 Sixteen years yesterday, sir. 11 Now, Officer Gentry, are you familiar with the defendant, Michael Harris? 12 13 I am. 14 Okay. Can you identify him to the ladies and 15 gentlemen of the jury, please. 16 The gentleman seated to my left. 17 THE COURT: The record will reflect that Officer Gentry has identified the defendant. 18 19 Officer Gentry, when and how did you first meet Mr. Harris, and what were the circumstances surrounding that meeting? 21 22 The first time I met Mr. Harris was at a local pub in 23 downtown Fredericksburg. I was introduced to him by what

was a mutual friend at the time. A trusted friend of mine

introduced me to Mr. Harris regarding a business type deal

24

25

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  that I was supposed to be very hush, hush about and not
   share information about, but rather I was invited to look
 3
   at a presentation that he was presenting on his laptop on
 4
   the patio area.
        Now, you use the word "hush, hush." Before you went
 5
 6
   to the meeting or when -- let ask you this. Did you go to
 7
   the meeting?
8
        I did.
9
        When you were at the meeting, or before the meeting,
10
   did you have to sign any documents?
11
        I did have to sign a confidentiality agreement
  document.
12
              Excellent. And what I would like you to do is
13
        Okay.
14
   there is a set of documents there in front of you, and I'd
15
   like you to pull out what is marked as Government's
   Exhibit 26 in that first folder.
16
17
        THE COURT: What was that number again?
        MR. NASEEM: Government's Exhibit 26, Your Honor.
18
19
        THE COURT: Twenty-six. All right.
        All right, sir.
20
   Α
        And if you can take a look at that document. Take a
21
22
   moment to look at that document, and once you've had a
23
  moment to look at it let me know.
24
        I understand what this document is, sir.
25
        Okay. Can you identify that document for the ladies
```

```
230
            DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
   and gentlemen of the jury?
2
        It's a non-disclosure agreement that was provided,
 3
   and you had to sign and date it.
 4
                    Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
        THE COURT:
 5
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
 6
        THE COURT: Be received. You may publish it if you
 7
   wish.
8
        MR. NASEEM: Can you please publish 26.
9
              (Government's Exhibit 26 is received.)
10
        Now, focus in on the center part of the document
11
   there, Officer Gentry. Did you read that language there
  before you signed it?
12
13
        I did.
        And can you give the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
14
15
   a brief explanation of what your understanding was based
16
   on what you read.
17
        My understanding was that once I signed this document
18
   and chose to participate in this presentation, was that
19
   any knowledge that I gained, anything that was spoken
2.0
   about, that I was not to communicate with anyone else
   about anything that I had heard or learned through this
21
22
   presentation. I was to keep my mouth shut about it.
        Now, scrolling down there to the bottom of that
23
   document. Is that your signature?
25
        That's not my signature.
```

231 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY 1 Who signed that? 2 That's my partner, Meredith Gompf. Α 3 And did she attend that meeting? 4 She did. 5 And is this the document that she signed? 6 She did. 7 Okay. And did you sign a document similar to this 8 one? 9 I did. 10 Now, looking at the date on the bottom of that 11 document, September 20, 2005. Was that right around the time frame when this presentation would have occurred? 12 13 As I recall, that's when the presentation and all the 14 disclosure agreements would have come into play. It was 15 important -- it was important to Mr. Harris that if you 16 were to choose to participate that you signed this document. 17 Okay. Now, I believe I may have asked you this, but 18 19 where was that meeting held? 2.0It's called the Colonial Tavern. It's a local pub. And I happened to be at that pub at the time I was 21 22 introduced to Mr. Harris. And it's at 406 Lafayette 23 Boulevard in downtown Fredericksburg. 24 Now, can you tell us a little bit about the presentation. And did Mr. Harris speak at that

232 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY presentation? 1 2 He did. 3 Tell us a little bit about that presentation. 4 As I recall, the weather was nice enough where he 5 brought his laptop outside on the patio area and one of 6 the picnic tables that was out there, and we all kind of 7 gathered around the one table. 8 MR. NASEEM: At this time can we publish Exhibit 161, 9 It's already been admitted into the record, Your please. 10 Honor. 11 Officer Gentry, there in front of you is Government's Exhibit 161? 12 13 Yes, sir. And if you can just thumb through that for a moment. 14 15 And if you could, could you identify that set of documents that you're looking at there. 16 17 So far as I flip through, sir, this appears to be 18 very similar to what was on the laptop on the patio area 19 of the tavern that evening. It was similar to a PowerPoint presentation. It had graphs, and many photographs and graphs that I had had no clear 21 22 understanding of. But it looks very similar to what was 23 on the laptop. 24 Now, could you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury a little bit about what specifically it was that

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
  Mr. Harris was talking about with respect to the slides?
 1
2
        Well, as I said, I didn't have a clear understanding
 3
   of all the information that was included in the slides.
   What I was clear about when I left that day was that this
 5
   project was going to be -- to help research a cure for
 6
   AIDS. And that my understanding is that his works was
 7
   working towards the cure for the AIDS disease.
8
        Now, were you provided with any documents at that
  meeting that you recall?
9
        The kind of a document that kind of summarizes --
10
11
   kind of a summary of what the studies were. It's kind of
   like a summary of who was involved, and what they were
12
13
   doing, and there was some biographies. Small snippets of
14
  biographies.
15
        Did Mr. Harris say anything about raising money?
        Yes. The monies needed to be raised for Phase II
16
   research trials. Those words were used.
17
18
        Okay. And at this time if you could pull out
   Government's Exhibit 25. It's been labeled there in front
19
   of you, ma'am.
20
        This is what I was referring to, sir.
21
        Okay. Can you identify that document to the ladies
```

- 22 23 and gentlemen of the jury, please.
- 24 It's a -- it's marked "CONFIDENTIAL." And it's
- 25 marked, "EXECUTIVE SUMMARY."

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
        And was this the document that you recall being
 2
   provided to you at that presentation in Fredericksburg?
 3
        Yes. And this was supposed to be, again, information
   that was not to be shared, you know.
 4
 5
                   Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
        THE COURT:
 6
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
 7
        THE COURT: The executive summary will be received as
   your 25.
8
9
             (Government's Exhibit 25 is received.)
10
        MR. NASEEM: Could we publish it.
11
        Now, focusing in on the top portion of what's been
   labeled as "EXECUTIVE SUMMARY," take a moment and just
12
   give an explanation as to whether the information you see
13
14
   there is the information that was imparted to you that day
15
   at the Colonial Tavern in Fredericksburg, Virginia.
        Yes. I mean, there are many words in that paragraph
16
   that I don't understand, but my understanding was that it
17
18
  was research regarding a cure or remedy for AIDS.
   that there was some talk about hyperbaric chambers and
19
20
   diving.
        And when you were -- when you made -- when Mr. Harris
21
22
   made that presentation, what affect did that have on you?
        Well, I wanted to -- certainly did want to help.
23
24
   mean, he seemed to be very interested and charismatic
25
   about his work. And again, I was introduced to this
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  \blacksquaregentleman by a trusted friend and, you know, I wanted -- I
   wanted to help in some way. He seemed like he wanted to
   do this work and he seemed very diligent about wanting to
 3
   do the work and wanting to do this good. And, you know,
 4
   at the time, frankly, I thought it was great that somebody
 5
6
   was wanting to do that.
 7
        MR. NASEEM: If we could scroll down to the fourth
8
   paragraph, please.
9
        Looking at that fourth paragraph, and I'll read it
   out to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, "The Phase I
10
11
   safety trials have been successfully completed, therefore
   additional research funds of $907,670 are being sought to
12
   initiate Phase II trials."
13
        Did Mr. Harris talk about Phase II trials at this
14
15
   meeting?
        MR. WAGNER: Your Honor, I must object to counsel
16
   reading from the document unless there's a question.
17
18
        THE COURT:
                    It's already been read. You're too late.
19
   Objection is overruled.
20
        MR. WAGNER: Just for future reference.
        THE COURT: The document speaks for itself.
21
22
   published to the jury.
23
        All right. Let's move on. Next question.
24
        Now, Officer Gentry, again focus on the language of
25
   the first sentence there. Can you explain a little bit
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
  about what Mr. Harris talked about with respect to raising
   funds for Phase II trials?
 3
        Well, the money -- he did speak about that, you know,
 4
  he needed money to fund those Phase II trials. There was
   also talk about trying to get patents, U.S. patents for
 5
   these works. But I remember the beginning stages of what
6
 7
   was spoken about was very much about, you know, being gung
  ho about starting these Phase II trials, and it's time to
8
   do that. And, you know, to be able to fund that in order
9
   to make it happen.
10
11
        Now, Officer Gentry, I want you to be very clear
  about this to the jury. Based on the presentation done by
12
   Michael Harris and the documents you reviewed, what was
13
  your understanding with respect to what Mr. Harris was
14
15
   going to do with the money if he was provided with it?
        The money that I gave I thought was going to go
16
   towards Phase II trials and this work, this good work, of
17
   finding a cure for AIDS.
18
19
        Now, what was your -- what was your understanding of
  when those Phase II trials would begin and when they would
  start?
21
22
        My understanding is as soon as the money was there
23
   they could begin. I invested right away.
        All right. So you decided to invest?
24
25
        I did.
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
        Now, if you can look at what's been marked as
   Government's Exhibit 27, please. Now, did you decide to
 3
   invest on your own?
 4
        I did.
 5
        And did you have someone -- or did you invest with
 6
   your -- did your partner invest with you?
 7
        My partner and I invested a total of $5,000
   collectively together half and half.
8
9
        And what did you receive in exchange for that $5,000
10
   investment?
11
        Immediately I received a subscription agreement.
        Okay. So let's take a look at what's been marked as
12
   Government's Exhibit 27. If you can identify that
13
14
   document for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.
15
        It's a subscription agreement that has my handwriting
   at the top where I filled in my name and my address and
16
   the date. And that's my signature in the bottom left as
17
18
   the subscriber.
19
        THE COURT: Any objection to 27, Mr. Wagner?
20
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: It will be received.
21
        MR. NASEEM: Can we go ahead and publish that.
22
23
   you.
24
             (Government's Exhibit 27 is received.)
25
        Focusing on the top part of that document. What was
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  the date on which you signed that subscription agreement?
2
        Third day of October, 2005.
 3
        Okay. And is that your name that appears there on
 4
   the document?
 5
        It is, sir.
 6
        Now, looking at Paragraph 1. What was the total
 7
   amount of shares reflected that were purchased by you
   individually?
8
9
        Two thousand five hundred.
10
        And how much shares did you receive in exchange for
11
   that $2,500?
        Two thousand five hundred is my understanding.
12
13
        And again, $2,500. Did you invest a total of $2,500?
14
        My total investment. I wrote a check for $5,000. A
15
   personal check for $5,000.
        And that investment, did that reflect your investment
16
17
   and your partner's?
18
        THE COURT: She already answered that.
   question.
19
2.0
        Scrolling down to the bottom there. That is your
21
  signature, correct?
22
        Yes, sir.
23
        And next to that, do you recognize that signature?
24
        That's Mr. Harris' signature.
25
        Okay. Now, you mentioned you used a check to pay for
```

```
239
            DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  those shares, correct?
2
        I did, sir.
 3
        Okay. And if you can look at Government's Exhibit
  Number 28, Sergeant Gentry.
 4
 5
        Okay, sir.
6
        And can you identify that document?
 7
        It's my personal check.
8
        Is it a copy -- a true and accurate copy of the
9
   personal check you used to make this investment?
10
        Yes, it is.
11
        THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
        MR. WAGNER: There's not, Judge.
12
        THE COURT: It will be received.
13
              (Government's Exhibit 28 is received.)
14
15
        Now, looking at that check. Who is that check made
   out to?
16
17
        M.F. Harris Research, Incorporated.
18
        And what's the total dollar amount again?
19
        Five thousand.
2.0
        And the date reflected on that check, October 3,
  2005, does that accurately reflect the date on which you
21
22
   made your investment?
23
        Yes, sir.
24
        Now, Sergeant Gentry, after you made your investment,
   did there come a time when you -- what happened after you
```

240 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY 1 made your investment? 2 After I made my investment, some time had gone by and 3 I was feeling a little uncomfortable about not receiving something tangible saying that I was a shareholder. And 4 then sometime after that I received a certificate of 5 6 sorts. And frankly when I received that, I wasn't super 7 overwhelmed and happy visually about the way that looked either. 8 9 MR. NASEEM: Now going back to Government's Exhibit Number 27. If you can publish that for the ladies and 10 11 gentlemen of the jury. And going to the second page of that exhibit. 12 Now, do you recognize that as the certificate that 13 14 you received in exchange for your investment? 15 I do. Now, did there -- did there come a period of time 16 that passed before you made communication with Mr. Harris 17 18 again? 19 There had. Yes. 20 And how did you make communication with Mr. Harris? I didn't make communication with him directly from 21 22 myself. I had expressed through this mutual friend that I 23 was not feeling very comfortable about not having received anything tangible regarding this \$5,000 check I had 24 25 Something tangible to say that, you know, I had written.

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  shares in this company something other than the subscriber
 2
   agreement.
 3
        Sometime after that, after I had expressed that I was
 4
   starting not to feel -- I was starting to feel like I had
   made a mistake writing this check, I started to feel
 5
 6
   uncomfortable so I tried to talk to this mutual friend to
 7
   see if Mr. Harris could give me -- at least give me some
   piece of mind and give me something tangible so I don't
8
   think that I've written this check out into the blue. And
 9
   that's what I received.
10
11
        So looking at what's been labeled as Government's
  Exhibit 29 there before you in the folder. Now, can you
12
   take a moment to look at the 2-page document there before
13
  you.
14
15
        I understand what it is, sir.
        Can you identify that document for the ladies and
16
   gentlemen of the jury, please.
17
        It's an e-mail communication between myself and
18
   Mr. Harris, and then to other shareholders.
19
2.0
        And do you recognize them as e-mails that you sent
  and received to and from your e-mail address?
21
22
        Yes.
23
        Okay.
24
        MR. NASEEM: Your Honor, at this time we'd like to
25
  move in the e-mails.
```

```
242
            DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
                    Any objection, Mr. Wagner?
 1
        THE COURT:
2
        MR. WAGNER: No objection, Judge.
 3
        THE COURT: Be received.
 4
             (Government's Exhibit 29 is received.)
 5
        MR. NASEEM: If we could publish Exhibit 29, please.
 6
        Going to the -- now, this is a 2-page document. And
 7
   going to the second page of the document, if we can focus
   in on the bottom part. And, Sergeant Gentry, up at the
8
   top, can you explain a little bit about who this e-mail is
9
   from and who it's to?
10
11
        The e-mail where it begins at the bottom is from
  myself to Mr. Harris. And then the top is his reply.
12
13
        Can you generally explain what it is you are
14
   communicating to Mr. Harris in that e-mail?
15
        THE COURT: Well, the document speaks for itself.
  you want her to read it or explain her impression of what
16
   she sent?
17
                    Why don't I ask her to --
18
        MR. NASEEM:
19
        Why did you send this e-mail?
2.0
        I sent the e-mail because of the shareholders,
   particularly in the Fredericksburg area. The ones that
21
22
   I'm very close to and familiar with, we had gotten
23
   together and expressed how uncomfortable we were --
24
        MR. WAGNER: Objection as to what other people
25
   expressed, Your Honor.
```

```
243
            DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
        THE COURT:
                    Objection sustained.
2
        I think, officer, you just need to talk about your
 3
   personal impressions, all right?
 4
        MS. GENTRY: Yes, sir.
 5
        THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
 6
        I was not feeling comfortable about not having any
 7
   contact with Mr. Harris, you know, no updates, what's
   going on with trials, you know, what's happening. So I
8
   elected to send an e-mail to him and he replied, so I
9
   forwarded it on.
10
11
        And the date of this e-mail is May 5, 2009, correct?
12
        Yes.
        MR. NASEEM: If you would scroll up.
13
14
        Did you recognize that as the response provided to
15
   you by Mr. Harris?
16
        Yes, sir. At the top. Yes, sir.
17
        MR. NASEEM: Let's scroll up to the top there.
   that all the way to the top?
18
19
        Now, in the e-mail it mentions, "we got awarded our
  US patent and this past Monday our African patent no one
   threw a party either and it's sad???"
21
22
        What was your understanding with respect to what that
23
  meant?
24
        That we had gotten our U.S. and African patents.
25
        Okay. Continuing on it says, "We have a web site
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY 1 mfharrisresearch" 2 MR. WAGNER: Objection. The document speaks for 3 itself, Judge. She can give her response. 4 THE COURT: Well, unless he's drawing her attention 5 to that portion for some specific purpose, I'm going to 6 sustain the objection. 7 Are you going to direct her attention to a specific portion of the e-mail? 8 9 MR. NASEEM: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Do it as succinctly as you 10 11 can, please. Directing your attention to the following sentence, 12 Sergeant Gentry, what was your impression with respect to 13 14 what is displayed there and what affect it had on you when 15 you read it? I mean, the following couple of sentences, my 16 understanding is that we have a website now and somebody 17 is working on gathering our taxes and financials together. 18 And when you received this information in this 19 e-mail, what affect did that have on you? I thought that was great news. It sounded like some 21 22 linformation was on the horizon. Maybe I would hear more 23 soon. 24 Turning to the first page of that exhibit. Now, can

you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, is

```
245
            DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  this an e-mail that you sent?
2
        Yes.
   Α
 3
        And to whom did you send this e-mail?
 4
        Any shareholders that I knew of that I had their
 5
   e-mail addresses.
 6
        And what was the purpose of you sending this e-mail
 7
   to all the other shareholders?
        The other shareholders wanted the information as
8
9
   well, expressed that to me, and I said I would pass on
   anything that I was able to find out.
10
11
        Now, did there come a time later on when you received
   a communication to attend a shareholders' meeting?
12
13
        Yes.
   Α
14
        And if you would look to what's been marked as
15
   Government's Exhibit 30.
16
        All right, sir.
17
        And can you identify that document, please.
        It's a notification. An invitation for a meeting
18
19
   from Mr. Harris that I got in the mail.
20
        Okay.
   Q
        MR. NASEEM: And do you have any objection, counsel?
21
22
        Your Honor, at this time I would move to have the
23
   letter admitted into evidence.
24
        THE COURT: It will be admitted without objection.
25
        MR. WAGNER: It's already admitted, Judge.
```

```
246
            DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
                    I thought that it was.
 1
        THE COURT:
2
        MR. NASEEM: Well, this is Government's Exhibit 30,
 3
   Your Honor.
 4
        THE COURT:
                    Well, I think that the same item under a
 5
   different number with a different witness has been
 6
   admitted. I'll let this one come in at this time, but I
 7
   think there's two. That's going to be sufficient.
8
        MR. NASEEM: Your Honor, this was the specific
9
   invitation that Sergeant Gentry received in --
10
        THE COURT: Is it addressed to her?
11
        MR. NASEEM: Yes, sir. Well, this --
        THE COURT: I apologize to you. It will come in.
12
13
   All right. I thought you were putting in the same thing
14
   that you put in through another witness. It will be
15
   received.
16
        Next question. Let's go.
17
             (Government's Exhibit 30 is received.)
18
        Officer Gentry, the letter there before you, how did
   you receive that letter?
19
20
        Through the mail.
   Α
        Okay. Now, can you briefly explain to the ladies and
21
22
   gentlemen of the jury what is -- what you were invited to
23
   and whether you attended that meeting.
24
        It's an invitation or notification for an annual
25
   shareholders' meeting. It provided the date and the time
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
                  And I did attend that one.
  and location.
2
        And why did you attend that meeting, Sergeant Gentry?
 3
        I was hoping to get some more information to find out
   what was going on with the investment.
 4
 5
        And why was that? At that point in time, why was
6
   that?
 7
        There was not a lot of communication. Not a lot of
   information was being offered up.
8
9
        Okay. Now, tell us a little bit about the meeting
   itself that you attended. Tell us a little bit about what
10
11
   was discussed. Who was there and who spoke?
        Mr. Harris was there. There were several people
12
   there. I was only familiar with the shareholders that
13
14
  were from Fredericksburg that were at this meeting.
15
        I will tell you this, I left the meeting --
        MR. WAGNER: Objection. Nonresponsive, Judge.
16
17
        THE COURT: Wait for the next question, officer.
18
        MS. GENTRY: Yes, sir.
19
        THE COURT: Go ahead with your follow-up question.
20
        MR. NASEEM: Okay. I apologize.
        Can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the
21
22
   jury what it was -- who was at the meeting?
23
        Mr. Harris was at the meeting. There was an
24
   attorney. I don't remember the name of that person.
25
   There were -- like I said, I was familiar with the
```

```
248
            DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
  Fredericksburg shareholder people there.
 1
2
        Did Mr. Harris speak at that meeting?
 3
        He did.
 4
        Did he talk about the financial condition of the
 5
   company at that meeting?
 6
        I don't recall if he did that or not. I didn't leave
 7
   with a better understanding of anything when I left that
   meeting.
8
9
        What was your impression of what was discussed at
10
   that meeting?
11
        I thought it was all really confusing and not clear.
   And, again, I left that meeting not feeling anymore
12
   worldly about my investment than when I arrived.
13
14
        Now, were you provided with any financial information
15
   regarding the company and how investor money had been
16
   spent?
        I don't recall receiving anything regarding that.
17
        Okay. Now, Officer Gentry, after you left the
18
19
   meeting, can you give the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
2.0
   an explanation of what impression you left with and how
   you felt about your investment at that point?
21
22
        THE COURT: I think she just described that.
23
        But if there's anything you haven't described, you
24
   may.
25
        MS. GENTRY: Well, Your Honor, if I can just add, I
```

```
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
   felt like I had made a mistake.
2
        THE COURT:
                   Okay.
 3
        And why is that, Sergeant Gentry?
 4
        I felt as though I had written a check and thought I
 5
   was doing the right thing and thought we were all doing
 6
   good things, or headed in that direction of doing good
 7
   things. And I feel like I wrote a check and I made a very
   big mistake.
8
9
                    Now, referring back to Government's
        MR. NASEEM:
   Exhibit 30, Your Honor, I'm going to ask this question
10
11
   again because this is related to our count.
        Are you certain that you received this document via
12
   U.S. Mail?
13
        MR. WAGNER: Judge, this has been asked and answered.
14
15
        THE COURT: No. Not that specific question.
   objection is overruled. Not that she received it by U.S.
16
   Mail.
17
        You may respond, officer.
18
        I received it in my mailbox at my home address. Yes.
19
2.0
        Sergeant Gentry, I have one question. At the
   shareholders' meeting in 2010, what was your impression of
21
22
   what Mr. Harris was trying to do and communicate to the
   investors at the meeting?
23
24
        MR. WAGNER: Objection to the form of the question.
25
        THE COURT: Yes. That calls for speculation on her
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  part.
          Why don't you rephrase your -- think about it, and
2
   rephrase your question.
 3
        What impression did you have with respect to what
   Mr. Harris was communicating at the meeting?
 4
 5
        MR. WAGNER:
                      It's been asked and answered, Judge,
 6
   several times.
 7
        THE COURT: That's my impression, but is there
   anything that was responsive to that question that you
8
9
   have not answered already?
10
        MS. GENTRY: May I hear the question again, please.
11
        What impression did you have with respect to what
   Mr. Harris was communicating, or attempting to
12
13
   communicate, at that meeting regarding the company and
14
   its --
15
        MR. WAGNER: I have to say asked and answered, Judge,
16
   several times.
17
        THE COURT: I think she has.
18
        MR. NASEEM: We'll withdraw the question.
19
        THE COURT:
                    I think she's answered the question.
20
        MR. NASEEM: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
        THE COURT:
                    All right. Very good.
        MR. NASEEM: I pass the witness, Your Honor.
22
23
                    Yes, sir.
        THE COURT:
24
        Mr. Wagner, questions of Officer Gentry?
25
        MR. WAGNER: I do, Judge.
```

251 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. WAGNER: 3 Good afternoon, Sergeant Gentry. 4 Good afternoon. 5 How are you? 6 I'm well. Thank you. 7 First time you met Mr. Harris was back in 2005, is that safe to say? 8 9 It's been some time ago. Yes. 10 And was the first time you met him at that meeting 11 with other people from Fredericksburg at a tavern? It was. 12 Α 13 And are you sure this was at the Colonial Tavern? 14 The full name is Home of the Irish -- the Colonial 15 Tavern, Home to the Irish Brigade. 16 It's also referred to as the Irish Brigade Tavern, is that true? 17 18 Yes. 19 Okay. Thank you. And do you remember whether Helen Cantrell was there? On that particular visit, I don't know if she was 21 22 there particularly on that occasion, but I know that she 23 is a shareholder. 24 Have you spoken to her about her investment? 25 Helen and I really don't -- I mean, we were very,

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  very dear friends about 20 years ago.
                                          Unfortunately, I've
  not spoken to her -- the last time I spoke to Helen was
 3
   before she got sick with cancer, and that's been a while.
 4
        So you didn't speak with her about what happened at
 5
   that meeting?
 6
        I may have spoken to her. Several people were fired
 7
   up, including myself. I may have spoken to her. She may
  have been in the same room. I can't say whether I did or
8
9
   didn't.
           It wouldn't have been uncommon for me to speak
  with her.
10
11
        Okay. And do you recall her saying at that meeting
  that Mr. Harris indicated that he would take a salary from
12
   the money that was received?
13
        That's not familiar to me.
14
15
        Okay. Was your mother there?
   Q
16
        My mom? Yes, my mom drove. I rode with her.
        And was Michelle Clark there?
17
        I believe Michelle was there. Yes.
18
19
   Q
        And Terri Hubbell? Am I saying that name right?
20
        Terri Gamlin.
  Α
        Terri Gamlin. There's another Terri perhaps? Terri
21
22
  Hubbell as well?
23
        I did not understand her to be a shareholder, but she
   could have been there perhaps. I don't think she was,
```

25

frankly.

```
253
            CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
        And Terri Gamlin?
2
        I believe Terri Gamlin was there. She is a
 3
   shareholder.
 4
        All right. And do you remember exactly what
   Mr. Harris said about what he was going to do with the
 5
 6
  money that you invested into his company?
 7
        It was all going to be for research for a cure for
  AIDS.
8
9
        And did you testify on direct examination that it was
  for Phase II trials?
10
11
        Yes. All part of the research. I mean, I understood
  Phase II trials would be all part of the research.
12
13
               That wasn't part of the human trials which may
        Okay.
14
  be a little different than the research?
15
        I understood it all to be all for the common, like,
  good for finding a cure for AIDS. Phase II trials,
16
   research, there were a lot of words that were used.
17
  it all pointed in the direction of research, sir.
18
        Okay. When you talked about the Phase II trials and
19
  when you talked about the research, did you write anything
  down from that meeting?
21
        No. I don't recall that I did.
22
23
        I'm sorry?
24
        I don't believe I wrote anything down. I would have
```

kept it, and I don't have anything.

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
        Do you know if your mother wrote anything down?
2
        I don't.
 3
        Have you spoken to your mother about her investment?
 4
        Yes.
 5
        And did there come a time when your mother was asked
 6
   to fill out a questionnaire sent to her by state
 7
   investigators?
8
        Yes. She did speak about that.
9
        All right. And did you see the questionnaire?
10
        I did. I got one in the mail.
11
        Okay. And you filled out a questionnaire as well?
        I looked at the questionnaire. I have a blank one at
12
  home, but I believe it belongs to Meredith. I can't
13
14
  remember if I filled it out or not, to tell you the truth.
15
        Did you help your mother fill hers out?
        No. I don't think I had to help her.
16
17
        Okay.
18
        She did express to me that she had received this.
19
  She asked me if I had received one in the mail, and I said
2.0
  I had.
        Did she talk to you about who she relied upon in
21
22
   order to make this investment in Michael Harris' company?
23
        That would have been me.
24
        Is it possible that in response to the questionnaire
   it would have been Michelle Clark?
```

```
255
            CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
        As my mother would have filled it out?
2
        Yes, ma'am.
 3
        Well, I can see where potentially she would have
 4
   filled that name in. Yes.
 5
        Thank you.
6
        But I'm the one that notified her --
 7
        There's no question now.
8
        I'm sorry.
9
        After the presentation, when was the next time that
   you actually thought about what was said at that meeting
10
   in 2005?
11
        The question is when was the next time after the
12
  meeting that I thought about?
13
14
        What was said by Michael Harris about what was to be
15
   done with your money, when was the next time you thought
   about that?
16
17
        Quite frankly, I thought about it for probably about
  another month after the meeting, and I was angry,
18
   disappointed, embarrassed, and pretty much decided that I
19
  would call that whole adventure a part of my past.
21
        So is it safe to say that you didn't think about it
22
   for six, seven years?
        It had been some time. I had made it a part of my
23
24
   past. Yes.
25
        And the next time you thought about it was in
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  response to a request from a law enforcement officer?
2
        Yes.
   Α
 3
        And you're a law enforcement officer, correct?
 4
        I am, sir.
 5
        And in that conversation with the law enforcement
 6
   officer, did you say anything to the officer about Michael
 7
   Harris referencing Phase II trials?
8
        I imagine it came up during the interview. That was
9
   part of my understanding is what the money was going
   toward is research, which included Phase II trials.
10
11
        You say you imagined it did. You're not sure,
  though?
12
13
        I can't recall every word that I told the
14
  investigators, if that's what you're asking me.
15
        MR. WAGNER: If I could have just one moment, Judge.
        THE COURT:
16
                   Okay.
17
        Maybe this will refresh your recollection. Let me
   show you what is a summary of an interview that you had
18
   with Agent Gregor in October of 2012. If you will look at
19
   the second page of the highlighted portion in there.
        All right.
21
   Α
22
        Did Agent Gregor write anything down about your
23
  saying to him that Michael Harris referenced Phase II
24
   trials?
25
        THE COURT: I think you should ask whether that
```

```
257
            CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
   refreshes her recollection.
2
        MR. WAGNER: That's probably true.
 3
        Did this help to refresh your recollection?
 4
        I want to answer your question. There's no --
 5
        I don't think the Judge allowed my question. If you
 6
   could, does this help to refresh your recollection?
 7
        Yes.
8
        THE COURT: All right. Proceed.
9
        And isn't it true that you said to Agent Gregor
  nothing about Phase II trials?
10
11
        As it's transcribed on this document, I don't see
   anywhere on here where it references Phase II trials.
12
13
        Thank you. And it also says there -- well, let me
14
  ask you this. Did you tell Agent Gregor that you believed
15
   that the investment was to be used to further conduct
   research for the company?
16
17
        Yes.
18
        Okay. So it would appear that you weren't sure about
19
   what Mr. Harris said, is that fair to say?
2.0
        MR. GILL: Your Honor, I object to that
   characterization. He's using a document provided by
21
22
   another person that's used to refresh her memory, and now
23
  he's trying to impeach her with a report written by
24
   somebody else.
25
        THE COURT: I think the objection is sustained.
                                                          You
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  may approach it differently, but not the way you presented
2
   it to her.
 3
                     Thank you.
        MR. WAGNER:
        Were you certain about what you said to Agent Gregor
 4
 5
   about the statements that Mr. Harris made back in 2005 to
 6
   get you to invest in the company?
 7
        I'm certain that what I -- the way I was interviewed
   and answered the questions for Agent Gregor was true and
8
9
   correct as I can recall from 2005.
10
        That's fair enough. Thank you.
11
        Now, as a police officer -- you're a sergeant, is
12
   that correct?
13
        Yes, sir.
14
        How long on the force?
15
        Sixteen years yesterday.
        And do you investigate fraud cases?
16
17
        I have taken preliminary police reports on fraud
           It's been some time, but I've done it.
18
   cases.
19
        And through the course of this experience, this
   situation you had with Mr. Harris in 2005, that never
   prompted you to seek any investigation of him for fraud,
21
   is that correct?
22
23
        Several times.
        In 2005?
24
25
        Maybe not by the end of that first year, but there
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  were several times that I thought that I should report
 2
   something, but out of embarrassment I did not.
 3
        Okay. In 2009 you learned that the company received
   a United States patent, is that right?
 4
 5
        Yes.
 6
        And an African patent, correct?
 7
        As indicated in the e-mail.
8
        And would it be fair to say that you were pretty
9
   excited about the company then?
        I don't think at any time did I feel excited.
10
  mean, I never really felt like I had a clear understanding
11
   as to what was going on. I mean, I was glad that, you
12
   know, face value on the e-mail it appeared that he seemed
13
14
  to be very excited. And I was a shareholder and I wanted
15
   to be part of this wonderful thing we were doing, but it
16
   was never really clear to me if anything was getting done.
        Now, is it true that Mr. Harris in his presentation
17
   in Fredericksburg back in 2005 that he was trying to tell
18
19
   the people there that he was moving the company forward,
   is that safe to say?
2.0
21
        It sounded -- yeah, it sounded like he was ready to
   go forward with the Phase II trials.
22
23
        And you indicated that there was an attorney present
   at that meeting, correct?
25
        As I -- the one in Tyson's, sir?
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
                    At the 2005 meeting that you had with
 1
   Mr. Harris.
 3
        No, sir. I was -- the attorney I referenced what I
  meant was at Tyson's Corner.
 4
 5
                    Was there an attorney present --
        I'm sorry.
 6
        THE COURT: Now, you're talking about 2005? You're
 7
   talking about at the pub in Fredericksburg, right?
        MS. GENTRY: Yes, sir. That's my understanding.
8
9
        THE COURT: Go ahead.
10
        Do you recall whether there was an attorney present
11
   in 2005 at that meeting in Fredericksburg?
        I don't recall an attorney being present.
12
13
        Do you recall someone named Jeff Seto that was
14
  involved with Mr. Harris at that time?
15
        No, sir. I don't recall.
        Did you speak with your mother about -- did your
16
   mother attend any meetings with Mr. Harris that you did
17
  not attend, to the best of your knowledge?
18
        I don't know, sir, if she did or not. It wouldn't
19
   seem reasonable to me that she would, but I don't know
   that she didn't.
21
22
        And did you discuss with her at any time whether Jeff
23
   Seto was a speaker at that meeting?
24
        THE COURT: What meeting?
25
```

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
        MR. WAGNER:
                      The 2005 meeting at the tavern.
2
        I don't recall a Jeff Seto, sir.
 3
        Okay.
 4
        MR. WAGNER: Let me see Exhibit 53, if I could.
 5
        Do you recall if Mr. Harris had this folder with him
6
   at that meeting in 2005?
 7
        THE COURT: You're referring to your Exhibit 53?
8
        MR. WAGNER: Exhibit 53. Yes, sir.
9
        I don't have any idea. It's been several years ago.
10
                     I'll move on.
        MR. WAGNER:
11
        Now, do you remember over the course of your meetings
   and your discussions with Mr. Harris whether he talked
12
13
   about the Deep Blue group?
14
        He did.
15
        And did he indicate that the folks in Deep Blue were
   trying to steal his science? Do you remember that?
17
        Yes.
        And that they had split off from him at a time in the
18
19
   past and were in competition with his company, is that
20
  right?
        Yes. I mean, that was my understanding. When he
21
22
   spoke about that, it was usually very quick snippets and
23
  he was typically angry when he was speaking about it. I
   could tell that these were folks that he used to worked
24
25
   for or worked with, and that he was not happy with them.
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY And then he would reiterate how important it was to keep the information that we knew to ourselves and not talk 3 about it. 4 And did you ever remember the name Jeff Seto being 5 associated with the people from Deep Blue? 6 Again, sir, I don't know that name. I really can't 7 associate any name with Deep Blue. I don't really recall. 8 Matt Johnson doesn't ring a bell? 9 No, sir. 10 MR. NASEEM: Your Honor, objection. Asked and 11 answered. 12 MR. WAGNER: Okay. 13 Do you recall that Mr. Harris -- one of the things he 14 talked about when he tried to -- when he showed his 15 PowerPoint presentation and when he talked about his company, do you recall that he talked about the need to 16 get big sources of income coming into the company? 17 Sir, if you're asking me if I recall that verbiage 18 from 2005, I don't necessarily recall it. 19 understanding during that meeting is that money was needed. As far as large investments, I don't remember 21 22 that verbiage. 23 And in order to conduct the Phase II trials, a large amount of capital would have been required for the company 24 25 to conduct those trials, is that fair to say?

```
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
        I left knowing that money was needed to go further,
2
   sir.
 3
        And do you remember from the 2010 shareholders'
  meeting that there was a lot of talk about raising capital
 5
   in order to move on to the Phase II trials to the human
6
   trials?
 7
        Money was always needed. It was always a topic.
8
        All right. And isn't it true that Michael Harris was
9
   the CEO and president of this company?
        It's my understanding.
10
11
        And it was a one-man show? It was just him really?
        As far as I understood except for who this secretary
12
        That was my understanding, yes.
13
14
        And you felt that Mr. Harris was very passionate
15
   about the work he was doing, isn't that right?
        That was the very first impression he gave me when I
16
   met him. Yes.
17
        And there were things that he was doing to move the
18
19
   company forward, is that fair to say?
2.0
        It sounded to me during the first meeting I had with
  him that he had a plan. Like there were things that he
21
22
   wanted to do for the future. In fairness, I had just met
23
  the gentleman so I didn't know a lot about his past, but
   he seemed to have kind a mapped out a future with the
24
25
  Phase II trials and going forward, and he seemed to be
```

```
REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  very excited about this research regarding the cure for
 2
   AIDS.
 3
        All right. And is it fair to say that as a president
   and CEO of this company that he's entitled to a salary?
 4
 5
        I don't see why not.
 6
        And is it fair to say that as president and CEO of
 7
   this company that there are office expenses that he
   incurs?
8
9
        I would imagine you need certain supplies to do this
   work. Yes.
10
11
        And travel expenses that he had in promoting the
   company?
12
13
        As it relates to research for the cure of AIDS, I
14
  would have been all right with that.
15
        MR. WAGNER: One moment, please.
        Nothing further, Judge.
16
17
        THE COURT: Mr. Naseem, any redirect?
                       REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18
19
   BY MR. NASEEM:
2.0
        Sergeant Gentry, at that meeting in 2005 when
   Mr. Harris made the presentation at the Colonial Tavern,
21
22
   what did he say about applying investor funds to personal
23
   expenses?
        We didn't talk about personal expenses.
24
25
        Did he mention anything about using the investor
```

```
REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
  money that he had took from the people at that meeting to
 2
   apply toward things such as his mortgage?
 3
        No.
 4
        Such as his farm?
 5
        No.
 6
        Such as utilities on his house?
 7
             That was not my understanding, sir.
        No.
8
        Now, you were provided with a document. A 302 is
9
   what it was. Is that 302, the document that counsel
   provided to you, is that a transcription of the statements
10
   that you made word-for-word to Agent Gregor?
11
        It appears to be, sir.
12
13
        So what distinction did you make based on the
14
  representations of Mr. Harris between Phase II trials and
15
   research?
        THE COURT: What distinction did she make to the
16
   agent or to herself? Put it in context, Mr. Naseem.
17
18
        What distinction did you make between the term Phase
19
   II trial and the research in the context of how Michael
  Harris explained them to you?
        Sir, my understanding is that the research --
21
22
        MR. WAGNER: Objection to her understanding.
23
  Inot relevant. What's relevant is what Michael Harris told
24
   her.
25
        THE COURT: I think the question is what is her
```

```
REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICOLE GENTRY
 1
                   The question calls for in her mind is
  understanding.
2
   there a distinction between research and Phase II trials,
 3
   is that correct?
 4
        MR. NASEEM: That's correct, Your Honor.
 5
        THE COURT: You may answer, sergeant.
 6
        My understanding is research, Phase II trials,
 7
   everything was the same thing. It's all research all
   going towards the cure for AIDS.
8
9
        THE COURT: Next question.
10
        So, I made no distinction, frankly.
11
        Thank you.
12
        MR. NASEEM: Those are all the questions I have, Your
13
   Honor.
14
        THE COURT:
                    May the sergeant be excused?
15
        MR. NASEEM: Yes, Your Honor.
                    Mr. Wagner, may the sergeant be excused?
16
        THE COURT:
17
        MR. WAGNER: She may.
18
                    Sergeant, thank you for your testimony.
        THE COURT:
19
   We appreciate you coming in today.
20
        MS. GENTRY:
                     Yes, sir.
                       WITNESS STOOD ASIDE
21
22
                    Do you have a relatively short witness,
        THE COURT:
23
   Mr. Gill?
24
        MR. GILL: No, Your Honor. This will be a few
25
   minutes.
```

267

```
THE COURT: What do you mean by that?
 1
2
        MR. GILL: Probably 40 minutes on direct.
 3
        THE COURT: All right. Then we're going to take it
 4
   first thing tomorrow morning.
 5
        Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to recess now until
 6
   9:00 tomorrow morning. Until then, I want to remind you
 7
  how important it is not to discuss the case among
   yourselves, or with anyone else. I know how tempting it
8
9
   is tonight when you get home to talk to your children,
   your spouse, your friends about the exciting case you're
10
11
  hearing down here at the courthouse, but you just simply
  cannot do that. Keep your own counsel. Avoid any news
12
   contact about this case. Get a good night's sleep. We'll
13
14
   see you back here tomorrow morning at 9:00.
15
        JUROR: Do we leave these here?
        THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Just leave them right there.
16
17
   The Marshal will take care of them for you.
        (The jury is no longer present in the courtroom.)
18
19
        THE COURT: Anything further before we recess?
20
        MR. GILL:
                   No, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Mr. Wagner?
21
22
        MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
23
        THE COURT:
                   All right. Then we'll stand in recess
   until tomorrow morning at 9:00. I've got a matter at
24
25
   8:30.
          I'm planning on having that completed by 9:00, but
```

268

```
1
  if not it may be a minute or two late.
                                             I'm going to
2
   strive to be finished by 9:00.
 3
        MR. WAGNER: Sounds good, Your Honor.
 4
        THE COURT: All right. I'll see everyone then.
 5
   Thank you.
6
        We'll stand in recess.
 7
             (The proceeding concluded at 5:27 p.m.)
8
                      REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
9
               I, Krista M. Liscio, OCR, RMR, Notary
   Public in and for the Commonwealth of Virginia at
   large, and whose commission expires March 31, 2016,
10
   Notary Registration Number 149462, do hereby certify
11
   that the pages contained herein accurately reflect
   the notes taken by me, to the best of my ability, in
  the above-styled action.
12
        Given under my hand this 10th day of July, 2013.
13
14
                              Krista M. Liscio, RMR
                              Official Court Reporter
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```